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(2:15 p.m.)

MS. HOWERTON: For the record, Leslye Howerton, Project Manager for the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan. I would like to open the hearing by reading a statement of submittal to the record that describes all the documents that make up the official public record.

The following plans will be amended by the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan. This plan contains the text and supporting maps for a comprehensive amendment to the approved and adopted 1994 Bethesda CBD Sector Plan, and the 2006 Woodmont Triangle Amendment to the 1994 Bethesda CBD Sector Plan.

It also amends the general plan for the physical development of Maryland Washington Regional District in Montgomery County and Prince George's Counties. As amended, the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways within Montgomery County, the Purple Line Functional Plan, the Countywide Bikeways Functional Master Plan, the

Master Plan for Historic Preservation, and the Bethesda/Chevy Chase Master Plan.

Transmittal letters along with a copy of the public hearing draft document were sent to the County Executive, his staff, and all pertinent county agencies within the Executive Branch. And they were received on May 26, 2015.

The Notice for the Public Hearing was placed in the Washington Post, which I have a copy here. The ad appeared in the Washington Post on Monday, May 25th, 2015. This is a copy of the newspaper ad, along with the certified public hearing notice for the newspaper.

A transcript of today's public hearing
is being recorded and will be available to the public in the next few weeks on the Bethesda Downtown website.

The Planning Board Commissioners have been provided a hard copy of the staff memo, along with all correspondence received from the public and government agencies between staff draft presentation that took place on May 21st,

2015, to June 18th, 2015. That's the small binder, Commissioners. This is included in the agenda item posted on the Planning Board's website.

In addition, the Planning Board has been provided all correspondence received to date, on the project, since the start of the project in January 2014. This is this large binder here, Commissioners.

Staff recommends that the public record remain open for one week from today to allow for additional correspondence on the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan. That would be July 1st.

This completes the statement of submittal to the record.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. So without objection the record will be open for a week. That means anybody who brought written testimony that was not submitted in time for our deadline for that to make it to the Board in these notebooks, you can email it to us or leave it
with one of the staff here.
And that will get into the record, even though we can't read it live here. Because we do not have time to get that into the binder. Okay?

Mr. Ossont.
MR. OSSONT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, All set?

Good afternoon, Commissioners. Greg Ossont, Deputy Director, Department of General Services, testifying on behalf of County Executive, Leggett.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the public hearing draft of the Bethesda Downtown Plan. We appreciate the time and effort that the Planning Board and staff have invested in developing this comprehensive plan.

Many of the recommendations compliment the County Executive's commitment promoting targeted redevelopment opportunities that create healthy, sustainable communities while preserving existing affordable housing, and creating
opportunities for additional affordable housing.
We look forward to working with planning staff on the technical elements of the plan. We would like to highlight a few items for your consideration today.

As you are aware, there are concerns regarding the Bethesda Fire Department, Inc., property at 6600 Wisconsin Avenue or Fire Station 6. Fire Station 6 is not a county owned property and any concept plans prepared or presented to the Planning Board by Bethesda Fire Department, Inc., are not representative of county plans.

Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service is charged with supervision and operations of all the county's fire stations. The MCFRS will closely monitor any proposed changes to the property.

Redevelopment of county owned Parking Lot District, or PLD Parking assets, should be considered but particular attention should be given to the relative scale of development in relationship to adjacent land uses.

Additionally, the Board should consider the important matter of public versus private cost assignment. In particular where the plan references underground parking structures. While the county supports context sensitive redevelopment of PLD Parking Lots, the county does not anticipate any additional surface or structured parking capacity in Bethesda at this time.

Any development or redevelopment of PLD lots would require private contributions for the replacement of publicly owned parking.

In addition to county owned parking facilities, the plan should consider potential redevelopment opportunities for other county owned land and facilities to create opportunities for assemblage and public---private partnerships.

As you are aware, the county has entered in the public-private partnerships to facilitate needed investment in new public facilities.

Redevelopment of public owned land
should fully consider public and private cost assignments, and of course consider scale and compatibility with adjacent neighborhoods and land uses. The Board may consider adding the private sector to the list of potential partners in Section 4.6.

As is the case with all Master Plans, the Board should consider impacts within and outside the sector plan area. The network and mobility in general should be considered. And should consider roadway and transit adequacy as well as many potential impacts to changes in the transportation network that might have impacts to emergency response times.

Intersections within and immediately outside the plan area should be considered in this analysis. The emerging centers identified in the plan are not necessarily new areas, but have not redeveloped at the same pace as some other areas in the plan.

Many of the properties in these areas have unique site conditions that should allow for
additional height with appropriate transitions. Ultimately these areas need to be flexible enough to attract new investment and to allow appropriate redevelopment opportunities. Delivering public benefits like creation of gateways --

CHAIR ANDERSON: I really hate to cut you off, but if we don't, we're going to have a big problem.

MR. OSSONT: That's fine, it's been said. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
Okay, Fred Cecere.
MR. CECERE: Thank you. My name is Doctor Fred Cecere, Council Member for the Town of Chevy Chase and I'm pleased to testify. Thank you for inviting us.

We've submitted our written testimony and I'm only going to summarize our key points. First, I want to thank the staff for a job well done. I think in the entire Bethesda Sector Plan, you've recognized the importance of a
vibrant community for those who work, reside, and travel to the greater Bethesda community.

Moreover, you've demonstrated that, by creating a series of centers of activity, especially along the Wisconsin Avenue District, and Pearl Street, we can not only have that, but maintain the character of our own unique communities, which I think is very important to make this a really attractive area for all of us. We are committed in the town, and I say this for myself, for the mayor, and for the entire council, to work with the Board, the staff, our sister communities, business leaders, political leaders, and developers to continue to really participate in the building of this vibrant Bethesda.

We noticed that the staff plan is based on principles, four of which are, strengthening the centers of activity, developing pedestrian/bike corridors, focusing on the need for public and private greenspace, and for allowing the perpetuation of our community held
values and the character of our communities. We want to thank you for that.

Everyone agrees we need some more greenspace. Parking Lots 10 and 24 create a unique opportunity to expand that greenspace. The town submitted the Bethesda Commons plan, we urge you to look at it and adopt it. We'll be happy to discuss it and talk about the proportionality.

But what it would allow is a greenspace that not only would exist associated with the Farmers' Market and with Elm Park, but would be become a greenway that could connect right through the Bethesda Crescent Trail, and create almost a High Line, like experience.

So that as we bring visitors to Bethesda, there's actually a destination to go all the way out to the trestle in Connecticut that would allow us to actually have a destination for those people coming in to conventions or whatever within the area.

We do recognize that this will take a
great deal of planning and that also incorporates the Pearl Street District, in that we believe Bethesda Commons and the Pearl Street District need to get connected by that Crescent Trail. And maybe actually access to the Crescent Trail from the Pearl Street, Montgomery side, so that you actually increase that ability.

There are very significant implications for infrastructure needs in this development. Pedestrian safety infrastructures, and we're very interested in the Fire and Rescue Services.

We support all the staff
infrastructure recommendations. We'd like to see more innovations in infrastructure, street traffic flow, utility requirements, fire and safety, facility needs.

And we pledge to collaborate with all stakeholders to try to get this accomplished. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: You might just call out 30 seconds to people.

MS. HOWERTON: Okay.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Just so they'll know that they're getting close.

MS. HOWERTON: No problem.
CHAIR ANDERSON: And ready.
MS. REA: I'm Allison Rea. My family has owned our property at 7607 Old Georgetown Road since 1939, with my mother 94, and an aunt 92, being the current holders.

For the past two decades, it has been the location of La Madeleine, a proper retail destination that has been serving the residents or person visitors of the area, well.

While our property remains a great income producing property, with the owners advancing in age, and certainly with the comprehensive study of this sector plan, our family would be remiss not to explore what higher and better uses might be made of this property in the future.

With frontage on Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane, and being 250 steps away from
the Bethesda Metro, the property is accessible by mass transit car, bike, or foot. In every sense this is an urban location at the core of the downtown area.

Because of its urban location and adjacency to the Metro, we believe that the site should be planned and zoned for the maximum density and height recommended for the downtown area, which we understand under CR zoning would be a FAR of 8 , and a building height of 300 feet.

Because this site is relatively small and somewhat constrained, building height and density is imperative. We're told by those who understand urban development better than we do, that because of its size, our property is most likely best suited for residential or hotel use, above retail area, above street level retail.

We are looking for this comprehensive planning process to provide the incentive for urban redevelopment of this property. We truly believe that the location is such that the redevelopment would serve as monumental part of
the urban fabric that will be Downtown Bethesda. In our one story building, La

Madeleine has been and continues to be a wonderful and successful part of the Downtown core. But it would be a lost opportunity not to consider a more urban utilization during the 20 years envisioned by the Sector Plan.

La Madeleine is under lease and will continue at this location for the foreseeable future. And we have no immediate plans for any other use of the property. However, we frequently receive inquires as to its availability for redevelopment and look forward to further contemplating more urban utilization that we expect to occur with appropriate densities and heights assigned to this planning process.

It is not hard to shut one's eyes and imagine a lovely iconic high-rise building on this property, helping to define Downtown Bethesda skyline. And it is similarly easy to imagine that in that same vision having La

Madeleine or a similar type of ground level retail use --

MS. HOWERTON: Thirty seconds.
MS. REA: -- also helping to shape and activate the streets at the core of this Downtown area. This is an important site for Downtown Bethesda, and we ask that the zoning, right zoning be in place to allow the market forces to control the ultimate destiny of the property. Thank you, whew.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Carney.
MR. CARNEY: Good afternoon, Chairman Anderson and other Commissioners. My name is Simon Carney and I work with the Brookfield Property Partners.

Brookfield is the owner of Three Bethesda Metro Center, including the Metro Plaza pursuant to a long term ground lease with La Moda.

I'm here to speak today in support of the new draft Sector Plan for the Bethesda CBD, especially with respect to the recommended
improvements to the Bethesda Metro Center. In recent years, other parts of Bethesda have seen major Master Plan changes promoting redevelopment and greater densities and heights than envisioned at the time of this original plan.

In the meantime the Bethesda Metro Center area remains stagnant. The recent rewrite of the zoning ordinance and this public hearing draft recommendation for the site, to keep the same recommended densities, but to allow for greater heights will provide the Metro Center with the opportunity to create a new self-funded mixed use development without requiring additional infrastructure or adversary impacts on the environment or surrounding Bethesda community.

The importance of the location and the transportation access to this site, cannot be overstated. The site sits at the Main Street intersection in Bethesda and directly atop of the Bethesda Metro Station on Metro's Redline. And
the best bus service in the entire Western Montgomery county.

Sites like this, on top of multi-modal transportation systems, represent the most desirable ways in which to ensure greater public use of public transportation. Our plans for the site will enhance the other multi-modal operations with new bike stations, bike storage facilities, 2:29:21 finding for pedestrians and improvements to the bus bay below.

Speaking of the bus bay, we have been in discussions with a number of Bethesda organizations as well as La Moda, to identify improvements to the Bethesda bus station area. It is safe to say that the results will be a significant improvement over the state of affairs as they exist today.

One of the goals in the new Sector Plan is to achieve new measures of sustainable design. Redevelopment of this property, which is now largely covered in bricks, will result in no loss of trees and no impact on streams or
wetlands. It would create no new impervious areas.

Rather, it will add to the storm water management facilities that are now non-existent. LEED design will be incorporated. And then while not specifically required by the current draft of the plan, Brookfield is committed to a major upgrade to the Metro Plaza for the community.

In addition to providing for quality retail, our plan includes the creation of a Bethesda Central Park to serve as a living room for all of Bethesda for artistic and community events.

And finally, our neighbors to the north, Clarke Construction have actively undertaken a campaign to prevent development near its own building. Members of the community have been presented with the false choice of selecting either a building or a greenspace at this location.

Not surprisingly, many people will choose open space. The reality however, is that
the new development at the Metro Center will achieve both, new transit-oriented development and significantly improved open space. It is not an either-or scenario.

We ask that you not let such
individual interests interfere with the overall county land use policies and the sector planning goals for a truly sustainable Downtown. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Kaplan.
MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Chairman Anderson and the Commissioners for the opportunity to speak to you today. And a special shout-out to Gwen, Robert and Leslye and the entire staff of Park and Planning. This is not easy work and you did a fantastic job.

So I'm here to talk to you today, and please refer to the slides up here, about Bethesda Gateway. We control a site at the corner of East-West and Montgomery Avenue. And this is identified on the Sector Plan as an emerging center. It's not transitional, not up
against housing. But one of the very few gateway sites to Bethesda.

We have a unique opportunity as you know, Streetscape chooses very few projects to work on. Really wants them to be transformational. And we spent a year working with Park and Planning staff, very cooperatively. We share the same vision.

We initially, obviously, proposed the building a little higher. They suggested lower, and we agreed. As you can see the buildings are very high.

But through a fate of history, 1970 there was plan that this entire area, the Pearl District should all be the same zoning. And every commercial developer went and did what they needed to do to get the same zoning. Three individual home owners did not.

So as a result of a fluke, we have a 1.5 FAR that's been up-zoned to 2 FAR. And we're supposed to build a Gateway building, and that kind of is a mismatch between the vision which we
all share and the reality of this site. We specialize in pedestrian orientation. Most of you know my history of 20 years ago, starting Bethesda Row, and the ten phase plan that now others have taking to plan, 15th Place, 15th.

But really this is not only the terminus of three blocks that don't currently work in a pedestrian way, but it's also the beginning of three blocks. How do you make those things happen?

One, you invest a lot of money. You do sustainable landscaping, and you do a very high quality, very high-end fence. You really activate that corner. That's a critical corner at the end of Western Montgomery. It's the first thing you'll see. You need to spend a lot of money to do that work, prepare to do that.

But while we support the density transfer, you can't have a density transfer and buy FAR in emerging centers, and get the quality we're all looking for. And the type of
architecture materials we'd like to use, while also being burdened with this fluke of a 1970 event, having to purchase FAR.

You can see kind of a very stylized building. It really does show up right at the corner. Next. And this is the vision. This is not --

MS. HOWERTON: Thirty seconds.
MR. KAPLAN: I'm going to end early. This is not chess. These are not examples but they are gateway buildings and you can really do something dynamic here with a corner, with activating and creating, so that ten years from now we all look and say, hey, this is an existing center, it's not an emerging center. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, if we can get Michael Miller, Steve Robbins, Stacy Silber, Michael Brodsky and I think we'll stop there for the moment.

And I should say that there are a number of land use lawyers who signed up for
multiple slots, and I think, I remember the community asking about that. I understand the, you know, the desire to make sure that community members come first in line. We try to be as fair as we can about assigning these slots. Some of the lawyers involved with the center, there are so many parcels in Bethesda, that they represent multiple clients involved in multiple properties.

I'm going to ask the lawyers,
particularly when they've got multiple properties, the quicker you can move through those we really would appreciate that. Obviously we want to make sure that people in the community have a chance to be heard. And we know that you will send us written materials and other matter that you may consider helpful to the staff in helping us work through this plan.

So we'll start with Mr. Robbins. Oh, no. I'm sorry, we'll start with Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: I'm here today
representing the owners of Battery Lane

Apartments which is at 4887 Battery Lane, off the corner of Battery Lane and Woodmont Avenue. And Strathmore Apartments at Woodmont Avenue and Strathmore Street.

These properties have been owned by the same partnership and group of families for over 30 years. We have a critical opportunity for Bethesda and it should not be squandered. The opportunity, specifically, is to create affordable housing units in perpetuity versus incentivizing the current owner to seek alternative uses for what planners currently characterize as naturally occurring affordable housing.

The apartments which they are referring to carry no jurisdictional or other legal requirement to offer what is considered affordable housing.

The current draft plan provides for minor additional FAR with the intent of transferring an FAR and using the monetary proceeds from that transfer to reinvest in the
decaying infrastructure within our buildings. This is not going to happen.

Not permitting substantial extra density sitting on such a uniquely situated and valuable parcel of land, which in the case of Battery Lane is two acres with no single family homes in the general vicinity, and backing up directly to NIH, is not in keeping with the goal, creating affordable housing in the county.

It may be expected the naturally occurring affordable housing will be maintained. But there is no ability for owners to redevelop their properties to higher densities. This is flawed logic.

Investors and real estate always seek the highest and best use for their properties. The highest and best use of the current properties is not as rentals, but rather as condominium units or as a redevelopment of a similar sized structure without any requirement to provide rental units of any kind.

In other words trying to simply
maintain the status quo will actually promulgate the opposite outcome, the disappearance of the so-called naturally occurring affordable housing.

It should be noted that the resident population of our properties is not consistent with MPDU-type incomes. But rather it's often the well paid doctor or other professional in the surrounding area, that desires to get a less expensive place for a couple years until they move on. The vast majority of our renters in our buildings are highly transient, and usually stay no longer than two or three years.

Alternatively, if we are prescribed a greater density on our land, with an FAR of at least 4, we would construct a new property which, through current laws, would provide for legally required affordable units in perpetuity which would actually go to those individuals truly needing affordable housing. And not well-paid physicians and executives.

> Larger density should apply to all properties near the intersection of Woodmont and

Battery, as they are in between two Metro stops, and are close to the center of Downtown Bethesda.

MS. HOWERTON: Thirty seconds.
MR. MILLER: This would permit the needed housing to the growing NIH enabled campuses which our property is closest to. But also to create this critical stock of legally sanctioned affordable rentals. This is truly smart growth.

We are owners for the long run, and our business is to own and operate housing. We have buildings in the District we have owned for over 90 years. We would like to create something that is viable for the next 90 years so we can continue to own and operate.

And if the staff fails to recommend a density that is viable for higher density redevelopment, we have no choice but to consider our alternatives for a property's infrastructures beyond its useful life.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Robins.

MR. ROBINS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. I'm Steve Robins with the law firm of Lerch, Early \& Brewer.

Representing PNC bank N.A., the co-trustee for certain beneficiaries of property located at 7935 Wisconsin Avenue.

The property serves as a location for the Benihana of Tokyo Restaurant. I submitted a letter into the record commenting on the Sector Plan public hearing draft, and I'd just like to summarize a few points.

The property is located in the Wisconsin Avenue District as shown on Page 95 of the plan. On the East side of Wisconsin Avenue, and it's proposed to be rezoned to the CR-3.5 C2.5, R-3.25 H-120. We would request that the planning Board consider adjusting the density from 3.5 to a 4.0 on the property.

The property has an extremely strong and visible presence on Wisconsin Avenue, and it's directly adjacent to property recommended for CR-6, C-6, R-5.75 H-250. We understand that
the CR-6 property is located in a priority area for both density and height.

Having said that, we believe that the tapering from a CR-6 to CR-4 makes good planning sense and is justified at this particular location.

The only other point I'd make on this property is, we would suggest that the $C$ and the $R$ component of the zoning designation be equalized to afford the property owner greater flexibility if this property is subsequently developed.

Thus, we would have a recommendation to revise the zoning designation to the CR-4, C4, R-4 H-150. That's it for that property.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Do you want for Ms. Silber to do one of her properties, or do you want to go?

MR. MILLER: We can, whatever.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Why don't we go back and forth, just to --

MR. MILLER: Okay.

MS. SILBER: Good afternoon. My name is Stacy Silber. I from the law firm of Lerch, Early \& Brewer. And I'm here today on behalf of the Harvey Companies and share partners. They're owners of property located at the northeast quadrant of Bradley Boulevard and Arlington Road.

Arlington south is one of the few areas of Bethesda --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Just pull your mic up just a little bit closer.

MS. SILBER: -- and it's been left behind. Streetscapes have not been added, meaningful gathering spaces are not there, and there is not a continuous retain opportunity along Arlington from Bradley to Bethesda Avenue.

As a result of these deficiencies, the draft plan is asking a lot of both Harvey and Share in their redevelopment. The property is unique and it's a shovel-ready project where new office expansion actually makes sense now.

Cystic Fibrosis, a long-term tenant,
has its world headquarters with over 200
employees on the property. With Cystic's advances in drug development, they're adding 100 new employees to result in 300 jobs within the next two years.

Because of the property's location, and competitive rents, Cystic wants to house these additional employees on this property. Harvey needs greater height and densities to retain Cystic on Arlington South. As the market office study indicates, this is the kind of place where we want to remove zoning impediments to redevelopment.

The draft plan suggests property heights be limited to 70 feet. The owner's buildings however, are already between 45 to 65 feet in height. A 70 foot envelope does not work. In all of our emerging areas, the plan recommends a height of between 90 and 120 feet. A height of between 100 and 120 feet is needed for these buildings and it's appropriate for several reasons.

First, the property sits the lowest
point along Arlington and Bradley. Second, the properties are surrounded by commercial developments. Third, the owners requires higher heights along Arlington, but suggest a 70 foot height transition for its office building adjacent to the CCT.

Currently the plan recommends a CRT of 2.75. The owners request an overall increase of .75 FAR, or a recommendation of CRT 3.5. The draft plan recommends a community gathering space be located mid-block along Arlington Road.

Oehme, van Sweden, a renowned
landscape architectural firm, strongly recommends that the gathering space not be located right on Arlington for it interrupts an essential continuous retail strip. And creates a dangerous condition for children and pedestrians congregating close to the roadway.

Instead they recommend a gathering space next to a linear pedestrian bike connection through the properties to the trail. This will promote foot and bike traffic which has become a
priority of the community.
The draft plan suggests a new bridge be added from Bradley to the trail. By Bradley there is a 24 foot grade change --

MS. HOWERTON: Thirty seconds.
MR. ROBINS: -- causing a bridge to be 400 feet long. The most significant problem at this location is that a cyclist riding down the bridge leading to Bradley, will have a very short space to transition and safely maneuver to Bradley into oncoming traffic.

They move the bridge on Bradley, OPS suggests an Arlington Road connection. With this location there's only a fifteen foot grade change along the bridge, to be 200 feet, rather than 400 and as important, this location will draw users into the trail.

We've submitted written testimony to provide some more information and detail. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, why don't we have Mr. Brodsky on, then we'll come back to Mr.

Robins.
MR. BRODSKY: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. For the record my name is Michael Brodsky, CEO of the Goldstar Group. Goldstar is the owner of the commercial property located at 4630 Montgomery Avenue.

The property is two blocks from Metro and is currently developed with a six story, 60-year-old office building that can and is a basic fully leased commercial office building.

To cause us to redevelop our property and implement the draft plan's goal of massing density near Metro and improving the appearance of Downtown Bethesda through excellence in architecture, we're requesting two modifications to the draft plan.

The first is for an overall increase in density to an 8 FAR and a reallocation of the commercial and residential density and an increase in height to 300 feet.

> Increased density is important for
several reasons. We haven't redeveloped our current building because the economics do not work with the existing density of a 5 FAR and 140 foot height envelope.

As you know it takes a lot to tear down an existing high performing asset like ours. With the required public benefits, green building design, roadway dedications, and improved streetscapes recommended in the draft plan, we need more than a 20 percent increase in density to cause change. It would take an 8 FAR to cause us to redevelop.

Under the current recommendation, we would need to acquire a 3.25 FAR of commercial density. Requiring this acquisition puts an additional barrier, not an incentive, to revitalize our site in the east side of Wisconsin Avenue.

The split between commercial and residential must also be adjusted to commercial to encourage commercial development at this core site and also allow for residential depending on
market dictates.
To provide the necessary flexibility, the maximum residential respectfully, should be increased to 7.75. And the maximum commercial density should be increased to an 8. In terms of height, we're asking for an increase height to 300 feet. With its close proximity to Metro and this visible corner location, this is a site where the highest density is appropriate and design excellence will be impactful.

With a building height between 250 and 300 feet, we can produce a project with the architectural interest that is envisioned in the draft plan. This will help result in emergence of an interesting skyline, rather than the boxy buildings that currently exist.

We have submitted written testimony into the record and appreciate your consideration of our request. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Back to Mr. Robins.

MR. ROBINS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair
and members of the Board. I'm Steve Robins of Lerch, Early \& Brewer representing together with my partner, Bill Kominers, East West LLC, the owner of certain property located at 4416 EastWest Highway. The property contains approximately 28,000 net square feet. It is improved with an office building. We submitted a letter into the record and I'll summarize our testimony.

The property is located in the Pearl Street District and is proposed to be rezoned to the CR2 C1.75 R2 H120 zone. The property is identified as part of Area 6 in the Plan on Page 111. Just to the east in the same block property identified as Number 7 is recommended for CR3.5 C3.5 R3.5 H120. The property to the west just across Pearl Street identified as Number 5 and is recommended for CR6 C4.75 R5.75 H145.

We would respectfully request that the Planning Board consider adjusting the density recommendation of the property from a CR2 to a minimum of a CR3.5. The increase in density is
essential to justify any meaningful redevelopment of the property at the height recommended in the Sector Plan.

The property has an extremely visible presence on East-West Highway and Montgomery Lane and clearly can support additional development, but the property is already developed at approximately a 1.67 FAR. The potential increase to the 1.75 or even the overall 2.0 of the proposed zone simply is insufficient to support removing a relatively new office building from only a small increase in density.

The risks associated with the future leasing process at a cost associated with new development of incentive benefits under the optional method also is insufficient to justify termination of the current industry. Thus the Plan's recommendation is a prescription for no change on this property.

From a planning perspective, the greater density really is necessary in order to transform the Pearl Street District into an
active mixed use area as envisioned by the Plan. Also, without an increase in density the owners would be required to purchase significant off site density either through the FAR averaging provisions or from the priority sending areas. While some transferring of density is desirable in the planning area generally, an over reliance on density from other sites may work against achieving the economic and planning goals in the plan.

Without added density, the property will create a valley in the tenting plan extending outward from the Metro Station. With heights of 145 west of Pearl Street and maybe more and the existing East-West Towers to the east, the property at its current four stories will be underutilized. Thank you for your time. CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Silber.

MS. SILBER: Good afternoon again.
I'm Stacy Silber here on behalf of Carr
Properties. Carr recently opened 4500 East-West

Highway, the first LEED Platinum building in Bethesda. This Class A space was built at a height of 98 feet and utilized the maximum allowed 4 FA density in a constructed building.

Carr constructed its building with a structural and surface capacity to add additional stories in anticipation of the upcoming Sector Plan process. Carr is requesting two modifications to the working draft. First, Carr asks for a 175 foot height recommendation for its property so that the height is consistent with staff's recommendations for the remainder of Carr's block. And two, Carr asks that you retain the CR6 recommendation or reallocate the division of density among commercial and residential.

A height of 175 feet is important for several reasons. The block within which Carr is located is recommended for 175 feet. For continuity purposes it makes sense that the street be a dividing point on height and not Carr's property.

Second, preserving sunlight to the
north-facing public use space is very important to Carr. A height of 175 feet gives a designer the required envelope of flexibility to sculpt the building and provide for building setbacks for any new structure. This flexibility ensures a proper transition referral and allows Carr to set back the additional height from the north facade ensuring that the existing plaza and public space along the East-West Highway retains good sun exposure.

Third, height flexibility will prevent disruption of the existing building use. The 175 foot of height allowance and associated new building setback will allow for any new structure to not impact the core mechanical penthouse area, which would allow tenants to remain in the space during any new construction.

In terms of density, Carr requests that you adjust the density mix to allow an expansion of the Class A office space. Carr is not requesting more density than is recommended by the draft plan, but instead is asking if the
ratio of commercial to residential be adjusted to reflect the realities of likely commercial expansion rather than residential, similar again to what the office study was looking at.

As such, Carr requests that the CR recommendation remain at the CR6 as stated in the public draft, but the commercial recommendation be increased from a 4.75 to a 6 FAR. Thus, in sum we request that on Page 11 of the draft plan that it be modified so that there's a CR6, a C of 6 , and $R$ of 5.75 and an $H$ of 175. And again we've submitted written testimony in the record for your review. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Excuse me.
Are you referring to Page 111?
MS. SILBER: I am.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: And what
number is it on 111?
MS. SILBER: 5.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: 5? Okay,
thanks.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, Mr. Robins, do
you have one?
MR. ROBINS: Yes, I do. Thank you. Good afternoon Chairman Anderson and members of the Board. I still am Steve Robins of Lerch, Early \& Brewer representing Peel Properties, the agent representative of a number of properties located within the boundaries of the Bethesda plan. I already submitted a letter into the record and would like to summarize my points.

First, property 4520 East-West Highway which you have my letter, it's Exhibit 1, is again 4520 East-West Highway. The property is located in the Pearl Street District and is proposed to be rezoned CR6 C4.75 R5.75 H1.75. The property is identified as Number 4 in the public hearing draft on Page 111.

Just to the east, essentially on the same block but within the Wisconsin Avenue Districts, are properties recommended either for the same zoning and then for the CR8 zone with a C of 6, R of 7.5, H290. We're requesting that the Planning Board increase the height for our
property to provide for a more gradual tapering down from the Wisconsin Avenue District to the Pearl Street District. A more appropriate height would be 200 feet.

In making this adjustment the Planning Board also may want to increase the height on the property to the west of 4520 from 175 to at least 225. From a planning perspective, the height would provide a softer but still meaningful transition from the Wisconsin Avenue District to the Pearl Street District, and of great importance will allow for a more realistic opportunity for potential redevelopment of the property sometime in the future.

The next property is 7220 Wisconsin Avenue shown on Exhibit 2.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: What number is that on the little chart? 4 ?

MR. ROBINS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Okay, thank you.

MR. ROBINS: The next property is 7220

Wisconsin Avenue found on Page 95 of the plan. The property is located directly south of the Apex Building in the same block and is recommended to be rezoned CR6 C6 R6 H250 designation. This is Number 23 on the plan. The Apex property is zoned CR8 as I mentioned before. And given its proximity to the Purple Line Station, we would suggest that the zoning for the Apex property be applied to the entire block Number 23. It makes sense in our view to extend similar zoning to properties surrounding the anticipated Purple Line Station.

The next property is 4905 --
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: I got lost.
Give me the number again on Page --
MR. ROBINS: It's 23.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: 23.
MR. ROBINS: Right. Block 23.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: But there are no addresses on our --

MR. ROBINS: Right. On my letter, which I'm not sure if you have --

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Yes, but I'm trying to --

MR. ROBINS: Got you. Okay, so it's Number 23.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: You can refer to the map in the Bethesda book. That would at least help me. I don't know if it would --

MR. ROBINS: The next property is 4905
Del Ray Avenue. It would be Exhibit 3 of my
letter. It's located again at 4905 Del Ray
Avenue. It's presently zoned CR3 C1 R2.75 H90T.
CHAIR ANDERSON: I really can't give you any more time. Sorry.

MR. ROBINS: Okay. The comments are summarized in my letter for 4905 and for 4801 Norfolk Avenue.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Can you give us the numbers again?

MR. ROBINS: Yes. It would be on -hang on a second.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: 4805 is 23.
What about 4905?

MR. ROBINS: So 4905 is Number 5. And if you look at the letter, it's right, two off of Norfolk Avenue on the west side of Norfolk.

MS. HOWERTON: This is on Page 103, not on Wisconsin District but on the Woodmont Triangle District. And so it's Number, Mr. Robins you said what number on the --

MR. ROBINS: 5.
MS. HOWERTON: 5.
MR. ROBINS: But the zoning
designation is Number 5. If you look in my letter I actually have the property which is circled in red. And then the last one, 4801 Norfolk Avenue is identified as Number 7 in the Plan on 103, Page 103, and it is at the corner of Fairmont and Norfolk on the east side of Norfolk.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: I'm not sure I've got them. You've got 4805, 4905 Del Ray and then what's the last one?

MR. ROBINS: 4905 Del Ray and then 7801 Norfolk.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: 7801 Norfolk.

MR. ROBINS: Right. And they're all identified in the letter. And I'd appreciate it if you would look at the last two properties to make sure of the points. But it's dealing generally with the height on Norfolk and the same with Del Ray. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, Ms. Silber, one more.

MS. SILBER: My name's Stacy Silber and I'm here today on behalf of the Jaffe Group and Amir Farazad, the owners of commercial properties located at 6801 and 6807 Wisconsin Avenue and the supporting surface parking lots located along West and adjacent to the St. John's Episcopal Church. If you're following along it's Page 95 Number 1, and Page 123 Number 5.

In order to facilitate the draft Plan goal of transforming the southern portion of Wisconsin and creating real gateway into Bethesda we are requesting a few modifications. First, we ask for a modest increase in overall density and a reallocation to allow for more residential.

Two, we ask for a uniform CR zone recommendation for both Wisconsin and West. Three, we ask for a 145 height along Wisconsin and we ask for a more consistent greenway in terms of a setback along West.

Increased density is important for several reasons. This is a site where there is a layering of requirements including recommended public benefits, large green areas of public connections. To encourage redevelopment of this gateway location we are asking for an increase in the density of Wisconsin Avenue from a 3.5 to a 4. Because the property will be developed comprehensively, a uniform CR zone should be recommended for both Wisconsin Avenue and West Avenue.

As such, we request that the West Avenue parcels be zoned CR instead of CR2. Additionally we request an increase in density on West from a 0.5 to a 1 to achieve redevelopment of the existing surface parking lots on West which supports a greenway along this road
facilitating the adjacent church and recommended public amenities.

We originally proposed a building height of 180 feet along Wisconsin. After speaking with the community and others and looking at the Plan in a little more detail, we believe that it's appropriate that a height would work at 145 feet there. The 145 foot height would allow flexibility in design, greater setbacks, exciting architecture, Plan recommended public amenities and appropriate transitions.

As for the greenway setbacks along West Avenue we are requesting that the currently proposed setback range be narrowed. This will ensure more uniform greenway and provide greater certainties to ensure redevelopment of these smaller properties.

The tier should be narrowed as
follows. The building heights up to 35 feet should provide a 20 foot setback, building heights between 36 and 50 feet should provide a 40 foot setback, and building heights between 51
and 70 feet should provide a 60 foot setback. The current recommendation is very wide ranges in terms of setback areas and we ask that they just be clarified.

These setbacks that we're proposing will ensure an appropriate transition to the residential community and will result in a more uniform greenway along this block of West. Again we've submitted a detailed summary and we appreciate your consideration. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Can you give me the number of the last one?

MS. SILBER: Sure. It's on Page 123 Number 5.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, and before you do that I wanted to call out Ted Featherstone, Brian Lang and/or Pat Harris, Robert Brewer and Ellen Rader. I saw Ellen Rader come in a minute ago. It says here you wanted to speak after 4:00. Is now okay? Okay, great. Come on up. COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Where is West Avenue? I don't see that. I'm on 123.

PARTICIPANT: It's east of Wisconsin between the town of Chevy Chase and Wisconsin Avenue.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: It's not labeled on the map, is it?

PARTICIPANT: No.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, Mr.
Featherstone?
MR. FEATHERSTONE: All right. Good afternoon. My name is Ted Featherstone. I'm here on behalf of JBG, specifically our project at 4733 Bethesda Avenue. I'm also project manager for a project at 4735 Bethesda Avenue.

I'm here today to ask the Board for a minor modification to the draft Sector Plan that's to increase the recommended height at 4733 from 145 to 170. It's important to note that I'm here to request this solely in response to the Omnibus ZTA 15-09 Section C2 of which would alter the location of a metric point used to measure the building height.

Although the draft Sector Plan doesn't
envision a down zoning for 4733 it would keep the height at 145. The unintended consequence of the Omnibus would be to, in effect, take away 22 feet of height from the prior approved project including our hotel that was approved as part of the 7200/Woodmont Certified Site Plan in the summer of 2013.

Since last fall, JBG has been working with staff on a proposal to amend our Artery site plan to bring a 270,000 square foot trophy office building to this site at 4733. We think it would be a much needed addition to the office stock in this section of Bethesda, and we also as part of the project try to bring a level of architecture for which JBG is known.

But what's important to note is that our proposal would allow us to merely keep the same top of roof height which we've proposed to staff before under the existing Sector Plan. Now without this 170 feet of height it would require us to remove two full floors from our proposed plan and that would take away roughly 42,000
square feet of rental area which would severely compromise the financial feasibility of our plan and really threaten the ability for us to move forward.

Now we think any recommendation that discourages office development at this site is contrary to the goals and objectives of the draft Sector Plan. In addition, we think that retaining the existing achievable height, so roughly 170 feet, is important from an urban design context. Because of the sites to the north and the east are zoned for 250 feet, we think 170 feet of achievable height is important to effectively step down as you move forward to Bethesda Row, especially considering the proposed civic gathering space at the corner of Woodmont and Bethesda Avenue.

So for these reasons we ask the Board for a minor modification to increase our height from 145 feet to 170 feet, but again only in response to the proposed Omnibus Zoning Text Amendment. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Ms. Harris.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity. Pat Harris of Lerch, Early \& Brewer. I have two comments not specific to any particular property but relevant to many I would say.

I want to thank staff in the direction of the plan, but I'm concerned that it doesn't go far enough. I think we'd all agree that Bethesda is the economic engine of the county and $I$ daresay perhaps the state, yet I'd say that the recommendations are somewhat tepid and I'm concerned that they simply reaffirm the status quo that currently exists.

Bethesda is just simply one mile away
from the D.C. border and it presents an attractive alternative to residents and employers that are in D.C. It's a true urban environment. It could even be more so. It has a modal split that is positive now but it could be even more so, and there's a street grid already in place.

So the recommendations, I think, could
encourage a more urbanized Bethesda. And what I specifically am noting is that there's recommendations for increased density but not enough to really incentivize redevelopment when you have an existing property, income producing property in place.

And while there's some properties that are recommended for an 8 FAR, the reality is that all but maybe one or two of them are ever going to redevelop in the life of the plan. So I'd request, recommend a more surgical look at the recommendations to evaluate which properties are truly, potentially, going to redevelopment and give them a density that will in fact make that a reality.

The second comment has to do with the public use space recommendations, and this is Page 143 on the Plan, Section 4.3. As currently proposed it provides that any property that provides less than ten percent of public use space needs to either contribute to a fund or provide comparable improvement offsite
irrespective of how much public use space they are providing on site.

And I think this ignores the fact that almost any property is going to provide some public use space on site. It's valuable to the property, it's valuable to the surrounding area, and in fact some of the best public use spaces in Bethesda are those that are the enhanced streetscapes. And yet under this proposal a property owner or developer would not get credit for what they're doing on site if they're under that ten percent requirement, instead they'd have to make a contribution to an offsite public use space.

And to some extent I think this really just amounts to one more tax on the developer and make it more difficult for some of these properties to develop as you layer more and more requirements on. So that is it and I appreciate the time. These are my thoughts. Thank you. CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.

Brewer. And I'm going to have you do one and
then go to Ms. Rader and come back.
MR. BREWER: That's fine. Thank you. Good afternoon, members of the Board. Robert Brewer. Proud to be partners with Pat here, Steve Robins, Bob Harris, Stacy Silber.

I'm here this morning, or this afternoon, rather, on behalf of Bernstein Management Corporation. They own with partners a property at 7121 Wisconsin Avenue. It's next to the Farm Women's Market and it joins the county parking lot. It's on Page 95. It's Number 26. This is a site where Villain \& Saint recently opened up a bar. It's got some retail use but it's a long term redevelopment opportunity for a mixed use project. It would encompass presumably the Farm Women's Market in its amenity plans and perhaps the county parking lot for residential. Likely to be a multi-story, a high-rise, mostly probably residential with some ground floor retail.
We've been working with the staff.

We've been talking with the town of Chevy Chase.

We have a letter in the record. Our comments are briefly as follows. While the FAR is at 6, we believe it should be at 7. The height, however, at 200 feet is okay.

Our second set of comments relates to the parking lot property that the county owns. While there is commercial zoning recommended, the FAR of 0.5 , we believe, is entirely inadequate to facilitate redevelopment for residential. We think it should be at 1.5 .

Also regarding that property it's recommended for what the staff calls Tier 3 Neighborhood Green which would have a fairly broad setback of 70 feet, but then a 70 foot building adjoining it. We say instead of a big setback and big building, how about a smaller setback and a smaller building? And we think that at Tier 1, and that's on Page 127, would be more appropriate, but we think there ought to be a dialogue on it.

> Our final comments relate to the Farm Women's Market, also an adjoining property.

There's language in the plan that recommends it be a civic green. We don't want too literal an interpretation of that. Most successful farmers markets around the country have hardscape in addition to green. We want the language to accommodate a variety of possible options for that.

And secondly, the density averaging language for the Farm Women's Market right now requires that all density in excess of the current building size be conveyed, but that would not allow even modest expansions of the current building let alone some ancillary buildings that would help facilitate a successful farmers market.

So thank you for your work on the Plan. You've got a lot of work to do, but we're here to dialogue with you. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Rader, you'll be glad to know that two hours ago when we took a tour of Bethesda with the Board I made everybody go behind Lot 31 and pointed out
the perspective that you were sharing with me on my tour the other day. So I wanted you to know that and make sure that --

MS. RADER: Thank you. Now you know where I live. And I live on Leland Street. I've lived there since 1985, 4841 Leland Street. You know, we're just a short walk to a minute walk here to the metro.

And as you know we are isolated from any other single-family houses, okay. We're surrounded by apartments, commercial buildings, condos, busy streets, and the density continues to increase around us.

With the need to provide more housing for a projected population increase the County's commitment to adhere to the principals of Smart Road in the desire to expand affordable housing near transit centers it is time to consider higher density for the Sacks Subdivision.

The Sacks Subdivision is composed of 60 single-family houses. Seven homes have already been torn down for much larger houses,
leaving very little green space. There are 13 rentals, six vacant houses, and two that are used for businesses.

The neighborhood I originally moved into is no longer common and quaint. It is undergoing rapid change internally as well as from the nearby redevelopment.

The proposed Master Plan recommends increased building heights adjacent to Sacks and an increase in the width of our neighborhood roads, which we all disagree with, the road width that is.

This also extends the changes to the adjacent road, Strathmore, to accommodate 2-way traffic into Bethesda.

From a planning perspective I feel Sacks, due to its location, needs to transition to higher density in order to keep pace with the needs and goals of the surrounding community.

The majority of the owners in Sacks feel that the new sector plan needs more focus on Sacks and its ongoing transition.

On my behalf the Law Firm of Linowes and Blocher submitted a letter to you that gave feedback on the Bethesda Downtown Plan, which included options for Sacks other than simply remaining a single-family R60 teardown neighborhood.

The first was to retain the base R60 zoning and incorporate a floating zone recommendation and the second was to use the BLT Program to provide green and open space possibilities.

Now the full agreement from Sacks owners for the options presented is a moot point because the options do not require a zoning change in the Master Plan at this point. If conditions and opportunity are right we just want to be able to use options. It does not force anyone to re-zone now, sell, or development their property.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MS. RADER: Okay. A floating zone,
like the townhouses, ensure the proposed project
would be reviewed for conformance with the sector plan to be compatible with existing and approved adjacent development before zoning changes can be approved.

I feel that the Bethesda community as a whole will benefit from increased housing density so close to community, commercial, and transit centers, and the master plan should include options, such as a floating zone, to enable Sacks to transition to a higher density. CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Back to Mr. Brewer.

MR. BREWER: Thank you. Robbie Brewer again. This time I am here to talk to you about property located at 8101 Glenbrook Road, that's at Glenbrook and Rugby and Norfolk Avenue at the North end of Woodmont Triangle.

It's the current home for the American Association of Blood Banks in about a 29,000square foot office building that was built in the 1980's and it adjoins the Battery Lane Park. It's on Page --

PARTICIPANT: Page 121.
MR. BREWER: Also Page 119, it's
Number 4. This is a property that's planning for a future redevelopment. Tom Albert of The Mavern Group, our client, has a plan from Streetsense, the architects, he's going to distribute that will help my point.

We again have a letter in the record. This is a site where a staff draft has recommended a 35 -foot building height and the entirety of the property to be expanded for use as a park, though it would preclude a redevelopment of this site in any way.

The concerns that we have are that the property is worth somewhere between $\$ 8$ million to \$12 million.

We would question whether the Parks Department and the County either have funds of that magnitude or would use them to acquire this property to expand Battery Lane Urban Park.

We have a collateral concern about the road. We're not sure that the road provides
practical extensions of transit or vehicular connections with Battery Lane.

We had nothing to do with all the letters that you received from community members objecting to that road, but we do share their view that this gem of a park not have a road through it.

The plan that you have been presented
(Applause)
MR. BREWER: The plan that you have been presented --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, I'm going to have to ask people not to shout out. It will delay this process and it's really not effective at persuading anybody with anything, so $I$ just caution you, please, applauding, booing, shouting out, it's just really not humble.

MR. BREWER: The final comment I'd like to make is that this plan prepared by Matt Hopkins at Streetsense shows a conceptual redevelopment of this property in lieu of its
taking as a park that would allow the abandonment of Glenbrook Road and expansion of the park by dedication that would create, essentially at no cost for the County, another third of an acre to expand in the park and if the Parks Department acquired two houses at the South end of this park that it's long thought it would acquire, that's another 9000 feet.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. BREWER: So there's more than a half an acre of park expansion that can be contemplated without requiring this building to be precluded from redevelopment at a 35 -foot building height and the entirety of it being proposed for a park. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. Stephen Alfandre, Alison Williams, Barbara Sears, and Allen Myers, and I guess we could actually also take Nathan Finkelstein, since we have five seats.

Mr. Alfandre?<br>MR. ALFANDRE: Okay. Thank you for

having me today. I am here representing property owners in Section 5 of the North Arlington District on Page 133.

Currently there is a designation for a 50-foot height limit and for a property being so close to a metro this simply isn't enough, so we are requesting a height limit of 90 feet and an FAR of 3.0, and I'll give you three reasons to support this.

First, the property is located only 247 yards from a metro, a 2-1/2 minute walk, and I calculated it myself. If we're going to put density anywhere in the County this is the place. It's this close to a metro stop.

Second, the building immediately adjacent is already at 90 feet, so it's not like buildings in this location will be sticking out like a sore thumb.

And, third, the surrounding area is an extremely vibrant walkable area where one does not need a car to live.

My critics might say that it's too
much of a transition, too quick of a transition, over to the Edgemoor Neighborhood, but I'll remind you that there is a very large buffer of about 200 feet with the Bethesda Elementary School and the Library which would allow a sufficient buffer for this. Thank you. CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.

Goldman?
MR. GOLDMAN: Good afternoon. For the record my name is Evan Goldman from Federal Realty Investment Trust and I am standing in for Alison Williams who is also working on this project.

We are the developer and owner of Bethesda Road, so approximately 5000-square feet in Downtown Bethesda, you all know it relatively well.

I want to voice our strong support for the vision and the goals of the master plan. It provides a really solid framework that's going to help us grow this County and have an economic future that's positive, especially within this
specific area so close to D.C.
Although we endorse and applaud most of the recommendations, but frankly we believe certain elements should be modified so it'll allow us to successful achieve some of Federal Realty's goals.

And, specifically, we want to make sure that like our neighbors, we, too, will have the opportunity to take advantage of the environment that we've created or helped to create in Bethesda.

Our first concern is height, primarily, and to a lesser extent FAR, but really height. That's two developments, standardized new development on two of our parcels.

And while we recognize Bethesda Road is an established property, there do remain some redevelopment opportunities that we control and this will assist in our ability to take advantage of the market as it is there and successfully evolve over time.

We support the goal to increase
density and height closest to the metro stations in Wisconsin Avenue, which is one of the goals of the plan.

We do believe that the approach scales down too significantly and too quickly if you would cross Woodmont Avenue into our properties, not taking into account the surrounding relative heights or the proximity of our property to the metro.

Bethesda Road falls within a quarter mile of the existing metro entrance and a tenth of a mile from the proposed new metro entrance. Other properties within this radius have heights ranging from 145 to 290 feet while we remain at 45 to 75, so there's a fairness issue there.

Second, we'd like to request a modification to the language regarding the Capital Crescent Civic Green. As you all know we have a pretty good track record of creating great public spaces, and vibrant spaces around the country.

We plan to continue to do this and to
execute a cohesive vision we're working with staff to develop something that's creative and it's a good solution for this site, which will ultimately end up with really wonderful publicuse space as well as some good development.

Importantly, we are concerned about the strength of market interest in acquiring density transfers, and I believe it's essential to develop a structure which will allow us to recognize value and recover the basis in our land and as such we recommend that priority sending area of density transfers be allowed beyond the plan area to any CR or CRT zoned land in Metro Station Policy Areas.

PARTICIPANT: Ten seconds.
MR. GOLDMAN: We just don't believe there is enough buyers within the Bethesda master plan area for the sending sites to modify for properties.

We also recommend that you remove the size recommendation in the master plan for how big the Civic Green should be and let us work
with staff to determine the right mix for that property, some of which may be some development in some buildings, some of which may be a really awesome park, but that's something we are working with staff on.

So thank you for your attention to these issues. We have submitted a detailed letter that you can all review to get more of the information. Thank you for your time.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Don't go yet.
MR. GOLDMAN: Oh.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: On Page 99
eight is Bethesda Row and then the other two properties are the ones you were talking about, it's Number 4?

MR. GOLDMAN: We own eight, eleven, and where the "four" is, most of where the four is, about half of that. So the properties we are asking for additional height it's largely on four right at the metro essentially and then eight and 11 is a modest increase, not a major increase in height.

The big one is Property 4 and then on the FAR side it's a very modest increase on Properties 8 and 11 and nothing additional on four.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Are the other eights also you?

MR. GOLDMAN: No, those are other -COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: It's just Bethesda Square, I mean Bethesda Row?

MR. GOLDMAN: Bethesda Row, both East and West. Arlington is us, so the Giant there, exactly, as well as to the East of that.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Oh, okay.
MR. GOLDMAN: That, and then to the South, that whole -- Most of that. Not all of it, you've got the Ourisman Honda site as well in there.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Okay, thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: You're welcome.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Myers?
MR. MYERS: Good afternoon. I am here
today as an individual to voice my opposition to the proposal to have 2-way traffic on the EastWest Highway in the Bethesda Central Business District.

Before I moved to Maplewood I lived for 27 years in East Bethesda. For most of those years I would walk home from the metro along the East-West Highway when it had two lanes of traffic in both directions.

It was not uncommon for me to see P.M. Westbound traffic backed up from Wisconsin Avenue all the way to Connecticut Avenue. In addition, in the Summer the intersection of the East-West Highway and Wisconsin Avenue usually had one of the highest levels of ozone in Montgomery County.

If you want to make things better for pedestrians on the East-West Highway I can assure you from personal experience that walking on this road choking on exhaust fumes is not the way to do it.

I fear that if this proposal is adopted it'll be the case of those who do not
study history are doomed to repeat it. Many urban areas utilize one-way traffic patterns as a means of avoiding congestion.

The East-West Highway/Montgomery Lane Couplet, as it was called, has done just that. As it is said, don't mess with success.

Finally, I'd like to add that it was my privilege to serve on the Citizen's Advisory Board for the current sector plan. Our goal was to design a plan that would envision Bethesda as a destination.

I think that many of the proposals in the revised plan that support constricting traffic and limiting parking run counter to the previous objective and will only serve to make Bethesda insular.

Already many of my neighbors tell me when they go out to eat or to a movie they go North to places like Montgomery Mall because traffic is bad in Bethesda and parking, if it can be found, is expensive.

I would urge you to look carefully at
the path you are taking for the future of Bethesda. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Sears?
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Which section of the East-West are you talking about, from the East side?

MR. MYERS: From Wisconsin Avenue, the East side, yes, running all the way to Connecticut.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Right.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Ms. Sears?
MS. SEARS: Good afternoon. My name is Barb Sears. I am with Linowes and Blocher. I am appearing on behalf of Bethesda Land, LLC, owner of 7820 Wisconsin Avenue, which is Parcel 655. It is on Page 95 on the West side of Wisconsin at the block with circled pen, so it's at the corner of Fairmont and Wisconsin.

It is currently improved with a 7-11 convenience store by the properties in the Wisconsin Avenue District and it's recommended on

Page 95 for a CR6, C6, R5.75, height 250.
South of the property abutting Norfolk
Avenue veteran Civic Green is recommended as an extension of existing public open space. The recommended height is intended to encourage signature buildings that frame and revitalize civic gathering space.

The area is intended to serve as a center of activity to enliven and draw people into the Woodmont Triangle and connect through the Wisconsin Avenue corridor, transit, and existing and planned recreation opportunities.

Importantly, Norfolk Avenue is recommended to be a shared street to further implement the goals in the plan. The owner supports these goals and is enthusiastic about the potential for redevelopment.

However, to achieve this potential the owner requests an FAR 8 for the area. This increase in FAR will provide some important opportunities.

First, achieving the height
recommendation is important to the success of the vision for this area as a center of activity and a distinct place for people to visit, enjoy, and return.

To achieve this height without excessive cost additional FAR should be permitted as part of the base of the zone.

Second, the owner is interested in exploring the possibility of including workforce housing in the development. Currently in the CR zone workforce housing is not exempted from FAR as are MPDUs that build at 15 percent or greater.

The retention of affordable housing and the construction of new MPDUs are major goals of the plan. Workforce housing at 80 to 100 percent AMI would provide an important element of housing not now traditionally achieved by new development in the downtown.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MS. SEARS: Allowing the additional
FAR will therefore not only implement the major land use goals and design goals of the plan, but
also allow additional consideration for the potential of incorporating workforce housing into this new development at this location.

Thank you very much and thanks to your staff, they've been great.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Finkelstein?

MR. FINKLESTEIN: Yes. My name is Nat Finklestein. I am a volunteer member of the Board of the Bethesda Fire Department, which has a totally volunteer board.

We are located Station 6 of our fire department is located -- Is that better?

Okay. I'm Nat Finklestein. I'm a member of the Bethesda Fire Board. And we are located at the corner of Wisconsin and Bradley at 6600 Wisconsin Avenue.

I would like to join in the other thanks to the Staff and the Chair and the Commission on their careful consideration of this plan.
and not to bury it. And in fact, what $I$ want to do is help you accommodate this plan.

As we all recognize, in the last 50 years, since Station 6 was built, Bethesda has grown significantly. Our job as a partner with the county is to provide fire and rescue service to the greater Bethesda area, including the areas up into Bethesda, south to Friendship Heights, across to Chevy Chase. And even across to the areas on Massachusetts Avenue.

We realize that the station is
approaching its 50 year anniversary. And with that increased technology and need for a much better station is required.

Recognizing that there are very
limited funds, both in the county and otherwise, we have looked at various options to consider what to do with Station 6.

One of the options, and you have -- by changing the zoning to $C R$ has helped us with that option, is to collaborate with a private developer so that a state of the art fire station
can be built, surrounded by a limited number of housing units. And that is our goal.

We have met with the communities around our area. And we've also met with the various towns. And will continue to do so as this process is going forward.

This evening we're going to have Bob Stoddard raise a couple of technical changes that we would ask for, with regard to our zoning. And especially in light that we are in part a public facility as opposed to just the private entity.

But I do want to say that this is a very good start for us. We appreciate the effort. And we hope that you recognize that our role in this is not as a developer, but rather as a part of the public service that is absolutely necessary for Bethesda as it grows in the future. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks to all of you.
Thanks to all of you. Can we get Emily Vaias, Naomi Spinrad, Cecilia Royals, Bob Dalrymple. Oh, and Erica Letham.

Looks like we're missing Cecilia Royals and Bob Dalrymple. Or maybe not. Are they coming up?

Why don't you go ahead, Ms. Vaias.
MS. VAIAS: Okay. Good afternoon, thank you, members of the Board and Staff.

First property -- my name is Emily Vaias, I'm with Linowes and Blocher. And the first property I'm going to talk about is property located on Page 123, Numbers 5 and 6. this is the Hadjin property on Stanford Street.

And this is a small property that currently has a retail, a family owned retail on it, which has been there for a long time. Is split zoned currently. It's CRT and R60.

We think that this is an opportunity to sort of clear that up. And in fact for several properties along this block we think that that would be a good recommendation.

And, in particular, for this property, we'd like to see it zoned similarly to the other properties in the block so that there's a chance
for collaboration among those property owners, to do something collectively together.

So we would be suggesting that it be zoned CR-3.5, C-2.5, R-3.25 with a height of 70. Again, to be sure, that we protect the adjacent neighborhood.

But again, we think that that would allow for more collaboration among everyone in that block to develop something together.

In addition, on Page 67, there's a big green asterisk on this property, shown as a potential open space, we understand that these are not specifically located.

However, it certain seems to suggest that this property would have to contribute something or do something. And it's not that large.

And again, we would suggest that that be removed from this property so that there's more ability for the whole block to develop in a sort of way.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: So you want
the star, you want the star shifted?
MS. VAIAS: Shifted or disappear.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, speak into the mic. Yes.

MS. VAIAS: Sorry.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Got it.
MS. VAIAS: All right, thank you.
That's all for that property.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. We're going to take Ms. Spinrad. We'll come back to you for the next one.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Before you start, what was the numbers again on Page 123?

MS. VAIAS: 123, 5 and 6.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: And on which
street?
MS. VAIAS: Stanford.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Got it. Okay, thank you.

MS. SPINRAD: I'm Naomi Spinrad. Vice president, Chevy Chase West Neighborhood Association.

CCW Homes confront the Bethesda Fire Department property at Wisconsin and Bradley. We want to keep this fire station, but we object to the proposed re-zoning there.

CCW believes the Bethesda downtown should end at Bradley Boulevard, a natural dividing line.

That the underlying zoning for the fire station property should be R-60, consistent with the least dense adjacent zoning, as is true for a majority of the county's Fire and Rescue stations. And that CR zoning with its vast use is inappropriate for this edge property.

At the least, the zoning should be left as it is until the county fire officials determine what is needed and if there is a compelling reason to change the zoning.

CCW has a strong record of working others. We worked with the County Arborists to replace dead and diseased trees on our streets, paying some expenses from our reserves, with the State Highway Administration on the Green Mile
sidewalk on the East side of Wisconsin sensitive to strong feelings about safe pedestrian access, loss of trees and aesthetics.

And with the Chevy Chase Club to ensure that 18 wheelers make their delivers safely to the Pub via a new driveway on Wisconsin Avenue without impeding access to and from CCW.

Last May we learned from news reports that the BFD was looking at redeveloping this site into a multistory residential structure with an embedded relocated fire station.

In October, at CCW's invitation, Grant Davies of the BFD and I met informally to discuss options that CCW might be able to support if BFD provided certain guarantees. Six months later they made a presentation to our board with no new ideas.

The BFD Board is dominated by longtime members who make all major decisions in executive session or via private communications, effectively excluding committees from the decision chain.

Its fund-raising has been anemic, even though it is in the economic engine of the county. Its claims that it must have this zoning to continue carry out its functions and that the county will not pay for a new fire station are belied by county expenditures. It is not a property owner like any other.

BFD's primary purpose and reason for its tax exemption is public safety, not property management. The county provides the fire and rescue services BFD houses. The county should lead in determine whether a new fire station is needed and how to configure it.

CCW, with the Town of Somerset, Village of Drummond, Village of Chevy Chase and the 15 other communities in the Citizens Coordinating Committee of Bishop Heights, agree that there should be only a standalone fire station here. Especially as density and demand for fire and rescue services in the area increase.

As my written testimony details, Chevy

Chase West and its neighbors along the Green Mile. Strongly urge you to reject any change in zoning, other than to R-60 for the fire station property.

To endorse the concept that the public safety needs over the next decades demand that this be a standalone fire station. And to respect CCW by not imposing a CR zone with heights, densities and nuisances so incompatible with a single family neighborhood. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Royals. Is that you?

MS. ROYALS: That's me.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Grab a microphone.
MS. ROYALS: Cecilia Royals. I am a resident on Nottingham Drive, which is the street that is on the South side of the Bethesda Fire Department.

It also is, in a certain sense, the gateway to Bethesda from DC. The very sought after, what are we going to look like, what is our front door going to look like to our
neighbors at the South, from whom we want so much wealth.

So the Bethesda Fire Department has proposed a unit where they could keep the fire department, which we all want, state of the art, which we all want and we applaud that. But they plan to surround it with, not single-family dwellings, which would be consistent with the tapering down of Bethesda to the single-family dwellings on the south side of Nottingham Drive, where I live.

They want multi-family units which would, it somehow makes me fear what little old Montgomery Lane looks like behind the Topaz House, for example. Perhaps they're not going to put them that high, but is that the front entrance to Bethesda from our wealthy, we want them to arrive at this destination. Is that what we really want it to look like?

Now a state of the art fire station, with a lot of green space. I would like to encourage you to think of the garden district and
the meaningful gathering space ideas that you have and have that be your calling card. Your front entrance.

Maintain a fire station but make it look also like a gathering space. Like a place where you can actually breathe deeply and to be proud of.

Nottingham Drive is one block. And right now Nottingham Drive takes on the burden of the overflow parking from the business district of Bethesda, from residents from who knows where who come to park close enough, within a walking distance of the metro to walk to the metro. There's going to be another metro station, isn't there?

Nottingham Drive has that burden. It also has the burden from the other multi-family units.

## VICE CHAIR WELLS-HARLEY: Thirty

 seconds.seconds.
MS. ROYALS: Thirty seconds, right.
Thank you. So consider the character and consider the tapering down.

If everybody is going to get 200 feet or where -- the tapering down is going to be a precipice when you get to Nottingham Drive. Not only that, but over development leads to low occupancy and all these dreams go up in smoke. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. So I've got Ms. Letham.

MS. LETHAM: Thank you. Erica Letham with Ballard Spahr. You've heard a lot of testimony this afternoon so I'm just going to make one point.

I'm here on behalf of Huron Associates, which owns 7901 Wisconsin Avenue. It's the southernmost Number 7 on Page 95.

It's currently a Wells Fargo Bank Branch just South of the Benihana. This is in the Wisconsin Avenue District.

This property owner also has interest in several other properties to the east, along the eastern -- in the Eastern Greenway portion, including a surface parking lot and single-family house.

And the opportunity is by assembling all of these properties that will implement the full width of the eastern Greenway that's recommended in the master plan. And potentially expand Chase Avenue neighborhood park.

But, and the but is why we're all here, it's not economically viable without more height and density on the Wisconsin Avenue side.

It's currently proposed for an FAR 3.5 and a height of 120 feet. That doesn't work.

We're proposing an FAR of 5 and a height of 200 feet. And this is consistent with the adjoining and confronting properties.

I have submitted comments for the record with some really beautiful massing diagrams to demonstrate this and I encourage you to review those. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Did you say the right or the east side of Wisconsin or the left side? The east side or the west?

MS. LETHAM: The east side.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: East side.
MS. LETHAM: It's the southernmost.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Right, it's the southernmost side.

MS. LETHAM: Oh, I'm sorry. It's the southernmost 7 at the north side of Wisconsin Avenue.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Yes, okay.
MS. LETHAM: That's confusing. There's a lot of 7's.

PARTICIPANT: Can we see it on the screen with the mouse?

PARTICIPANT: Keep going down, there. Right there.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Where's the arrow?

CHAIR ANDERSON: Just North of Chase. COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Ah. Okay.

MS. LETHAM: Sorry. Sorry about that. I should have clarified.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Chase Avenue, got it.

MS. LETHAM: Right, Chase Avenue.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, Mr. Dalrymple?
MR. DALRYMPLE: Good afternoon. Bob
Dalrymple, law firm of Linowes and Blocher.
I'm here on behalf of several property owners. To begin with, Washington Property Company who has several properties within the downtown area.

Tim Eaton will be taking the next speakers slot to talk about the property that's next to the Washington Property, which is at the Southwest corner of Wisconsin Avenue and Woodmont Avenue.

This property is comprised of about 57,500 square feet. And again, we are coordinating with the other property owners in that block to try to come up with a cohesive plan for redeveloping what will be the southern
gateway to the downtown Bethesda area.
Being the southern gateway and located on the main artery to the downtown area, we think that this frontage needs to make an urban statement for persons coming through or entering or exiting the downtown area.

Currently a building height of 90 feet is recommended with the zoning. And we believe that this height is inadequate to make this downtown statement that we believe is necessary and appropriate.

The second location for Washington Property is at 4720 Hampden Lane. Which is between Woodmont Avenue and Wisconsin Avenue, with very close proximity to the Bethesda Metro.

This is very much the core of this major urban city. It should be zoned accordingly.

We believe that general areas within
the downtown should be identified as being appropriate for height and density and then allow the marketplace to takeover.

While Washington Property has no immediate plans for redevelopment, the possibility for assemblage or redevelopment on its own exists.

The properties at the urban core, including this, should have the maximum zoning allowed under the zoning code. Which is CR-8 with 300 foot height.

It's the desire to encourage core properties to -- if the desire is to allow core properties to get additional density through the transfer program, then we think this incentive should be to add to the appropriate based zoning. Not the artificially set zoning low to require property owners to abide that, the density to the level of appropriateness.

We have written testimony that we'll submit for both locations. And again, the next speaker slot I'm giving away to Tim.

CHAIR ANDERSON: You know what, instead of doing that I'd prefer to going back to Ms. Vaias. And you've got a number of other
properties.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Yes, that's fine.
CHAIR ANDERSON: So we can go back and forth until -- just for a couple more of these and then we're going to take a break.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay.
CHAIR ANDERSON: And then we'll have whoever come back. If we could go to Ms. Vaias now.

MS. VAIAS: Okay, thank you. Emily Vaias with Linowes and Blocher talking on behalf of Douglas Development this time.

And what I've handed out to you is what I hope will help you note where these properties are. Douglas Development actually owns seven properties in the Bethesda area. And we will be submitting written testimony for each of them. But I'll just quickly go through a couple.

As we've indicated on the map, Page 95
that I gave you, they own 4714 Montgomery Lane, 4715 Hampden Lane. Probably better known as

Tommy Joe's and Pines of Rome.
That property is again, right in the central core, close to metro. It has been recommended for 250 height. Of course we think 290 or 300 would give some more ability to being very creative in this area and not just have one site across the way that could go that high.

And in addition we believe that the density should go to the maximum with a CR-8.0 instead of the 6.0 and allow more residential to go 7.5 there.

So that's Number 11 on Page 95. Also on Page 95, Number 25, is 6831 Wisconsin Avenue. Further South. Another Joe's, Trader Joe's at that site.

And that property has, again as I mentioned earlier, split zone in this block down here. Which I believe was probably necessary with the old Euclidean zones and it was a way to protect the neighborhood.

But with the current CR zones and the ability to really affect the design of a
property, I don't believe that the fictional, the separation with the split zoning is necessary. We'd like to see the whole property zoned to the CR-3.5 with a C-3.5, R-3.25 and keep the height at 90 .

And again, this would be for the entire property. Not just the front piece.

On Page 103 they also own 7979 Old Georgetown, which is an existing office building.

In this case the zoning recommended this as CR-3.5, with a C of 1.25. Again, this existing building already exceeds the 3 . And again, it seems like a commercial piece and we should go ahead and up the $C$ to 3.5 as well to allow that to remain commercial in order to redevelop without being nonconforming.

And lastly, again on Page 103, Number 5, the 7900 Norfolk, which is commonly known as Rock Bottom Brewery and office building.

Again, this one we would like to see increased to a CR-6. Again, with a C of 6 and a R of 5.75 and a height of 110 . As opposed to the
lower density that's currently proposed.
Again, we're going to be submitting written testimony on all the properties.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: I just have a sort of suggestion to the staff maybe. If when we get your summary of the comments back that you have a map with what's current, what's recommended by staff, what any of speakers have or letters have suggested so we can --

CHAIR ANDERSON: A case number and a marker that's the comment.

MR. DALRYMPLE: We can do that.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: There's a lot of decisions to be made and they're all over the place.

MS. VAIAS: Yes, we'll do that.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: And when we talk about it it would really help. I think in some places talk about addresses, they're not in the book. So it's --

And their numbers are the same numbers on the same page because it relates to different
-- it's not the number of the property, it's the number relating to how it's been zoned. So it's -- I think when we try to sit through all this that would be really helpful.

I don't know if anybody else has -CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, I mean yes. All right, could we go back to Mr. Dalrymple.

MR. DALRYMPLE: This slot will be -CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, you know what, if we're going to go to him I'd rather have him on a different channel so we think --

MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay, yes.
Understand. That's fine.
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: We could do another property.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Sure. Next property is property controlled by Asksoylu Properties. That includes public parking lot 43 and an assemblage of private properties.

These properties are between Wisconsin Avenue and Woodmont Avenue and between St. Elmo and Cordell.

Again, parking Lot 43 is a surface parking lot of the county. It was put out to RFP within the last year. And Asksoylu Properties was the selected developer.

With the assemblage of this property and other private properties in this vicinity, the desire is to construct a misuse residential over retail project. As part of that RFP process the developer has proposed a significant contribution of dwelling units to the Affordable Housing Program. With at least 20 percent of the total dwelling units to be at 65 percent of the AMI .

The block is inconsistent in terms of its zoning in as much as it does allow for CR-6, H-175 for some properties. And much less than that at a 145 feet for other properties. Including the assemblage I'm speaking to you today on.

Our request is pretty easy, which is to zone the entire block consistently and to allow the market forces to take over.

This property, again with the proffer to do a more affordable housing, the greater the density, the more the affordable housing that will be provided.

So we do have written testimony that we'll be submitting into the record for this project as well.

The 175 feet in height that we're asking for would be protected from the residential community at the edges of the CBD. And, more importantly, it would be consistent with properties to the south of it, along Wisconsin Avenue also to the west of it, across Woodmont Avenue.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. DALRYMPLE: So we ask that you consider zoning this entire block in a consistent manner with CR-6 and H-175 to encourage this public/private partnership to proceed forward with greater height and density in response to what we believe the marketplace is.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. And
if you're ready with one more after we go back to Ms. Vaias?

MS. VAIAS: I also have a client who would like to speak. So what do you want --

CHAIR ANDERSON: I really can't have the client speaking and you speaking for the same property.

MS. VAIAS: Right. No, we won't. I'm not going to speak, the Client is.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, all right. Then let's put that on a different panel. Do you have one more, Mr. Dalrymple, before we take a break.

MS. VAIAS: I do have one more that I'm going --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, all right. Go ahead.

MS. VAIAS: Okay. All right, sorry.
Emily Vaias with Linowes and Blocher --
(Off-microphone comments)
MS. VAIAS: No. And this time I'm
representing the Abraham Morrison Memorial, LLC.
They are the owner of the property located at

4885 Edgemoor Lane in Bethesda.
And they've been working with the adjacent owner, EQR, on potential redevelopment there. The existing property is a single-family home.

And currently the plan is proposing that it be a CR-2.5 with a C-0.5 and a R-2.5 with a height of 120.

And we believe that in order to help a redevelopment here to occur, which seems very appropriate again considering it's single-family surrounded by multi-story buildings on all sides, that a density of CR-4.0, instead of the 2.5 with a height of 175 , which could be consistent with properties across Woodmont, which are zoned CR-6 and 8, would allow for more ability here to collaborate with EQR and to redevelop this site. And again, we'll be submitting testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. And Mr. Dalrymple and then we'll take a break.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Thank you. Bob

Dalrymple with Linowes and Blocher talking to you about a property owned by, or under contract and owned by, B.F. Saul Entities.

This property is in assemblage of a property in the 8001 Wisconsin Avenue block. Bounded by Wisconsin Avenue to the West, West Virginia Avenue, Highland Avenue and Tilbury Street.

It is in the Wisconsin Avenue Corridor District and the Eastern Greenway District of the draft plan.

Saul generally supports the draft plans vision for this area. And in particular, supports the concept of having more density and height along Wisconsin Avenue, transitioning to the east, to the single-family neighborhood of East Bethesda.

Including the establishment of the linear Greenway to create this clear line of demarcation between the Urban Center and the established residential community to the East. Our concern is that with the
constraints within the plan of the BRT, the BRT stops proposed for this area, and with the setbacks that are encouraged through the plan for the Greenway, that the density and height recommended in the draft plan are insufficient to spur the desired redevelopment.

The Plaintiff's recommended for CR zoning, with heights at 120 feet, stepping 70 feet and then transitioning from the $C R$ to the CRT zone at a lesser density.

We would request that this be bumped up and that height along Wisconsin Avenue be at least 145 feet, matching what is across Wisconsin Avenue stepping to 90 feet. And then further stepping to $\mathbf{7 0}$ feet before the creation of the linear Greenway area between the single-family community and the urban district.

We also note, and we will put this in written testimony to be delivered before the close of the record, that the -- and we've talked to staff about this, we're asking that the CR zoning, where it transitions to the CRT now, be
extended to the east one by one parcel so that it's consistent with the CR lines, both north and south of the property.

Right now we have the jog in the CR between the three blocks with this block being in the center. And we would ask that that CR be extended over to have a consistent line.

And our last concern is that this is an all or nothing proposition in the plan. The entire block needs to redevelop or else the plan says that none of it will. We'll submit written testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, we're going to take a little break. When we come back can we have Mr. Tim Dugan, Susan Jones, John Collich and William Everngam. And also Mr. Dalrymple, either you or your client on that one.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:55 p.m. and resumed at 4:07 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSON: Who would like to start? It doesn't really matter, just --

MR. EDEN: I'll start.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MR. EDEN: I'm Tim Eden, Managing Partner at Star Capital. We recently acquired the property adjacent to that of Washington Property Company that Bob just reviewed at 7008 Wisconsin Avenue, and we strongly support their proposal.

Bob and his team also represent our interest, and they will be submitting testimony. Located at 7,000 Wisconsin Avenue, our property consists of 22,000 square feet of land and 25,000 square feet of leased retail space including Orbis and Sleepy's which is a good description of this neighborhood as a collection of older properties, sleepy.

In meeting with Staff March 2015, we requested FAR of 5 and a height of 120 as consistent with other properties on Wisconsin Avenue equidistant to Metro to the north.

We think that this makes good suburban planning sense to help meet the goals and the
objectives of the Plan for the following reasons, we have significant cash flow, it's a retail property and it would be uneconomic to build if we're not granted additional density, we would simply add more retail space.

The current plan recommends 90 feet in
FAR 3.5 which is insufficient to encourage large scale construction, which is a key economic development of the plan.

Number two, along with their neighbors to the north and south, this section of Wisconsin Avenue consists of over two acres, offers critical mass to accommodate large, energy efficient buildings.

It also offers a gateway location to Bethesda at Woodmont Avenue, and a good proximity to transit. The property is buffered from single family communities outside of the CBD and additional height at this location would naturally taper to the west.

Number three, we're willing to invest in the redevelopment property, working
cooperatively with our neighbors at Washington Property Company to plan for cohesive development regarding open space, architecture, parking, and living.

We further believe the property should be recognized as an opportunity to allow more density of height to the density sharing program being recommended in the plan. Again, this would be consistent with the plan on Wisconsin Avenue, Cheltenham Avenue, equidistant north with Metro that shows heights of 250 feet.

In summary, we're generally supportive of the Draft Sector Plan that establishes broad goals and objectives for Bethesda regarding green space, transportation, affordable housing, and economic development.

Beyond this general plan for development, however, the market should be the guiding force for where density and height should occur. We believe the plan is too specific block by block, in a manner that attempts to define the skyline in open space.

Since each project will have open space requirements, we believe the private sector will innovate --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. EDEN: -- to consolidate
properties and provide creative solutions. The outstanding Bethesda development community is prepared to deliver on the promise of the plan to be one of the most successful urban centers in the region.

The Sector Plan should be broad and flexible enough to allow the market to exceed expectations. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. We'll
take B.F. Saul now.
MR. BURKE: Thanks. Good afternoon.
My name is Vince Burke, I represent B.F. Saul
Company headquartered just up the street. I should also note $I$ was born and raised in Bethesda and live in East Bethesda just adjacent to the planning area.

First we want to thank the Staff for
all their hard work and commend them on what we feel is a exciting venue for the future. We agree with many of the stated goals of the Plan, however we feel that there are some revisions that could help achieve those goals and create a better Bethesda.

As a company, we are heavily invested here, and we focused on the Plan since the outset. We are diligent in our planning efforts because Saul is likely to repay its assets through the life of the Plan.

One site we feel deserves another look is our garden closet property located at 7700 Old Georgetown Road, about a block and a half west of here, and it's Page 103, number 12.

First we need to correct a discrepancy in the Plan. This page has us at 40 feet. That does not reflect current zoning, showing a significantly lower height than was intended.

We've petitioned Staff regarding this area, and they agree that it should be changed to show the 110 feet. In addition, we believe that
this site is appropriate for increased height and density.

Pedestrians can walk to the metro from the site in less time than I'm allowed to speak here in this testimony. Locating density in close proximity to mass transit is chief among urban planning principals, and being within a three minute walk surely qualifies.

Located at the heavily trafficked corner of Arlington Road, Wilson Lane, Old Georgetown Road, property provides a unique opportunity to develop an arrival moment for Bethesda's urban quarter.

Developing landmark buildings with more urban presence will serve to establish a definitive western gateway for downtown Bethesda. In the Plan, Garden Plaza was added to the Woodmont Triangle District.

Many of the properties throughout Woodmont Triangle at greater distances from Metro are planned at the District's peak density of 175 feet, and 6.0 FAR.

This property is a primary location to merit the same treatment. We understand the staff desires to transition a section of the property at the corner of Mooreland and Arlington, and we agree that this portion could remain at the 110 feet.

However, it should be noted that transitional buffers between the site and Bethesda single family home to the west measure over 700 feet, and far exceed analogous conditions throughout the planning area.

Finally, we have studied numerous phase three development schemes that are achievable within the 20 year life of the plan. In addition to the benefits already described --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. BURKE: -- realizing the full
potential of this site would reinvigorate a generous but underutilized public space, add mixed use development near transit and employment opportunities, and deliver new buildings that are in keeping with the quality synonymous with

Bethesda envisioned by this Plan.
Saul has the expertise, wherewithal, and desire to follow through on this vision but needs the flexibility in the Plan to do so. We will be submitting written testimony to follow, and are available for any questions. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Burke.
Ms. Jones?
MS. JONES: Yes, my name is Susan Jones and I'm here speaking as an individual. And in regard to the proposed redevelopment of the Bethesda Metro Plaza by either Clark or Brookfield, I'm here to suggest that the redevelopment include a public dance space that would be suitable for community dances.

Dancing is a wonderful activity. Bethesda is getting denser and denser, and I think it would be great to have sort of a venue where people could gather and connect.

I think one of the previous speakers mentioned that her neighborhood was not calm and quaint anymore. And perhaps a community dance
floor would be a way to bring back a little bit of calm and quaint to the Bethesda area.

I think there are a number of community recreational dance groups that might be interested in using such an entity. The newsletter, the Folklore Society of Greater Washington lists about 500 recreational groups. And while many of these groups already have their own spaces, they may be interested in expanding and holding more dances more frequently than they do.

A dance space might also be suitable for local dance studios or academies who want a place where they can hold a special event, maybe a public recital for students. There might also be skilled amateur performing dance troupes who might want to perform there or even professional troupes that might want to show up there for a performance.

There would be a number of benefits, I think, to having a local public dance space. Some practical ones would include increases in
business for local businesses, particularly restaurants and bars as people decide to go out to dinner before the dance, or go out afterwards to a bottle of wine.

I think that important benefits also can include some less tangible things including creation of a sense of community. As I grew up in Bethesda, it was a small town back then. It isn't anymore, but let's try to bring an element of the small town atmosphere back.

It also would provide health benefits. We all know that we don't move enough. And so, you know, let's bring in an activity that encourages movement.

I think there are a number of reasons to think that such a space could be quite successful. You all may be aware that Glenn Echo Park was redeveloped a number of years ago and they have a great dance program there that's very active.

## Some of their dances draw several

hundred people. And I see no reason why Glenn

Echo should have all the fun --
PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MS. JONES: -- and enjoy all the prestige. A new dance started a couple of years ago in Downtown Washington, a square dance that took off like lightning and draws several hundred people.

I will stay as long as I can to interact with some of the Clark and Brookfield people. But at some point I have to leave because I'm going to go dancing tonight.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. And we're interested in activating some of the public use spaces. I would encourage you not just to think and confine your thinking to that particular space, but other places in Bethesda.

And if you haven't been in touch with our Parks Department, we have a major initiative to try to accommodate and encourage and facilitate more of those kind of active uses.

MS. JONES: And how would I find that on the web?

CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, we can put you in touch with the relevant staff people, Leslie can help you out. And we have your contact information. Thank you. Mr. Dalrymple, you want to do one more? And then Mr. Mahaffie, you want to take a seat and I'll -- yes.

MR. DALRYMPLE: If you want to see dancing, you should attend the planning board sessions every Thursday. A lot of dancing.

MS. JONES: But they don't move their feet, right?
(Laughter)
MR. DALRYMPLE: Bob Dalrymple from Linowes and Blocher speaking on behalf of Bernstein Companies and property that Bernstein Companies owns and is developing under the name of Bethesda Center which the Board is familiar with. We've been before you several times with regard to that project.

It's a 466,000 square foot mixed use project with hotel, residential, office, and retail. It was initially intended to be a single
phase development, but it will now be a two phase development with the hotel component ready to go, and the office component awaiting for the office market to return.

Because it's now a split phase development, this sector plan amendment does become quite relevant to the second phase of build-out of the project.

And in that regard, we are most interested in receiving appropriate changes to the zoning to encourage more height and more density for the build out of the project.

What is a bit concerning to Bernstein companies is the zoning pattern for this block where very small properties at the northern edge of the block receive higher height than the remainder of the block.

And we understand the theory behind it having talked to staff between, you know, about the building walls with the new civic gathering spaces and the lights. But these properties on the northern edge will have little likelihood of
redeveloping on their own.
And the best use of the sites will be to be settled with the rest of the block, or to be public amenity spaces with densities transferred to the rest of the block.

The long and short of it is that we believe that the entire block should be designated as appropriate for 250 feet in height. There's no reason for these properties at this location close to the Metro, along the main artery road of Wisconsin Avenue through the downtown area, and certainly far away from the edges of the downtown area, there's no reason why there shouldn't be more height allowed for this property.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. DALRYMPLE: And then to allow the marketplace to speak as to what properties should be assembled and how much of it will be redeveloped and when.

Again, the first phase of the development is ready to go to permitting, so it
will be constructed at the current zoning. But there is a significant opportunity for the rest of this block to be something special. And for that reason, we would ask that you consider our request. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Mahaffie?
MS. MAHAFFIE: Good afternoon. My name is Jane Mahaffie. I'm with StonbridgeCarras and today I'm speaking as the representative as the contract purchaser of the Bethesda Police Station on 7359 Wisconsin Avenue which is at Wisconsin Avenue and Montgomery Avenue. There you go, one of those Block 11s up there. We are also the owner of 7351, an adjacent property on Wisconsin Avenue in the core of Bethesda.

As you know, the property at 7359 is part of the public/private partnership with the county, and particularly the police department where the new 2nd District Police Station that will in the future be located at 4823 Rugby

Avenue.
First, we have submitted testimony that goes into more detail related to a number of items. But for brevity and just, like, apparently to repeat myself from a lot of people here $I$ would like to discuss just a few.

While we also share the vision of the planning staff, we strongly believe that the recommendation for this property and others in that downtown court area fall far short of what we think not only should be allowed, but should be encouraged.

Bethesda is and should be the primary commerce area for the county, and the planning premise of sort of the high density and tenting out for the perimeters is fine. However, we believe that in that Bethesda Metro core, it's underutilized, including our two properties.

The Plan recommends on our property 6 FAR and a 250 foot height maximum at this location. We think with the frontage on Wisconsin Avenue, immediate access to Metro, I
think I get closer than you do, it should be planned for the absolute maximum density and height allowed under the county zoning.

In addition, this property has a constraint of the commercial at 4.75 FAR. We think that sort of it should float up to the highest density and the maximum density allowed. And not only the residential, but the commercial seems to us to be fairly restricted with that.

While we don't know exactly what our use is on the site and the mix of uses will be, we are hopeful that the market will support a substantial office project on this property.

And so it's puzzling to us why as
noted in the early sections of the report stressed the challenges of Bethesda being an employment center remaining in the goals of increasing the work life, but this is severely and artificially restricted.

So we would ask that that FAR, particularly for the commercial --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.

MS. MAHAFFIE: -- go higher. Our site in particular is a great assembled site, and actually a good site for redevelopment, particularly in the early stages of the plan. The station will be coming up fairly soon, and we'll be starting construction on that.

So it's noted in the report the Wisconsin Avenue core is a main artery, and we believe it needs to have the higher density and a particular, specifically moving up the commercial and the residential zone.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, I think Mr. Dalrymple, do you want to do one more before we switch panels? Go ahead.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Because Stonebridge has multiple properties, we were supposed to be on for two slots. So I'll just quickly just follow up on Ms. Mahaffie's testimony on behalf of Stonebridge.

And really, just talk about general concepts of the Plan itself as it, I think, relates to the entire Plan. And in particular,
the idea of the tenting which I think is really at the foundation of the Plan itself and the planning concept framework for the Plan. Here before, the Bethesda CBD, the tenting was really a pretty basic concept. It was you have your core area where more height and density is appropriate, and it transitions to the edges, and that forms the tenting. It makes a lot of sense and I think that's how the Plan has been for a while and has been implemented.

The new Plan alters that concept in that the tenting concept is now throughout the downtown area where there are the civic greens, and then tenting that occurs with the intended purpose of the Plan to identify properties that should be higher and properties which should be lower.

So rather than having at the center your higher density in height and then the tenting going to the edges, as $I$ understand the concept now it's to have tenting throughout the plan where you have you're up and you're down,
which in and of itself we don't agree with, I mean, we don't have a problem with but our problem is trying to be too specific in having that tenting occur.

And I think that the marketplace itself will allow this tenting to occur. Some properties are going to develop, some properties are not, some properties will go high, some properties won't. That's just the nature of the marketplace.

And we would ask that you take a look at this basic planning concept and decide if that's the right concept itself. I don't think there's anything wrong with the concept that's been in place with the tenting being at the center and transitioning down to the edges where general areas where growth and density and height are appropriate.

And within those areas, let the market forces play out, and that will dictate where the redevelopment is occurring. And again, I think that the same tenting that Staff is trying to
achieve with this new concept will be addressed by the marketplace.

We've had some testimony already about the density transfer and the density averaging. Again, we think that that should be incentive program where you have your base areas of appropriate zoning.

And you should be able to add to the height and density to those areas with density transfer and density averaging. And we'll have written testimony. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. If we can get Heather Dlhopolsky, Andrew, and I'm sorry about the pronunciation of the name, Cretal, Richard Hoye, and Ms. Vaias, did you --
(Off-microphone comment)
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, why don't you both come up and we'll figure out how to see that. You know, a lot of you people look just like the people who were here before and you wait for the next panel. You should have gotten different outfits. I'll put you on the next one,
thank you. And I'm sorry, I can't remember how to pronounce your last name.

MR. CRETAL: It's Cretal.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Cretal, thank you. Okay, Ms. Dlhopolsky.

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Good afternoon.
Heather Dlhopolsky with Linowes and Blocher. I'm actually signed up to testify on behalf of 7735 Old Georgetown Road and that's Bill Everham so I'm taking Bill's spot. So he can take my other spot.

You have our full written testimony already and I left hard copies here today. I'm speaking on behalf of the Fairmont Building LLC, they're the owner of the property located at 7735 Old Georgetown Road. It's shown on Page 103 in the Plan as number nine.

The Fairmont Building is currently owned by the Stewart Bainum Declaration of Trust. Mr. Bainum who passed away February 2014 at the age of 94 was the founder of Manor Care, Inc. and Choice Hotels International.

The Fairmont Building is the last large investment Mr. Bainum made, and at the time he was looking for a building to house his family foundation, the Commonweal Foundation.

Commonweal operates and supports educational programs and projects assisting underserved children and youth from early childhood through post-secondary education.

Mr. Bainum bequeathed the Fairmont Building to the Commonweal Foundation, and as of January 2015, the Fairmont Building has served as the headquarters of that foundation.

The Fairmont Building is an ideal location to serve the needs of the Commonweal Foundation over the long term, but it's important that the sector plan account for both the current improvements on the property as well as allow for moderate growth and expansion in the future.

Currently, the Public Hearing Draft proposes rezoning of the property to CR6, C1.25, R5.75, H175 which does allow for additional density and height that is appropriate given the
property's location at the heart of Bethesda.
However, the property is currently
improved with basically entirely commercial uses, and a four level structure parking facility adjacent to that. So the proposed rezoning, and actually the current rezoning too, does not actually account for the existing uses on the property.

There is insufficient $C$ component to account for the current uses. So we would request that the proposed rezoning correct that. So we request the property be rezoned through the Sector Plan and subsequent comprehensive rezoning process to CR6, C6, again reflecting what is just on the property now, R5.75, H175.

Given the challenges that have continued to face the office market and that the Commonweal Foundation is certainly a business that we want to keep in the community, we just request that their current improvements be reflected, and that moderate expansion be allowed in the future. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. Mr.
Cretal?
MR. CRETAL: Sure. So my name is Andy Cretal. I represent Midatlantic. I'm here to talk about the Mooreland Lane and Arlington Road properties which are located at 133 --

PARTICIPANT: Can you pull the mic up?
MR. CRETAL: I'm sorry, yes. So thank you for the opportunity to speak on behalf of ZOM and the vision we have for the site. We're excited to take part in the Sector Plan process, and look forward to working with you to forge a shared plan for this property.

By way of background, ZOM was founded in Orlando, Florida in 1977. It initially expanded to all the major Florida markets, and then expanded to the Texas markets and to D.C. in the 2000's.

> We recently completed two very successful projects in the Army corridor in Arlington, one in the Courthouse Metro and another in the Clarendon Metro area.

Our primary focus is the company is developing well designed and well executed urban infill, transit oriented, multifamily projects that have excellent walkability and pedestrian accessible neighborhood.

And the project will be a project of today, certainly fits that focus perfectly. ZOM currently has under contract a significant number of properties located on the south side of the western end of Mooreland Lane wrapping around to the east side of Arlington Road.

Additionally, we're in discussions with the remaining property and owners to complete the assemblage on that western side of that block.

And ZOM desires to see redevelopment of the segment on the eastern side of Arlington Road with a mid-rise primarily multifamily residential project that makes the highest and best use of this area while also respecting and fostering the transitional nature of the area between the urban core to the north and east and
the single family neighborhoods west of the Bethesda Elementary School.

The Public Hearing draft envisions redevelopment of the Mooreland Lane Arlington Road frontage and recognizes that redevelopment opportunities should be promoted on underutilized sites.

However, the rezoning that the Public Hearing draft recommends, which is between 1.25 FAR and 2.75 FAR with only a height of 40 to 50 feet, this does not align with the narrative in the Public Hearing draft regarding planning status vision for the redevelopment of this area, nor does it align with ZOM's vision.

So ZOM is seeking a rezoning that would enable the vision of this area be fulfilled, we believe that rezoning to allow a density of 4 FAR and up to 75 feet in building height --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. CRETAL: -- is more in alignment with the desired redevelopment of the area, as
the Public Hearing Draft recommends. We would like to note that we are supportive of the Public Hearing Draft's vision for improved access, mobility, and pedestrian safety along Arlington Road as well as improved bike connectivity along Arlington Road and Edgemoor Lane.

But the desired redevelopment of the western edge of this block, it is likely that pedestrian and bicycle activity will only increase as the area becomes more connected through the redevelopment of Bethesda.

The second plan represents --
CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry, $I$ can't give you more time.

MR. CRETAL: Okay, sorry. No worries.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you for --
MR. CRETAL: We're going to submit written testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, that's great.
Mr. Hoye?
MR. HOYE: Thank you Chairman Anderson and Members of the Board, Commission, and thank
you for taking the time to make a trip through Bethesda today. I wish you could have made it by bicycle, as I do. That is really the way to see Bethesda.

CHAIR ANDERSON: And as you know, I did it by bicycle last week.

MR. HOYE: Yes, Chairman. And I joined you, and it is a new day in the planning department to have the Chairman lead a bike ride. And I'm grateful for that new day. And thank you for lunch, too. Appreciate that.

My name is Richard Hoye. I live in Glenbrook Knolls, subdivision at the north end at Battery Lane and Old Georgetown Road. I am a retired firefighter. My father had a business on Norfolk Avenue and his partners owned a small building there which I still pass by with nostalgia.

I live here as someone in an affordable housing struggle all my life. And I live here by choice, but it's tough. I am a firefighter. And so I have always lived with
housemates in rental housing and now in the house that I own, luckily. But I could never qualify for it if I applied for a mortgage today.

And this marks the 20th year that I've been car free. I decided to go car free and implemented a plan to do so 20 years ago in order to stay in Bethesda. That's how much I love the place and how much potential Bethesda has to offer.

So I live the life of Reilly, really. And my trips by bike, usually with my dog Rutter on board are just wonderful. They're just wonderful, the people I meet, strangers as well as people $I$ know.

Now what could make this function better and make me feel more at home, because $I$ am a fish out of water here, is more of an urban scale in the downtown. And I find the Master Plan to be deficient.

I find the Plan to be to the heights and density to be inadequate to support the kind of community that $I$ will feel at home in and that
will serve my needs, and that will bring about more affordable housing and a greater mix of people, a more cosmopolitan place that we definitely need.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. HOYE: Only a few places in the county can offer transit and services and jobs, and that's where we have to put the housing, all kinds of housing. We need more abundant housing of all types in order to keep people at all stages of their life invested in this as a community.

And this plan does not have enough. It actually is an exercise, unfortunately $I$ have to say this despite the professionals here that I really admire, it's an exercise in exclusionary planning. We need more density, slower speeds of traffic, and more buildings. Number 4 Bethesda Metro Station, we need that building.

CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry, I've got to cut you off. And Ms. Carrier, my predecessor is here, she actually was known to get on bicycle
every now and then.
MR. HOYE: Under duress.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Under duress. But nonetheless, that should be noted for the record. Okay. And you must be Ms. Vaias' client from --

MR. ATEEK: St. John's.
CHAIR ANDERSON: St. John's, right.
MR. ATEEK: Hi, my name is Sari Ateek and I'm the Rector at St. John's. It's another word for Senior Pastor. And St. John's is located on the intersection of Wisconsin and Bradley.

And I did want to also add my voice and say thank you to the Planning Staff for the hard work that's gone into this Draft Plan. And thank you for listening to us and really checking in with all the stakeholders as you develop the plan and to continue to hear our thoughts. We are grateful that the draft recognizes and supports St. John's as a valuable community service. And St. John's is and has been an important presence in Bethesda for over

140 years, I that should give you just a quick taste.

We're a thriving church community that is made up of hundreds of families and members of all ages and all different walks of life. We have a very active community, a senior community at St. John's, and also we're the second largest Episcopal Church in the Diocese of Washington in terms of the number of young people in our church. So we have many, many children and many teens.

And our church also has a proud history of incubating and supporting other important community service organizations. So some organizations that started at St. John's are Bethesda Cares, Norwood School which is now in Potomac, and Montgomery Hospice started at St. John's.

Today we're proud to host the Oneness Family School, and St. Barnabas Church for the Deaf which is only Episcopal deaf community in this region, and also the Opportunity Shop which
many of you know which is a Bethesda institution for over 60 years.

So St. John's was established in
Bethesda because we wanted to make a difference, as I said, over 140 years ago. And so now we're thinking how can we continue to make this difference.

And so for the last two years we've put together a vision team that has been working on talking to parishioners at St. John's and also talking with some of the community leaders in Bethesda to say what are the greatest needs in this community.

And one of the gifts of St. John's is building community, that's what we love. And we now have vision statement. We're about to give it over to an implementation team to really put together some projects that St. John's can do to really enhance community building in Bethesda.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. ATEEK: So I just want to tell you about that and say that we will be submitting
some specific suggestions of how St. John's can continue to thrive under the setting. And again, thanks for all the work you guys are doing, and for enduring all of these statements today. It's impressive. So thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Vaias, did you --
MS. VAIAS: I have one more.
CHAIR ANDERSON: You have one more.
Go ahead.
MS. VAIAS: All right, Emily Vaias with Linowes and Blocher. So I'm making comments on behalf of the Donohoe Companies with regard to one of their properties which is located at 8280 Wisconsin Avenue which is the corner of Battery Lane and Wisconsin.

And generally, the Draft Bethesda Plan has some very real positive ideas. And in particular, Donohoe supports the shared streets approach to Norfolk Avenue as a plan for expanding and improving Battery Urban Park.

We support the low speed connection
alongside the expanded park, and recognize that the park needs improved visibility and accessibility that a low speed connection could provide. We would welcome a 20 mile per hour maximum speed limit throughout the downtown area, or at least on certain county controlled streets.

In addition, we welcome the Plan's identification of the property as the northern gateway to Bethesda. However, currently the height that's recommended is only 120 feet, which is only an increase of 10 feet over what is available today if MPD were used.

And it seems that this site's location along Wisconsin Avenue, and without being close to any low density residential sites could handle more density and more height in order to encourage development.

Therefore, we would ask that the height be increased to 145 feet, which is what several properties are along Wisconsin Avenue in this area, and would also help to accommodate the future BRT right of way which we know is coming
and could affect future development of the property.

In addition, we would ask that the density, the $C$ portion again of the density which is currently proposed as a 1.25 be increased to a 3.5 to allow flexibility should a commercial component be viable for the site.

As you may know, the property does have an approved project preliminary and site plan, but has been unable to obtain sufficient market interest to move forward with development. So we are hopeful that the Master Plan can provide some incentives that will make this an attractive development location. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Ms.
Dlhopolsky, do you want to go one more time? Or you've got two more?

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Well, I have one more right now and I have two more that are, like, ten from now.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, great.
MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Okay, so now I will
be speaking on behalf of 4900 Fairmont
Residential LLC, owner of the property located at 7770 Norfolk Avenue, it formerly was known as 4900 Fairmont.

Again, you have our full, written
testimony. It was submitted on email. This
property is shown on Page 103 as numbers nine and ten. The property is located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of Fairmont Avenue and Norfolk Avenue in the Woodmont Triangle area of Bethesda.

You know it as one of the presently under construction sites just up the road from here. It's under construction with a mixed use, 174 foot tall building that includes ground floor non-residential uses and 200 multifamily residential units with 15 percent MPDUs.

As I mentioned on Page 103 numbers nine and ten, the property is proposed to be rezoned through the sector plan process and subsequent sectional map amendment to CR6, C1.25, R5.75.

And the northeastern half of the property is proposed for a height of 250 feet, but the southwestern half of the property proposed for a height of 175 feet.

While the property is under construction, obviously at this point not likely to redevelop any time in the lifetime of this sector plan, we do believe that the increased height is appropriate given the planning recommendations for having kind of punctuated heights next to Veteran's Park, which is right across Woodmont Avenue from the property.

So we do agree with that from a planning perspective. However, given that the 250 feet that is proposed for the northeastern half of the property, we do believe that a FAR of 8 is more appropriate than an FAR of 6 just in terms of being congress.

Overall, 4900 Fairmont is supportive of the Public Hearing Draft's vision for the property as well as the recommendations to enhance Norfolk Avenue as a main street
connecting the Wisconsin Avenue corridor with the Battery Lane area, with activating retail and arts and community events.

In addition, 4900 Fairmont supports the proposed improved connectivity in the Woodmont Triangle district as a whole through the provision of public mid-block pedestrian connections and enhanced walkability, and we just wanted to note that this project actually does include a pedestrian connection into an adjacent parking garage, so they are doing their part to implement that connectivity. Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. So that does it for this group, I think. Could we get Brenda Gonzales-Hermosillo, Karen Snyder, Bob Dalrymple again, and Mr. Dugan with his crew, posse, group?

$$
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$$ would be easier, Mr. Dugan, if you and your group could go first, and then that will make some room

at the table.
MR. DUGAN: Okay, good afternoon. For the record, my name's Tim Dugan. I'm here this afternoon with Guido Adelfio and Bob Herman who own adjoining properties on the east side of Pearl Street between East-West Highway and Montgomery Avenue.

I would like them to speak, and then if there's time permitting, I'll make a few comments as well. So I'll introduce Guido Adelfio. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Before you do that, can you identify --

MR. DUGAN: That's on Page 111.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. DUGAN: On the east side of Pearl Street, circle six in between East-West Highway and Montgomery Avenue. It's directly across from the new car building.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Again, the east side of Pearl?

MR. DUGAN: On the east side of Pearl

Street between East-West Highway and Montgomery Avenue.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Lots of sixes.
PARTICIPANT: It's this one.
CHAIR ANDERSON: That six, got it.
PARTICIPANT: The one on Pearl Street.
MR. DUGAN: Thank you. Guido Adelfio?
MR. ADELFIO: Thank you. I'm Guido Adelfio. 4422 East-West Highway is, we own it, it's our family business, Bethesda Travel Center. We've been there since 1973. It's a very suited home for our business, it fits us well. And we would love to stay there, and that's our immediate plan including potentially as the cornerstone of the new building.

The Staff recommendation was CR2.0, C1.75, R2.0 and 120 foot height, which we would like to support that recommendation as well as the recommendation that the parking lot district be expanded to include our property. Thank you. DR. HERMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Robert Herman and I'm Guido's neighbor just
south on Pearl Street, 4425 Montgomery Avenue. I've been practicing dentistry at this location since 1991. My father bought this property in 1976 and he practiced medicine there for 20 years.

I grew up in Montgomery County and I would like to stay here, and I would like to continue my dental career until $I$ retire in Bethesda.

I understand the landscape in Bethesda is changing, and that a taller building would fit my neighborhood better than my two story office.

I would like to testify that $I$ support the Public Hearing's Draft zoning recommendation for my property and I hope to practice on the ground floor if this area's ever developed. I also support expanding the parking lot district to include my property. Thank you.

MR. DUGAN: Thank you. With the time remaining, I wanted to indicate that -- with the time remaining?

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.

MR. DUGAN: I have thirty seconds? Plenty of time, plenty of time. There's a comment on Page 112 with respect to using a shared street, but it adds language about with integrated storm water management. We've made an earlier submittal about having that considered or reconsidered so that we would be the same as the complete streets.

The second thing I'll say in closing is that some of my adjoining neighbors if you will made other recommendations with respect to increased height and density in this neighborhood. We would obviously want to be compatible with the surrounding properties.

Thanks very much.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay.
Ms. Gonzales-Hermosillo?
(Off-microphone comment)
CHAIR ANDERSON: No, you're Karen
Snyder. So I guess we did not have, okay, Ms. Snyder, feel free to go ahead.

PARTICIPANT: You could just pull a
mic over towards you, one of these that's there.
MS. SNYDER: I'm Karen Snyder. I'm the owner of Interiors of Washington.
(Off-microphone comment)
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, speak into the microphone, please.

MS. SNYDER: Hello, I'm Karen Snyder, the owner of Interiors of Washington on Norfolk Ave., and $I$ also live on Bradley Boulevard. I'm here today first of all, the Bethesda Planning Board report indicates that the long term goal is to put a shared use on Norfolk Ave. for bicyclists.

But the short term goal is a bike path, and there's a real problem with that, with the bike path, a designated spot because as you can see, there's deliveries on this street all day long.
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my window. And it's a very dangerous situation if you were to have a designated bike path. Heavily loaded hand trucks, by design, are being
pushed out from between trucks which would present a precarious situation for an oncoming cyclist utilizing the proposed bike path.

If the cyclist decided against using the designated bike path to cut around the truck and was hit, Maryland's archaic contributory negligence laws would entail that he not collect a dime, not even for his medical expenses.

And if he stayed in the designated bike lane and was injured, the county would be liable for having placed him in harm's way. So I propose that you just go ahead with the shared road usage of Norfolk Avenue as opposed to designated bike lanes, and you might want to consider that in other areas of Bethesda.

Secondly, I oppose any infringement on green space previously allocated in the County's Master Plan. To cull the tiny land around the front and sides of a park by Brookfield and the proposed planners of the construction of a super high rise is humorous.

By the way, if you look up now in the
station, you'll see there already are skylights that have been closed over. And if you open those up, it would improve the ambiance of the station.

And third, in regard to build number 32-07 to reduce greenhouse emissions, I would like to propose an ordinance prohibiting businesses from leaving doors and windows open --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MS. SNYDER: -- when the air conditioner or heater is running. Enforcers of the ordinance would be able to discern when a delivery was being made.

And last, we need to come up with a functioning drainage system for restaurant's odorous liquid waste seeping from their trash receptacles over our sidewalks before they are bricked over.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. SNYDER: You're welcome.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Dalrymple?
MR. DALRYMPLE: Good afternoon. Bob

Dalrymple, Law Firm of Linowes and Blocher speaking on behalf of Pearlmont Associates which is a trust that owns the property in this southeast quadrant of Montgomery Avenue and Pearl Street. So right about there.

As a trust, the owners have a fiduciary duty to seek out the highest and best use of the property. There are no immediate intentions to redevelop the site, it is an income producing site.

But they certainly are open to the ideas of redeveloping this property under the right circumstances, and either on its own or as part of a larger assemblage.

The trust does support the vision for the Pearl district, as indicated by the Draft Plan for the Pearl district to be an emerging urban center of activity. But we would suggest that to be this emerging urban center of activity, that additional height and density is necessary.

This property is a strategic parcel to
create the new main street through the Pearl district. And for that reason, we would request that the FAR for the property be increased to a 6 with height allowance of up to 175 feet.

That height would be consistent with properties to the west of the property across Pearl Street. So again, we are on board with the idea of this being an emerging center of activity, and this site is critical to the emergence of this center.

And so we would ask that you give strong consideration to the requested increase. And Tom Leachman is here on behalf of the trust should you have any questions of the ownership.

The last property I'm here to speak to you about, at least for right now --

PARTICIPANT: It's another property?
MR. DALRYMPLE: Yes.
(Off-microphone comment)
CHAIR ANDERSON: No, I'm just asking how many more properties do you represent in this plan so I can get an idea of whether we're going
bump you to another panel or not.
MR. DALRYMPLE: That's in the
afternoon session.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh great, okay. Go ahead. Give him another --

MR. DALRYMPLE: You say enthusiastically.

CHAIR ANDERSON: We've heard from you, but okay. Three more minutes.

PARTICIPANT: Go ahead.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Bob Dalrymple, Linowes and Blocher here on behalf of the American Gastroenterological Association. I was able to say it, AGA. AGA is the owner of the property on the east side of Del Ray Avenue between Old Georgetown and Norfolk.

This is the site of the AGA National Headquarters and it has been since 1991. While Woodmont Triangle has primarily been designated as a residential area, this office use, again, has existed for some time and the desire is for AGA to be here for the duration, and hopefully to
be able to expand in place which I think would be a great thing for this area especially given the soft office market that has existed and is predicted to exist for the foreseeable future.

In order to allow for the possibility to expand in place, we would ask that you consider increasing the zoning for the site to be a CR5, C4.75, R4.75 and H175.

The current recommendation in the plan of a 3.5 FAR with a limitation of the commercial FAR of 1.25 is not even sufficient to accommodate what exists there today in terms of the office component.

So we would ask that the new zoning not only accommodate the existing improvements on the site, but to provide within it the ability for AGA to have the flexibility to be able to expand its operations at this location with additional FAR and additional building height. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, we need William Goodman, William Fryer III, Doug

Wrenn, Nancy Regelin, and Lisa McCabe.
Are you Mr. Goodman?
MR. GOODMAN: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Grab a microphone if you would?

MR. GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, everybody, thanks for this opportunity. I was going to talk only about the transportation issues, but $I$ want to briefly respond to some previous testimony at this session about the Sacks Subdivision.

I want to emphasize, there are some of us who want to regard our homes as homes. We hope to stay there as long as single family houses are still feasible there.

And in that regard, $I$ would like to refer you to a previous letter with multiple signatures including Bob Smythe, a past president of our neighborhood association.

And now to get into the transportation issue. I think it's going to be the most crucial problem for Bethesda. I dare say we would all
agree that it's bad enough now. I was talking to someone just before this hearing who described it as horrendous.

I'm going to take my own household as an example. My wife requires home aides because she has multiple sclerosis. And the aides have often been 30 or 45 minutes late because of the traffic.

So if there's major growth, I think we're going to have a still worse problem. If I have to leave the house at a specific time, we could have a real dilemma.

And so generalizing, $I$ want to ask how can any business other than one that can be conducted entirely by telecommuting operate decently if employees can't arrive at predictable times?

I think it's clear that a shortage of staff can lead to unsatisfactory service to the customer, dissatisfaction, and loss of business. As a matter of fact, I'll give you an example from my personal experience.

There are several perfectly fine restaurants in the Bethesda rail area where we don't go anymore because the traveling and parking experience is as bad as it is. If I were going there alone, $I$ would walk. But there's no sense going out to eat by myself.

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. GOODMAN: I don't feel that we can ask our guests to walk that far. And so to sum up, to sum up, I think we need to get some transportation solutions completed, like the Purple Line bike paths, perhaps others, before we do much to encourage vastly more growth. I'll stop there.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Fier?
Fryer?
MR. FRYER: Yes, I'm Bill Fryer. I
live on Edgemoor right down the street here. And I'm new at this, so I'm not a trained lawyer in this area. I'm going to talk fast as I can.

But the thing that I appreciated in working with this project is that you all really
do a tremendous job. And you hands-on, and I want to thank the people on the planning staff for actually going into our community and walking with us and seeing what's over on Arlington Road and further west of there where the elementary school is.

We have a buffer, and I'm here today to try to make you aware of the fact that we have a buffer. I'm the voice of the buffer I guess. And so what I'm saying is that we've got the school, we've got the library, you know, we've got the park at the far end, and we have the playground. And we work very hard.

My father was in front of you at one time working on getting some of that property. So I would like to say thank you, and I want you to think about the buffer every time you look at a project because it's there, the height, the dimensions, the visual dimensions and the houses that provide make us, the community which supplied the property for downtown Bethesda to exist in, and I go back to 1937 here.

So that's my first point. My second point, and I agree in many ways with the gentleman that just spoke. What I'm trying to communicate is the need to properly manage the safety in the community.

That is you are now bringing in a lot of different vehicles, and more vehicles and really doubling, tripling the number of vehicles. So what you have to do is you've got people walking on the sidewalks and trying to navigate through bikes that have no particular rules sometimes.

And we have cars that are trying to get in a hurry, you know, to different places in Bethesda. And so I suggest that we need to have, you need to have something in the Plan that specifically says that there will be some coordination --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MR. FRYER: -- some thinking about the management of the safety and the interaction between the people. And so that you have the
older people who need to live in the community and people who need to use the community feel safe to come here because I think it's not going to work if it becomes impossible to do what you were just suggesting.

And it's getting close to that. So I have one specific suggestion and I think this could maybe help --
(Simultaneous speaking)
CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry, I can't give you more time. I just can't because otherwise I'm going to have to give everybody more time and then we'll be here until midnight. And as I say, it's not about my willingness to stay or the Board's willingness. But we really have --

MR. FRYER: Don't have the time?
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes. But we would be very pleased to read your testimony if you would like to give us something in writing. If you don't have something suitable right this second, there will be a week that the record will be
open. You can send us an email, it doesn't have to be formal. And I say that for everybody.

MR. FRYER: Well, I could have done it in the little time we just had.

CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I just
can't. Thank you. Okay, very good.
MS. REGELIN: Good afternoon. My name
is Maria Regelin. I am Vice President of Aldon Management, a third generation, family owned real estate portfolio founded in Bethesda over 65 years ago.

The Aldon Portfolio is one of the largest land owner and landlords in the Bethesda CBD. Since the 1950s and '60s, we have quietly built, owned, and managed over 900 rental properties, midrise, and highrise apartments on more than 20 acres of land on Battery Lane, Bradley Boulevard, and Wisconsin Avenue.

We are proud of our tradition of
providing quality housing for our Bethesda community and look forward to continuing to do so.

If all reasonable opportunity to redevelop these aging properties are frustrated by recommendations for very low densities and limited heights, then what is the Plan actually guiding the property owner to?

Complete renovation of this unregulated market rate apartments into upgraded, unregulated market rate apartments. Without redevelopment, not one new affordable unit will be created, and no affordable units are ensured by this Plan strategy.

Without redevelopment, none of the other goals of the plan will be implemented, not connectivity, not new gathering spaces, not sustainability, nor economic viability.

Contrary to the misleading exhibit at Page 37, only a very small number of Aldon's lowest rental rate units currently meet workforce housing income range, and none match MPDU rents. But this, as we all know, is fleeting, as market rents rise in Bethesda, all market rents rise, including the Aldon Portfolio.

The Counsel Government study found that 50 percent of naturally occurring affordable housing is actually occupied by residents who could afford more expensive apartments. Aldon's percentage is even higher due to our proximity to NIH and other high salary employment facilities. If the goal of the plan were to increase affordable housing, the Aldon properties alone could deliver over 500 MPDUs if allowed to redevelop at up to 4 FAR, a game changer for this Bethesda downtown.

How could the Plan achieve these goals? One, give property owners who want to provide mid-range housing a fighting chance to create that market rate affordable housing. Two, provide sufficient density at 4 FAR and heights up to 150 feet for the Aldon Portfolio. Three, provide a transfer of density --

PARTICIPANT: Thirty seconds.
MS. REGELIN: -- between properties with heights that provide real opportunities that would allow some of the older properties to
redevelop and some garden opportunities to remain in place.

Additional recommendations are in our written testimony. The Plan needs to remove barriers to creating market rate affordable housing by providing flexibility, eliminating unnecessary costs, and incentivizing the creation of market rate affordable housing by the private sector. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Are you Ms. McCabe?

MS. MCCABE: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Would you like to go next?

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Before you go can I ask a question, young lady? You're on Page 119, 1-2 and 1-2. Are there other properties that are in the book that you're speaking about right now?

MS. REGELIN: I don't have that in
front of me --
COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Okay. Well,
maybe the staff can identify --
CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sure we will get into that in great detail.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Okay.
CHAIR ANDERSON: They own a bunch of property in here.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: I was just trying to identify --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes.
PARTICIPANT: 129.
MS. REGELIN: It's in the attached testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes.
PARTICIPANT: She submitted attached testimony.

COMMISSIONER DREYFUSS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MS. MCCABE: Thank you. My name is Lisa McCabe and I am the president of the East Bethesda Citizens Association and these comments are on behalf of the executive committee of the
association.
East Bethesda Citizens Association represents 1,200 households east of Wisconsin Avenue, north of East-West Highway, west of Columbia Country Club and south of Jones Bridge Road, so just abutting the greenway a little -well, adjacent to the Downtown Bethesda Plan.

While the association itself hasn't taken a position on the plan, we thought it would be a good idea to give voice to some of the concerns raised by residents of East Bethesda. We believe that any plan that's adopted should be sure to consider, to take into further consideration issues such as enforcing and protecting or buffering low-rise or singlefamily homes from encroachment of commercial development, ease of mobility during any construction process, ability to provide open space for civic gatherings to build a sense of community, the ability to provide a safe environment for both pedestrian and vehicular traffic in the Bethesda Downtown Sector. Traffic
calming devices should also be considered to continue to make this a pedestrian-friendly area.

Also, regarding the Bethesda Metro plans, whether there's going to be a building at that location, a new building at that location or not, we think there's a concern with the noise level being too high from trucks, from the trucks and cars from the street, so it would be important to address any noise levels should any part of this become a civic space.

Additionally any construction is, you know, currently there's a lot of brick and concrete there and new development will probably do that and that area becomes extremely hot due to solar reflection.

And we think it would be important to take into consideration the environment to make sure that it actually would be a place for people to be able to gather.

We also think that the Bethesda Metro station is very hard for anyone with a wheelchair or a stroller, so it would be important to
improve handicap accessibility to the area. And building height is a concern as it impacts, you know, sunlight and shade and how pedestrians enter environments so we just wanted to make sure that you look at that and height and how that pertains to the human scalability of the area.

And also the Eastern Greenway portion is very important to our areas and we wanted to make sure that this buffer remains and doesn't change or get smaller as 1 see a lot of people here talking about density, so the greenway is very important to our group as well.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. So, Ms. Regelin, since -- why don't we talk about the Brown family properties.

MR. WRENN: I'm going to talk about some concepts that we developed for them.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. WRENN: Thank you. Chairman
Anderson and members of the planning board, I'm Doug Wrenn. I'm principal with Rodgers

Consulting. We've been advising the Brown family and Aldon Management during this sector plan process.

The Brown family and Aldon have been a part of Bethesda community for many decades. As long-term property owners, they want to contribute to making downtown Bethesda one of the best urban centers in the region, and as owners of over 20 acres of land within the Sector Plan boundaries, they are well positioned to do so.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Wrenn, I'm sorry.
I can't have you testify on behalf of Brown, whether it's conceptual or whether it's specific to their property.
I just can't do it because -- I
welcome you to participate in the work session on this. We'll hear you out there, but now is not the place for -- I can't have multiple people testifying to the same set of property.

MR. WRENN: All right, fair enough.
I submitted some testimony with these concepts attached and we look forward to the work session.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, Veronique Marier, Paul Haynos, Anna Fierst and Ms. Carrier.

Are you Ms. Marier?
MS. MARIER: Yes. Veronique.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Another Francophonic?
MS. MARIER: I'm Francophonic from this continent.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.
MS. MARIER: I've been here since '91 and I like to work.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MS. MARIER: I'm the executive director of Bethesda Green so I'm pleased to be here today, and you have also my written testimony.

So on behalf of Bethesda Green, a sustainability focused nonprofit founded in 2009 in a unique public-private partnership, I am pleased to support the Bethesda Downtown Plan.

Bethesda Green serves as a community hub that catalyzes businesses, government and
residents in advancing economy, social and/or incremental sustainability in the region.

Think of the impact of Bethesda Green as a facilitator that accelerates knowledge, innovation, identification, shared goals and solutions. At our office on Cordell Avenue, we house a next-generation green business incubator.

By and large, the Downtown Bethesda Plan aligns with our goals and values. Since the planning process started, Bethesda Green has facilitated many information sessions with businesses and dozens of neighbors, hundreds actually, dozens of neighborhoods.

We strongly believe that many elements of the plan will introduce a new level of sustainability for a new, vibrant Bethesda. Its recommendation regarding a greener and more connected downtown and creating a competitive downtown that fosters innovations are well informed, integrative, progressive and promising.

The innovative effort will foster more sustainable neighborhoods for which there is a
growing national and local market demand.
We believe that many proposals in the plan will be well received by the community. For instance, it emphasizes bicycle and pedestrian access and safety to connect the various urban centers. That's a visible component that can be understand by all.

But we must also emphasize the importance of integrating and balancing the installation of new sidewalks and bike lanes with what may be less noticeable elements that push the envelope of stormwater management as well as ensuring increased tree canopy in urban habitats.

Better stormwater management will help save the local streams and the bay and increased tree canopy reduces heat islands and lets us hear the birds.

The plan also introduces a less visible concept of High Performance Areas which will focus on the development of high energy efficiency properties beyond current requirements. We support this concept.

The High Performance Area would reduce the carbon footprint of local development, a priority for the county, and increase building resiliency which, in itself, can be very valuable for occupants. This is an exciting smart growth approach that can push innovative solutions as it relates to energy.

I've said on other occasions we are on a mission to make sure Bethesda is this kind, happy place by focusing on a vibrant, innovative green urban model that will enhance our local economy.

The path forward will involve flexibility, continued and accelerated innovation and some failures and adjustment, so remember that when you look at the plan. Bethesda Green is well positioned to help to advance that effort.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Haynos.
MR. HAYNOS: Good afternoon. My name is Paul Haynos. I have lived on Battery Lane for
the past 15 years adjacent to the Battery Urban Park. I'm here to speak against the road that is proposed to move through to connect Norfolk Avenue to Battery Lane.

This morning when you toured downtown Bethesda I hope you took the time to visit the park. If you did, you would have witnessed groups of young children playing freely and safely in the play area, chasing balls and one another, their guardians unworried that they would run into traffic for there is no traffic that moves through the park.

You would have seen them gathered for snacks or rest at the knoll between the basketball court and the jungle gym, beneath a stand of cottonwood trees.

Speaking of trees, you would have seen nearly 40 mature trees of various native species, oak, maple, sycamore, black locust, Osage orange, many of them nearly 80/90 feet in height, all providing habitat for squirrels, insects and the birds that feed on them, that is the insects,
and, yes, you would hear the birds singing. The car traffic would be faint because it's far away on Battery Lane, not so far away but comfortably far away.

A road that passes through this space would radically change the scene I have just painted and degrade the essential elements that make the park a treasure. Adjacent car traffic would undermine the park safety.

Construction of the road will require the removal of mature trees. Based on the image I've seen of the plan where the road is proposed to pass through, I'm guessing that would be as many as 15 mature trees would have to be removed. Now, I'm not an expert on this but this is just looking at it. It seems that's likely.

This would reduce habitat, water retention and shade, not to mention the aesthetic beauty and the quiet of the space.

The plan calls for the expansion of the park, you know, kind of to make up for this road, but what would this expansion look like?

Would this be expansion in the shadow of highrise buildings with decorative trees in place of mature trees? Who benefits from this? Not the people that live around there, not the folks who are living in Bethesda and using the park. For these reasons, $I$ ask that you reconsider this plan.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. We did go to the park. We did see the children and the trees. I can't say I saw any squirrels but I wasn't really looking for them.
(Laughter)
CHAIR ANDERSON: Ms. Fierst.
MS. FIERST: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, the board of the Battery Park Citizens Association held its last meeting in June for this summer.

We attended this meeting, the residents of Battery Park, to discuss the impact of the Downtown Plan, its grounds and our surrounding neighborhood. The residents and board spent an hour going through the Bethesda

Downtown Plan staff draft and collected feedback about the plan.

As background, the Battery Park neighborhood is a triangle of mostly singlefamily homes and a few dozen businesses roughly bounded by Wilson Lane to the south, old Georgetown Road on the east, Huntington Parkway on the north and the west side of Maple Ridge Road.

The board voted to submit the following summary of comments on the plan as collected at that meeting and through other conversations.

Specific concerns, transition zones.
Both the rescue squad and the Lutheran Church should have significant transition zones that gradually step up the height of the buildings from the street to make the transition from single-family homes to large buildings less abrupt.

Build away from Battery Park where possible. The rescue squad in particular has a
large plot on which to build. High buildings should be placed to the northeast on Battery Lane toward existing higher buildings and away from the single-family homes in Battery Park.

Additionally, current R-10 and R-30 properties farther northeast on Battery Lane are not being up-zoned and are closer to the existing cluster of high-rise buildings closer to Wisconsin Avenue. Why not up-zone these properties versus those along Old Georgetown Road?

Traffic and pedestrian safety. Given the increase in car trips that new residential and retail buildings on both Battery Lane and Woodmont Triangle zones will generate, there should be significant traffic calming measures put in place along Old Georgetown Road, Wilson Lane and throughout Battery Park.

We need wider sidewalks and protected bike lanes along 0ld Georgetown Road and Wilson Lane and the addition of one-way streets and additional entry restrictions inside Battery

Park.
Speaking to the impact, as many people have, on tree canopy, stormwater runoff and air quality, while the plan does emphasize enhancement of park space, we are concerned that the tree loss due to increased building will have negative impacts on our air and water.

We would like to see an emphasize for increased green space and tree planting, particularly along Old Georgetown Road, which suffers today from way too much asphalt, very narrow sidewalks and no buffers between the roads and neighborhoods.

The road through Battery Lane Park.
While the plan positions this new road as a park street, it is nonetheless a street for cars. It was, frankly, shocking for many residents that the staff plan recommends a new road when we thought the goal was more walkability and green space and less reliance on cars. We have enough cars on Battery Lane, Battery Park. Adding a new street is unnecessary
and negatively impacts one of our few remaining green spaces. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Carrier.

MS. CARRIER: I am speaking on behalf of one owner with two properties. Shall I do them both?

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, you can do them both, three each. Just take them back to back.

MS. CARRIER: Right. I don't even think I'll need a total of six.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Even better. You could sell that time probably.
(Laughter)
MS. CARRIER: Good afternoon, members of the planning board. It is a pleasure to appear before you. For the record, I'm Francoise Carrier with the law firm of Bregman, Berbert, Schwartz \& Gilday.
I'm testifying on behalf of four
family members who together have a small company that owns property at the corner of Glenbrook

Road and Rugby Avenue, an adjacent property on Rugby Avenue in the Battery Lane District of the draft Sector Plan.

The corner property is developed with a three-story office building and the adjacent property is partially developed with warehouses. These properties are on Page 119 of the Plan.

The Glenbrook Road property is Number 4 and the Rugby Avenue ones are part of 5 but I'm really speaking mostly about Number 4. You already heard about this property from Robbie Brewer who was representing the holder of the ground lease on that property.

> I'm representing the owner of the actual property, but we're both asking you for one thing in common, which is more height at this property.

The property has been in the family's hands for many years. The rental payments on the ground lease are an important source of income for family members.

The draft Sector Plan is very well
written and it presents a compelling vision for Bethesda, but this property is being treated in an unusual way. It's recommended to be used in its entirety to expand the Battery Lane Urban Park and it's designated as a priority sending site which would allow the property owners to sell density to other parties for use in the Bethesda Sector Plan area, provided that they put a covenant on the property saying that it can't be developed and the proposed zoning is designed to strongly incentivize this outcome.

The site is currently split zone. The back part is in the CRN zone with an FAR of 0.5 and a height of 35 feet. The front part is in the $C R$ zone with an FAR of 3 and a height of 90 feet.

## The plan recommends rezoning the

 entire property to the CR zone with an FAR of 3.5 and a height of 35 feet. Obviously a 3.5 FAR cannot be fully used on a half-acre site with a height of 35 feet.This zoning combination would
artificially depress the height on the property so that the owners are more likely to sell the density than to try to redevelop. This would make the residual undevelopable property available for acquisition by the parks department at a reduced cost.

This combination of a recommended uses park plan and a height limit that's very low compared to surrounding properties would make it difficult to re-lease the property when the current ground lease expires or redevelop it or sell it.

The owners request a height limit of 90 feet to preserve the normal range of options for future use of the property.

They would also like to discuss a higher density, particularly if the priority sending site designation remains in place. And they recommend that if the priority sending site arrangement remains in the plan, the density from such sites be transferable anywhere in the county, not just in Bethesda.

Thank you very much.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Was that both?

MS. CARRIER: No, that was just one.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.
PARTICIPANT: Go ahead.
MS. CARRIER: The other one is nearby but slightly different. Again, for the record, I am Francoise Carrier with the law firm of Bregman, Berbert, Schwartz \& Gilday representing four family members who together own a small company that owns property at 7945 Norfolk Avenue on the corner of Del Ray which is currently the site of Bacchus restaurant.

This is on Page 103 of the plan. It's one of the properties Numbered 5 and it's the southeast corner of Norfolk and St. Elmo. This is the same family-owned company that $I$ just testified for.

7945 Norfolk is currently in the CR zone with an FAR of 3 and a height of 90 feet. It is recommended in the draft Sector Plan for
the same zone with an FAR of 3.5 and a height of 50 feet.

Like the family's Glenbrook Road property, it's designated as a priority sending site, allowing the owners to sell the density they cannot use to other property owners.

For this property and its neighbors fronting on Norfolk Avenue, the designation as a sending site and the low height limit are intended to preserve a pedestrian scale, main street atmosphere along Norfolk Avenue.

The owners of this property are concerned that decreasing the permitted height from 90 feet to 50 feet will limit their options in the use of the property and reduce the value they can achieve from it.

While there may be value available from selling the density that could not be used due to the 50 -foot height limit, that value is uncertain given the lack of an established market for density in the Bethesda Sector Plan area.

The owners of 7945 Norfolk would like
to request that their site retain its current 90foot height limit, which is still dramatically lower than heights of 110, 120 and 175 that are recommended for many nearby properties, some of whom have asked for even more than that at this hearing.

The owners would also argue that additional density may be appropriate in keeping with nearby properties.

Finally they reiterate the request that the planning board consider making the density from priority sending sites available for sale to developers anywhere in the county, not just in the Bethesda Sector Plan area, to ensure a reasonable market and value for the density.

I look forward to the chance to discuss the family's concerns about both of their Battery Lane District properties with you during a future work session. Thank you again.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, could we get Miti Figueredo, Heather Dlhopolsky, Katie Nolan, Judith McGuire and Barbara McCall?

Okay, Ms. Figueredo.
MS. FIGUEREDO: Hi. This is on, right? Okay. Miti Figueredo. I'm a vice president with the Chevy Chase Land Company.

Overall, we're very pleased with the Bethesda Plan but we do want to address a couple of issues as they affect our properties.

First, regarding the zoning of 2 Bethesda Metro, we understand that the planning board would like or the planning staff would like the heights to step down from Wisconsin Avenue.

However, given that this property is located on top of the Bethesda Metro, we do believe that an increase in height from 240 to 250 is appropriate and consistent with other zoning recommendations in the plan.

Second, we believe that the FAR recommended for 7316 Wisconsin Avenue should be increased from a CR 6, C-4.75, R-5.75 to a CR 8, C-7.5, R-7.5. This would be consistent with the Apex Building's recent rezoning which is just south of our property on the other side of Elm

Street.
There is no reason for 7316 Wisconsin Avenue to have less density than the Apex Building. It's located right between the north and south entrances to the Bethesda Metro and immediately adjacent to the future Purple Line station and it fronts Wisconsin Avenue.

In addition, were it to allow for maximum flexibility to respond to market conditions at the time of redevelopment, we asked that the $C$ and the $R$ be equalized.

Finally we agree with planning staff's recommendation to consider reconfiguration of the East-West Highway, Montgomery Lane, Old Georgetown Road, Woodmont Avenue one-way pair into a two-way street system.

Two-way streets are better for bicyclists, pedestrians and retailers and they're more appropriate for an urban setting like Bethesda, so we look forward to working with the county and the state in order to pursue this reconfiguration.

Downtown Bethesda is an incredible urban success story, a vital economic engine for Montgomery County. We think it can be even better for residents and businesses alike and we would like to thank the planning staff for their work on this and thank the planning board for considering our testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Dlhopolsky.

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Would you like me to do one of mine and then take a break and then --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, please.
MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Okay, all right. So
I will first talk on behalf of F \& L Associates, LLLP. They're the owner of the property located at 4809 Auburn Avenue in Bethesda. You have our full written testimony.

And this property is referenced on Page 103 of the plan as Numbers 1 and Number 2. You probably know this property well. It's located in the Triangle Plan formed by the convergence of Auburn Avenue, Rugby Avenue and

North Buck Avenue. It's comprised of two lots totaling just under 13,000 square feet, both of which are owned by F \& L Associates.

The two lots essentially function as one property and there is a Sherwin-Williams paint store on that property as well as surface parking for the store.

The property has housed businesses similar to this for many years now and so it fulfills a need for practical, useful stores in the Woodmont Triangle area of Bethesda.

In particular, the surface parking provided is vital for a paint store because much as people may want to take transit and walk, it's very hard to do so carrying large cans of paint so the parking is very important to the use.

The public hearing draft proposes that the northeastern side of the property be rezoned through the Sector Plan to CR 3.5, C-1.25, R-3, H-120 and that the southwestern side of the property adjacent to Norfolk Avenue be rezoned to CR 3.5, C-1.25, R-2, H-50.

And the proposed rezoning, in particular the recommendation for building height at a maximum of 50 feet on the southwestern portion, leaves few options for future redevelopment.

But of even more concern is the fact that the public hearing draft recommends that the Norfolk Avenue/Rugby Avenue intersection and adjacent properties be reconfigured to expand the Battery Lane Urban Park and improve the street connection to Norfolk Avenue.

The proposal and the graphics shown on Page 105 of the plan reflect that the entire western half of the property, and it appears to be all the surface parking as well as a part of the existing building, would be taken up and converted to park or open space would result in removal of all the surface parking and, in essence, put this business out of business and, as I mentioned, surface parking is vital to such a use.

We also note that the public hearing
draft expresses a goal of enhancing existing commercial and retail businesses with improved accessibility, visibility and upgraded streetscape guidelines.

We do support enhancing the streetscape in the area and along the property's frontage and we know that the property already has a significant green buffer between the surface parking and Norfolk Avenue so we agree with the streetscaping recommendations but we believe that this must be done without adversely affecting the current and, like I said, very practical and needed use that's on the property.

So for those reasons, we believe the entire property should be rezoned to CR 3.5, C1.25, R-3, H-120 that would allow continuance of the existing business on the property as well as potential future redevelopment options for them. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Nolan? No?

PARTICIPANT: No.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Ms. McGuire. Okay. MS. MCGUIRE: Thank you. The May 15th draft of the Bethesda Downtown Plan is ambitious and creative and as a neighbor from the town of Chevy Chase I welcome greater diversity of residents and increased commerce as long as it's not all chain stores, but I urge you to think more deeply about the long-term environmental issues.

> While the plan mentions positive environmental initiatives, the fact that they are not requirements in the Sector Plan means that it will probably be sacrificed as budgets are cut.

And to make sure this plan isn't an environmental step backwards, I urge that you make hard goals and regulations in the context of trading off public benefits for oversized buildings and higher density, all of which have been requested today by virtually everybody here.

It's good that the plan mentions habitat, ecological biodiversity and ecological benefits but these lofty terms aren't matched by
a measurable strategy.
To be truly sustainable in an ecological sense, the plan needs to develop more sizeable green spaces and natural corridors, not just islands, for insects, plants and animals.

The current plan is for lots of small green islands rather than meaningfully sized spaces and connected ecological corridors which are actually ecological state of the art for planning and for climate adaptation.

Green roofs should not be given higher priority than green spaces. Roofs are private spaces and environmental benefits could be achieved by requiring light color, high-albedo roofs coupled with greater green space at the ground level with greater public benefit.

The plan should be revised to include two continuous green north/south corridors from Norwood Park to NIH on the west side of Wisconsin and from Chevy Chase Country Club to the Walter Reed Campus on the east side, connecting many existing spaces and adding significant new green
space.
These corridors might also serve as bikeways, although you know bikes won't be accommodated on Wisconsin, and community meeting spots as well as for community gardens and fitness groups.

The greenway should be wide, like Elm Street Park, and not miniature, gratuitous strips like the so-called greenways now in the pocket parks shown at various places on the plan that provide little ecological value. Fewer large areas will have greater emotional and social effects than multiple microparks and can be multiple-use parks.

To make this happen, more green space must be demanded as public benefits in exchange for height and density concessions and everyone has asked for all of those concessions.

The biggest flaw in the plan is the lack of a discussion of through traffic on Wisconsin Avenue which currently creates gridlock and is just going to get worse in the future. It
creates pedestrian and bike safety and pedestrian safety problems, air and heat pollution and a daunting barrier to east/west communications. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much. You must be Ms. McCall.

MS. MCCALL: I am. I am. Good
afternoon. I am here today on behalf of my condo association, 4720 Chevy Chase Drive, and a neighbor condo association, Bradley House at 4800 Chevy Chase Drive.

Chevy Chase Drive is just south of Bradley Boulevard in the south sector of this plan on Pages 128 to 132.

We support the updating of a
development plan for downtown Bethesda that will achieve the overarching goals of this plan. However, the draft falls short of these goals in many ways regarding our area.

Does Montgomery County really want a development plan for downtown Bethesda that calls for almost everyone to live above the store
amidst the congestion and noise of commerce unless they are wealthy enough to afford a single-family house? We don't think so.

Our neighborhood provides an important housing alternative for Bethesda that is worthy of enhancement and advancement, quiet garden apartments within walking distance of the central area and a significant portion of the green space and tree canopy of Bethesda.

We have a number of recommendations to bring the plan closer to its stated goal. Maintain the current residential character of south Bethesda by maintaining current residential zoning.

Do not change the zoning to allow for mixed commercial residential use and increase building heights. Mixed-use high-density development does not need to be stretched beyond the central area.

Stop commercial activity on the north side of Bradley Boulevard. Bradley is a logical boundary of the commercial area. Improve the
median of Bradley so that it provides more green area similar to those proposed for the other edges of Bethesda.

We support the plan's proposal for a clearly identified gateway at Bradley and Wisconsin and believe it's already a reality. The civic nature of this gateway should be enhanced, not degraded.

Do not allow for the mixed-use redevelopment of Fire Station Number 6 on the south side of Bradley.

The proposal is not workable. The area's road and sidewalk infrastructure cannot support it. That intersection is already a hazard for pedestrians and bicyclists.

Vacant land associated with the fire station should be used for other civic purposes such as a gathering space for South Bethesda or additional expansion of the fire station.

We have several other recommendations including Strathmore Avenue being a greenway instead of a roadway, and we are particularly
concerned that all of the, that affordable housing in Bethesda be located throughout Bethesda, not concentrated at the edges. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much.
Ms. Dlhopolsky.
MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Second to last one for me today. Heather Dlhopolsky of Linowes and Blocher speaking on behalf of 4918-4938 Battery Lane, LLC. We did submit written testimony to you all and this property is referenced on Page 119 of the Plan, Number 1.

As the owner entity name sounds, the property is located at 4918 Battery Lane. It's currently zoned R-10 and improved with gardenstyle apartments. The public hearing draft proposes that the property be rezoned to a CR 1.5, C-.5, R-1.5, H-120.

While the height that's proposed for the property seems appropriate given the nature of the nearby uses, most of which are mid- and high-rise apartment buildings, and the property's
proximity to Woodmont Avenue and Norfolk Avenue, the density that's proposed at a 1.5 FAR seems out of alignment with the proposed height of the 120 feet.

Looks like the public hearing draft basically adds 20 percent to the density permitted by the current R-10 zoning without kind of taking a look at whether that density and height match.

We believe that a density of 3.5 FAR is more in alignment with the 120 -foot building height limit and, in particular, given that the properties directly east of the subject property along Battery Lane and due south and southwest are also recommended for a CR 3.5 at a height of 120 with one small exception.

The buildings on the property were constructed in the late 1950s and while there are no immediate plans at this point to redevelop, frankly they are aging buildings and it is necessary with this plan that they be giving some options that are viable for redevelopment in the
future.
So as I mentioned, a rezoning to CR 3.5, C-.5, R-3.5, H-120 will provide such options for these property owners. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, you can stay put. If I could ask the rest of our panel to step back so we can make room for John Cokinos, Singh Bajwa and Sara Hughes and those are the last people $I$ have down for the afternoon session. You can stay where you are if you've got one more.

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: It was in the evening, but if you can help me with that one it would be --

CHAIR ANDERSON: You've only got one more, period?

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: All right. Why don't you just do that.

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes. All right, you can go ahead while they are getting settled.

MS. DLHOPOLSKY: Okay. All right. Heather Dlhopolsky, last time today I promise, with Linowes and Blocher. I'm speaking on behalf of HP2 LLC here. They are the owners of property identified as 4526 and 4530 Avondale Street.

While they are located in the Wisconsin Avenue District, so that's on Page 95 of the plan, as you'll hear, unfortunately we think they've kind of been left out of the mix so they don't have any sort of identifying number.

They are located in the Wisconsin Avenue District, seemingly recognizing them as part of the spine of downtown Bethesda, but the plan recommends, and we think kind of inexplicably, that they remain in the current R 10 zone.

There are other R-10 zone properties currently that are recommended to go to CR as part of this plan so we're just trying to figure out why these are being left out in this case.

The properties are located only two blocks east of Wisconsin Avenue. They're less
than 700 feet in walking distance from the Bethesda Metro station and they're directly adjacent to the 200-foot-tall Chevy Chase Bank Towers at the south which, given that those towers are to the south, they cast considerable shadow on the subject property.

Given these existing conditions, we believe that certain of these properties on Avondale Street that are near to Wisconsin Avenue provide a great opportunity to provide higher density development than the current R-10 zoning permits and, like I said, the plan is --

PARTICIPANT: Where are they?
MS. DLHOPOLSKY: They are shown in the brown. Yes. And so these subject properties are actually on the south side of Avondale and, you know, they're in the Wisconsin Avenue District but we just aren't quite sure why no recommendations are being made for these properties.

So we believe that the property should be rezoned to a CR with a density of 5 and a
maximum building height of up to 120 feet.
Development of a 10- to 12-story
residential building in place of the existing improvements would not meaningfully change or extend the north-leaning shadows or the characteristics of the neighborhood and would improve the opportunities for an increased level and quality of housing, putting affordable housing and public space basically directly across the street from Metro. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. We're going to take Ms. Hughes next.

MS. HUGHES: Hi. Good afternoon. Good afternoon. My name is Sarah Hughes. I am a Bethesda resident and I'm also a parent who is committed to smart growth.

I'm here to voice concern about the road cutting through Battery Park and to voice support for keeping Battery Park as it is, whole and intact.

One way that as a parent I show a
commitment to smart growth is by moving my family into an apartment complex. We take up less space. We have a smaller household footprint, but in exchange for that we have more access as a family to whole and intact green space.

Battery Park, as it is, is one of the reasons why I moved my family to where we are and cutting into the park with a road breaks that social contract.

It sends a message to those who are willing to have a smaller household footprint in exchange for more green space, it sends a message that this social contract can be broken; therefore, I encourage you to protect Battery Park as it is and keep it from being cut through by the road.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Cokinos.

MR. COKINOS: Yes. Can Mr. Singh go
first? Is that --
CHAIR ANDERSON: Sure. That's fine.
MR. BAJWA: My name is Singh Bajwa.

CHAIR ANDERSON: But take the microphone and just point it so everyone can hear you and we can get it on the --

MR. BAJWA: Thank you. My name is Singh Bajwa and we own certain properties on Avondale as Heather mentioned. Just basically I am seconding the testimony which was given by her because we are basically neighbors on the same street, same side.

When Chevy Chase Towers were developed, we were given the assurance that the other buildings would be at the height of 101 feet to 110 and all of a sudden now this restriction of 35 feet has been put on. We don't know why. There's no good reason.

We are next to the Metro stop. We provide a good ownership to the people who live and transport and don't even have cars and we feel that this restriction is unreasonable and does not serve any purpose.

The other property which I will address is on Tilbury Street. It is between

Cheltenham and Chase Avenue. It backs to the zoning.

It has a similar history. When we bought it, the buildings were given the height of roughly 110 feet and the -- it is in the same block as Wisconsin Avenue. This is in the first block.

The first part was given, I don't know, it's 170 or more and we were -- same kind of restriction were put in to, like, 35 feet. We don't know why because in 1994 plan was authorizing those buildings.

And we are small individual owners so we don't have the attorneys or anything to represent. So we think it's not a fair treatment that all of a sudden something is changed from the 1994 plan to this. We don't see any good reason for it.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MR. BAJWA: Thank you very much.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Cokinos.
MR. COKINOS: Cokinos, yes. Thank
you. So, yes, you all deserve a lot of credit, the Chair and the Commissioner and the Vice Chair, but also your soldiers, Robert, Leslye and Marc, because they do a lot of ground work and they have been a great sounding board and from the Harks thank you very much because they've been very patient.

So I wanted to address South Bethesda, Page 129, Parcel Number 2. It's 4740 Chevy Chase Drive and I wanted to give you a challenge but also possibly give you a solution and maybe it's a good compromise from some of the earlier testimonies, and that is that my father bought this property 20 years ago. I lived across the street in the town houses of Kenwood Forest and my small family would go under this carport of this commercial building with strollers and baseball gloves and we would go to the park which opens up to the tennis court, so I've had an office that is right there in this office building for 25 years.

And so I wanted a compromise because

I wanted to come up with a solution where this building and Aldon Management are partners.

> We have some dated buildings. I mean,

I confess it's built in 1950. So the thought, I'm not a developer but let's put up about six stories. Let's have an office building which houses my own office but five apartments and affordable parking.

But mostly let's have a pedestrian promenade that we could commit to the community because I was one of those people as a resident, just lots of people coming through a private piece of property, not really knowing that they're allowed to trespass, and we've been very accommodating, but with artistry and with dedication give the community something so these community people that want to enjoy the park, they can go through this promenade pedestrian pathway that we would offer.

Right now CR zoned for 70 feet. I might ask the county for 75 feet. We could have affordable apartments on five floors. We could
have my office that I've been there for 30 years and we could do a service to the community.

And that's, I thank you, the county members, for doing this. I want the apartment people to enjoy the amenities of Norwood Park. Let them have a view of this beautiful park and so everybody could be happy. Thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, so we'll be back at 7:00.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:51 p.m. and resumed at 7:04 p.m.)

MR. MARKS: Good evening to the Board. We wanted to say, in particular, thanks to the staff. They have clearly put a lot of time into making sure affordable housing is a focus in this plan. We think maybe more so than any other plan to date, so wanted to thank them.

As the owner and general partner of more than 40 percent of the entire stock of rent-restricted affordable housing within the Bethesda central business district, the Housing

Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County understands firsthand the value of purposefully delivering affordable housing in high-cost parts of the county.

HOC also knows the challenges in
communities like Bethesda of securing opportunities to add to the affordable housing stock, of maintaining these assets as they age, and ensuring that as obsolescent, though naturally affordable stock is replaced by high-end rental and for-sale housing. Planning staff's expressed goal, as it relates to the affordable housing in the draft Bethesda Downtown Plan is to see existing housing preserved in the face of the coming wave of market redevelopment, rightfully spurred by densification. Perhaps the most concerning statistic in the draft plan is that nearly 80 percent of the affordable housing inventory in the Bethesda CBD is unrestricted.

With a proposed total inventory of multi-family residential units of 8,456 , and with nearly all of that additional stock likely to be
infill redevelopment, almost all of the existing affordable housing stock in Bethesda CBD is at risk. As such, HOC is pleased that planning staff is clearly focused on the arch consequence of that lack of overall housing supply in the Bethesda CBD affordability.

However, given the above statistic, we must ask ourselves if preservation of the existing stock can even be the goal? HOC believes that certain structures can more viably be preserved into their second 30 years, but in all cases where HOC is pursuing redevelopment, increased density will be the key to transforming moribund structures into new, energy-efficient, amenity-rich ones to replacing concentrated affordability with mixed-income communities, and to maintaining or increasing the number of affordable housing units on the site. We like to call it accidental affordable housing, is available at rents similar to those rent-restricted units because the unrestricted units are obsolete.

While they provide four walls and a roof in an extremely attractive location, they are generally inferior to the new housing being produced and disadvantage the residents who live there. Further, those residents pay a sort of hidden additional rent. As their utility bills are much higher, their access to technology is less, and their community is functionally separate from those of the 21st century affluent.

These older structures also lack accessibility features in the design of newly constructed housing. The restricted purpose bill of affordable housing HOC develops eliminates this divide. As currently proposed, the draft Bethesda CBD plan will only allow us to increase the number of rent-restricted units at our properties by 5 percent. Amending the plan according to the requests in our written testimony will put HOC in a position to increase the number of rent-restricted units at our properties by about 25 percent. This is before HOC employs its transferrable density elsewhere.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Kretzmer
MR. KRETZMER: This is Reference Page
119 at Circle 6. Good evening. I'm Robert
Kretzmer, and I'm the president of the Bethesda Chevy Chase Rescue Squad, which is a non-profit organization comprised of over 150 volunteers that have served the Bethesda community for 75 years. I am here before you to strongly support the recommendation in the Bethesda Downtown Plan for the rescue squad property located at the intersection of Old Georgetown Road at Battery Lane.

The increased height and density which have been recommended for the site will
facilitate a redevelopment opportunity that will allow the rescue squad to adapt to and support future changes in the emergency services needed in Bethesda. In particular, the plan recommendations allow the rescue squad to capitalize on its primary asset, its property, in order to co-locate the rescue squad with a
multi-family building, replace its deteriorating facility with a state-of-the-art structure, adopt a new fire station design able to substantially increase volunteer participation, enhance its in-station training capabilities, and create a reserve fund for the long-term viability of the rescue squad.

As you are aware, the rescue squad is
a civic and community-minded organization providing life-saving services to the broader Bethesda community, by volunteers, as a part of the Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service. We perform these life-saving services 24/7, 365, from all donated contributions from local individuals, local businesses, corporations and philanthropic groups.

Together, we save Montgomery County more than $\$ 4$ million in public funds every year, while setting the highest standards for emergency services. We are also one of the last bastions of progressive, long-tier volunteerism in the county. At the rescue squad, a very young person
can receive quality training and meaningful public service opportunities that foster a life-long commitment to others, regardless of where their careers take them. This opportunity is valuable to the individual and the tax payers. To continue this mission, we desperately need the redevelopment opportunity that the current Downtown Plan provides.

We selected a development partner, Red Brick Development Group, that shares our community focus, and we look forward to implementing our project plan that will ensure the rescue squad can operate successfully for another 75 years. I will say, on a personal note, being at the rescue squad has really changed my life, as a volunteer, and I'd like to thank you for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much.
Mr. Stoddard.
MR. STODDARD: Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to appear before you tonight. I'm Bob Stoddard, representing the Bethesda Fire

Department, who own two parcels of the southwest corner of Wisconsin and Bradley, including Fire Station 6 on Page 129, Circle 3. As you heard earlier today, the Bethesda Fire Department is a non-profit, volunteer organization that works in partnership with the county to ensure that the Bethesda area has the best possible fire and rescue service available. Bethesda Fire Department has started discussing what the future Station 6 require in order to continue providing this level of service in a growing community. Station 6 is the first responder to numerous areas, including a large portion of the CBD that is under discussion tonight and portions you will discuss in the future.

Station 6 was constructed in 1969 and will need either redevelopment or a substantial renovation. The recent Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Master Plan Draft highlights this need. I believe everyone agrees that Station 6 is strategically located and an important asset to the community.

While both options are and will continue to be under construction, I'm here tonight to discuss redevelopment. Over the past year, we've engaged in public discussions with many of the community stakeholders. We are sensitive to our residential neighbors and will continue to work with them if redevelopment becomes the best option in providing optimum fire and rescue service to the community. The concept of mixed use of the fire station is becoming more prevalent as tax dollars for public facilities are dwindling and land is becoming scarce. Two recent examples in the immediate area include Potomac Yard in Alexandria that included a fire station and workforce housing, and a fire station with a hotel at 400 E Street, Southwest.

There are other local projects currently under consideration, including affordable housing with the new Fire Station 23 in the White Flint Plan, and the Tysons Plan envisions incorporation of fire and police stations into new mixed-use developments. The

Bethesda Fire Department's goal is to have the ability to partner with an outside developer and build a new station with all private funds.

Redevelopment of this property is not out of character with the area, with several existing mid-rise buildings nearby, along Bradley and Chevy Chase Drive, some of which are also looking to redevelop in the future. Redevelopment of this property will provide additional dedicated affordable housing. At a minimum, $121 / 2$ percent of new units would be affordable. We would look to partner with someone that could increase that amount, as well as meeting our objectives for a new station at the same time. The Sector Plan which has been presented provides a good start to accomplish these goals. The Draft Plan has suggested this property be re-zoned from R-10 to CR 1.5, with a height of 70 feet.

We respectfully request consideration of the following revisions and language to make the goals for funding a new station and
additional affordable housing feasible. Increase the proposed total and residential FAR from 1.5 to 2.5, increase the building height from 70 feet to 80 feet to accommodate higher first-floor height required for fire and rescue equipment, a text amendment that could exclude counting the floor area of a public facility, like the fire station, against the FAR cap for a property in the CR zone, and a revision on Page 25 to indicate the fire department is mixed use. Thank you for your time tonight.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Elmendorf.

MR. ELMENDORF: Good evening. My name is Steve Elmendorf. I'm an attorney with Linowes and Blocher. Tonight, I am representing the owners of the property shown on Page 95 of the draft plan, Circle 27. But I'm actually not here to talk about that particular property. I'm here to talk about the two adjacent parcels that are to the west of that site, which actually are shown -- well, they are part of Circle 5, on Page
99.

What we have discovered is that those two properties -- one of them is the Bocce pizza place, which I would highly recommend to anybody who likes pizza, and the Capital One drive-thru bank. We were in discussion with staff about how our client would be wanting to be assembling these properties for a signature building at that location, providing they had the same zoning as our client's property.

But somehow, when the plan came out, those two parcels got thrown into the Bethesda Road District. They have the same building height, but the wrong zoning. We pointed that out to staff, and they've been very responsive and very gracious about getting that corrected, but they just told us that since the public hearing plan had come out, they can't change that yet. So this really isn't a debate -- an issue. I just wanted to get it into the public record, so that -- you're going to be dealing with 1,000 other issues. I didn't want this one to be
forgotten. We'll be able to assemble those and round out the block and really do a nice building there, on the corner, with those two pieces. Otherwise, they will be, literally, a hole in the donut. They're the only two under-developed sites that will be left on that entire block. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Ms. Leatham.
MS. LEATHAM: Hi, good evening. Erica Leatham, with the Law Firm of Ballard Spahr. I am here to talk about No. 7, on Page 133. We had problems with the sevens last time $I$ was up here. There are two 7s on Page 133. It's the seven to the north of Edgemoor Lane, at the corner of Edgemoor and Woodmont Avenue.

Let me go off script for a moment. I think when we think about the vision of Bethesda, we think about nights like tonight, where it's a beautiful night outside. You can have dinner outside and watch people go back and forth to the Metro coming from work or coming home and really enjoy the streetscape and the tall buildings and
the excitement that comes with all of that.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Right before you attend a public hearing.

MS. LEATHAM: Exactly. Do you not do that all the time? What $I$ don't think of is a single-family house, 600 feet from the Metro. This is what's on the house today is right behind you. If you could see through this building, you would see the house. It's 600 feet from the Metro. It's proposed for a zone of 2.5 FAR, and a height of 120 feet. It's across from this site, which is proposed for a 6 FAR and 175 feet.

We don't think that is a proper incentive to redevelop this site. It's a tight site to begin with, and additional height and density is what makes it viable. So we are requesting a CR 4 -- and I'll send the written materials with everything else -- with a height of 175 feet. You'll have all the details in our written materials.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, if we could get -- is Kristie Mitchell here?

MS. MITCHELL: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, very good.
Come on up. Peter Grey, Ann Mead, Sandra -- I may be mispronouncing this, or it may be a typo -- Purohit, and Patricia O'Neil. I have a Patricia. Is it Patrick?

MR. O'NEIL: $\quad$ know it's not Patricia.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Given recent events, that's way too (Simultaneous speaking).
(Laughter.)
CHAIR ANDERSON: I tell you what, let's -- so how many have you got, two?

SPEAKER: Two.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, why don't you have them come up and take a seat, and we'll do that.

SPEAKER: It's Melissa Tye.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Great, they can come on up while these guys get started. Ms. Mitchell. And she'll give you the 30 -second warning.

SPEAKER: We'll have the time.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Hopefully, that will help keep you on track. Pull up that microphone if you don't mind.

MS. MITCHELL: My husband and I live on Nottingham Drive, and our daughter is 9 months, and my stepson is 9 years. We moved outside the city (Simultaneous speaking) and further from work to raise our children in a neighborhood environment with excellent schools. We understood the green space outside our front door was set up by the fire station to be used for its necessary purposes.

If we had been told the green space could be a multi-unit apartment building with gas station or anything else, we certainly would not have paid what we did for our home and might not likely have even purchased it. Appreciate the need of our respective firefighters and emergency services personnel, for whom we're incredibly grateful, have a station to serve our community.

However, there are win-win ways to do it that don't go about ruining the family
environment of an entire neighborhood with unnecessary development. We kindly ask you to consider the impact on the character of Nottingham Drive, traffic, and what is truly best for the community. If I had more time, I'd address severe issues this could raise for schools and my grave concerns related thereto. Nottingham Drive is a residential street. It's a cul-de-sac that allows people to safely access Norwood Park. An apartment building would turn it into an alleyway. Delivery trucks, moving trucks, trash trucks would all go down what's now a quiet family street that welcomes park goers from across the community.

A gas station would be even worse, and could potentially lead to increased crime. Why ruin what exists? From what $I$ understand, BFD uses the space for care of the hoses and other purposes requiring large outdoor space. I urge the planners to consider a station on the property that will conserve as much green space as possible.

Second, traffic at Wisconsin and Bradley is awful, even on a good day. My husband was recently in a car accident with my baby making a left turn on to Wisconsin. I invite each of you, with your children or grandchildren in your car, to come to Nottingham during rush hour and try to make the maneuver, then tell me with a straight face that this intersection can take an additional 300 plus persons. Further, apartment building will effectively kill the ability of any family on Nottingham, and many families in Chevy Chase to have any street parking, whatsoever. Currently, at times, though not often, the street parking fills up already.

With an apartment building, it will be nearly impossible to ever count on street parking. What are we to tell persons who visit, find a parking structure and pay to park? What about elderly relatives? Finally, as our representatives, please consider what the community wants and what's truly in the best interests of the community. Do you think people want to live in a place with more development? Not one single family in the Chevy Chase association favors anything other than a new fire department being erected at the corner of Wisconsin and Bradley. If development's required, why not allow it, but require it to be added in a place that's already developed? Nottingham is a border area with single-family homes. BFD could be given building rights conditioned upon it selling those rights. The re-zoning was 20 years ago, and the desires of the residents have not changed. If you do allow a re-zoning that would put an apartment there, questions to consider are won't the additional residents cause the need for even more services that will need to be provided by emergency personnel, which shortage you are currently hoping to fix? If it includes affordable housing, won't that have an overall -- will that have an overall positive or negative impact on the county? My husband is on active duty with the

United States Navy. His job is not to act in the best interests of Lockheed Martin or to consider how Boeing might feel about a decision, or to ask Canada about what it thinks about decisions regarding the U.S. military. His job is to protect the interests of the people of the United States. I hope you consider whose interests you choose to serve, too.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Are you
Ms. Tye?
MS. TYE: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Why don't you go
ahead, since you're on the same topic?
MS. TYE: Yes. Hello. My name is
Melissa Tye, and I live on Nottingham Drive with my husband and our two young children. I'm also on the board of directors of the Bethesda Fire Department, but tonight I'd like to talk just as a resident of Nottingham Drive.

We moved to our house on Nottingham almost three years ago, just before my daughter was born. When we purchased our house, we
accepted the proximity to the fire station and the inconvenience inherent to that. However, new zoning that would permit a large multi-dwelling unit with uses not currently permitted was not something we anticipated, nor is it something that I feel as though we should have anticipated. Nottingham Drive is a short, narrow street. One side of the street is full of lovely, single-family homes, mostly brick colonials with deep backyards. The other side of the street hosts the fire station, on a generous lot, flanked by a good-sized green space. After the green space, the rest of the street is forested area, leading up to the park. The green space is used often by neighborhood kids, presumably due both to its even greater proximity to many of the apartment buildings along Chevy Chase drive, as well as the overuse of the fields in Norwood Park. The street's termination into the park means that pedestrian traffic exceeds vehicular traffic by several factors.

Vehicular access to and from

Nottingham is fairly tricky as it is, as Kristie has noted, not only because of the street's proximity to the Bradley and Wisconsin intersection, but also because of the need for the fire station to temporarily shut down the intersection so that trucks can enter and exit, which has the result of backing up traffic along Wisconsin, and therefore blocking off Nottingham. The common factor in all these various elements of Nottingham is that absolutely none of those things point to adding in a large apartment building to the street, which would intensify vehicular traffic, obliterate the green space, and drape homes along the street in its great shadow. The question then is why on earth would anyone approve zoning that would allow such a building? The Bethesda Fire Department says that it's for the eventual improvement of the station. However, apart from the Bethesda Fire Department as the property owner and the developer, no one else seems to want it. It's not just those living on Nottingham, who might be accused of
acting only upon selfish motivations.
Rather, the people that actually rely on the fire station for fire protection have explicitly stated that they are not interested, as has every neighborhood in the surrounding areas. Nor have the professionals actually responsible for providing fire protection given support, as the county has stated that the Bethesda Fire Station is not in impending need of improvement.

So in the end, neither those that receive, nor those that provide fire protection services are asking for a new fire station, as envisioned by the Bethesda Fire Department. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Mead.
MS. MEAD: Thank you. Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments tonight. My name is Anne Mead, and I'm here on behalf of the Bethesda Arts \& Entertainment District Board of Directors, so welcome to the Bethesda Arts \& Entertainment District. For
background, the 1994 Bethesda Sector Plan called for a cultural district in downtown Bethesda. In 2002, consistent with those recommendations, the state designated the downtown Bethesda as an A\&E district.

Over the past 13 years, A\&E, with the staff of the Bethesda Urban Partnership, has successfully created and managed effective programs that celebrate regional artists and provides arts and entertainment options for local residents. As recognized in the staff draft on Page 8, the arts greatly contribute to making Bethesda an economically viable area by attracting thousands of patrons to our live theaters, our art galleries and special events, patrons that also eat in our restaurants and shop in our stores.

A\&E supports the recommendations in the staff draft. We appreciate the staff's inclusion of the arts in the Plan, and specific support of A\&E priorities on Page 22, as well as the comments on Page 70 to "continue to support

Bethesda as an arts and cultural destination." Bethesda A\&E has many long-standing programs, such as the Art Walk, the Trawick Prize, and the Bethesda Fine Arts Festival. Some recent projects include Tunnel Vision, which added public art to the pedestrian tunnel near the Metro entrance under Wisconsin Avenue, and Gallery B and Studio B that feature local artwork, as well as provide artists studio space.

Additionally, we recently created the Bethesda Film Fest in 2003, and this spring we had the Bernard/Ebb Songwriting Awards. We've also started a public arts award program that has honored property owners for their efforts in adding public art to their properties without being required to.

These programs have been great additions to downtown Bethesda, but we have an ongoing list of exciting initiatives. The A\&E board does not rest. Our No. 1 priority is a community theater. This was on the amenity list back in 2006 for the Woodland Triangle Amendment
and is recognized by the staff on Page 89 of the draft plan. The theater will provide much-needed space for local theater, dance, and choral companies to perform. We ask that the Planning Board continue the support of this important new potential public facility in its recommendations. Other new A\&E-planned initiatives that could really benefit from support from new and existing development include a Paint the Town initiative for public murals on blank walls, and a public art project that will place 15 to 20 large sculptural Bs, which I have a picture of in our written testimony, around Bethesda. I'll point you to Pages 23 and 89 for the opportunity to fund these through -- and the staff recommends supporting these and other A\&E projects. We also reference in our written testimony a win-win, where we did have an existing development replace its old art with a new initiative, the Studio B I mentioned. We hope that the Planning Board will continue that and the plan will recommend. Thank you, and we
have materials and pictures.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
O'Neil.
MR. O'NEIL: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Patrick O'Neil, and I am the co-chair of the Greater Bethesda Chevy Chase Chamber of Commerce's Bethesda Sector Plan Steering Committee. This committee was formed at the inception of the sector plan to try to assemble, in a meaningful way, business and property owner feedback that had broader application to the Bethesda area. The business community has been watching, with great interest, the evolution of the Bethesda Downtown Plan and is generally pleased with the direction it has taken.

The proposed heights of 290 feet in the Metro core are a great start in providing needed incentive for redevelopment that will capitalize on our most valuable transportation asset, Metro. At the same time, we note that height is the key variable in a 20-year plan, and this plan needs to provide realistic height
opportunities for the achievement of redevelopment and other county objectives.

For example, there needs to be plausible growth opportunities in Bethesda to ensure that the agricultural preserve remains untouched. We also note there are other properties within the Sector Plan area that are in need of additional height incentives to spur desired renewal and hope you will be open to this height discussion on a case-by-case basis. We also applaud the flexibility of design in the Downtown Plan, which relies on the sketch plan process to accommodate creative and innovative place making over time. This is a different approach, and marked improvement, from previous plans that sought to dictate how and where development should occur.

Having said that, we have concerns with how the high-performance areas may be implemented in the plan. While the stated priorities for those areas may be universally desired, they may not be achievable in certain
circumstances. The prioritization may also preclude other desirable amenities or design considerations.

The high-performance goals must be aspirational and allow for other non-priority elements to be implemented in place of stated priorities if it makes sense to do so. The business community is also encouraged by the Plan's program to pool developers' public space contributions with the creation of quality public amenities. We support the effort and look forward to a Bethesda-wide advisory committee, in conjunction with the Bethesda Urban Partnership, to implement this initiative. One area of concern for the business community is the right-of-way requirements for Wisconsin Avenue, which require developers on either side to dedicate nine feet of frontage in order to develop.

We recognize and appreciate the purpose for this, but need to have flexibility in how it's actually implemented. Lastly, the

Chamber encourages even bigger thinking with respect to transportation, especially mass transit. For example, the Plan recommends an expanded Circulator, but stops short of recommending a Circulator connection between the NIH Metro and Bethesda Metro stations to maximize intra-Bethesda connectivity. We have other great ideas and comments, and we'll submit those in written comments. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much. Could we get Laureen Lesser, Jesse Cantrill, Christina Echavarren, Holly Troy and Heather Dlhopolsky?

SPEAKER: Heather spoke.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, she did finish, sorry, and Dave Sears, if he's here. Are you Ms. Lesser?

MS. LESSER: That's me.
CHAIR ANDERSON: No? Oh, there it is. Okay, you're up first. You can go ahead any time you're ready.

MS. LESSER: Thanks, is this a good
volume?
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, thank you for speaking (Simultaneous speaking).

MS. LESSER: Great. Oh, thanks for having me. It was a maze to find this place, so I'm glad I finally made it. My name is Laureen. I'm 27. I guess I'm single in affordable housing for the ones in this crowd. I can't convince my friends, who live in D.C. and Virginia, to come visit me in Bethesda. Some of the questions I get are what's in Bethesda? How do you get to Metro from your apartment?

I didn't look at living in Bethesda because it's so expensive. Isn't everything in Bethesda really expensive and White? Why do you live in Bethesda? Like everyone in this room, $I$ know that there are plenty of good things in Bethesda, so here is what $I$ see in the plan that I think can make it great in the short term and the long term. Since I only have three minutes, I'm just going to ask you to consider two things when you think of the needs of your local
millennial, which I will represent. The two things are to celebrate your transit and to support vibrant streetscapes. For celebrating your transit, $I$ moved to this area so that I wouldn't need to buy a car. Most of my colleagues and friends are the same. Being able to take the Circulator, walk and bike is why I live in Bethesda, in a big way. Two-way streets, connected street grids, functional bike racks, all of that helps. I think it would help everybody in Bethesda, both residents and visitors, to have the Metro station a desirable, welcoming, and beautiful feature, that's not shamefully hidden underground. Best of all, none of this means that $I$ can't have a car one day if $I$ need to.
So please, celebrate your transit.

The second thing is vibrant streetscapes. What I really need in Bethesda is affordable retail and housing. If I can't walk, bus, or bike to get groceries or go to my awesome farmer's market or go work at a coffee shop, then $I$ can't live here.

Now I'm going to say something controversial because I seriously love green space. I work at an environmental non-profit, and it's tough to say, but I am torn about the parts of the plan to have green buffers in front of buildings. I'm concerned because if I can't see retail because of a lawn or a small strip of trees, then it's hard to go there. I love green space, but I know that if parks are going to be too small, they're too spread out, then I can't meet my neighbors there, and there won't be events there that I can convince my friends to join me at.

I'm thrilled that the plan incorporates green space and tree canopies and green roofs and stormwater management, but I really encourage you to think big about our parks and be willing to create those vibrant streetscapes, so Bethesda can be livable, and then we can really maximize our green space potential and be smart about what we do with our parks. In 20 years, I will be 47. It's hard, I know. I see a lot of great things in this plan
to let --
CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm 46, and you don't know (Simultaneous speaking).
(Laughter.)
MS. LESSER: All right. You're looking good. Thank you for giving me hope. When I'm where you are one day, sir, I really hope that my friends aren't still asking me why I would live in Bethesda, and I really hope that neither will the next generation. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Jesse
Cantrill.
MR. CANTRILL: I represent the South
Bradley Hills Neighborhood Association at meetings of the Coalition of Bethesda Communities. I'm speaking tonight on my own behalf. I'm asking you to approve the Brookfield plan for a fourth building at Bethesda Metro. This sounds like our best chance to improve the appearance and usefulness of the bus terminal along the street-level plaza.

I don't know where that is on the map,
but it's right across the street here. In my view, the bus terminal is an embarrassment in the center of our town. While I appreciate the Clark Company proposal to improve the street-level plaza, I understand that their plan does not provide for improvements to the bus terminal. If anything, it will make a bad situation worse by removing the waterfall and paving over the open area near the escalator. According to Chesapeake Public Strategies, the Brookfield plans for Building 4 include the following improvements to the bus terminal: better lighting, improved seating, better bike facilities, better signage, artwork in the station, and a covered escalator from the plaza.

Mind you, they did not promise that the escalator will actually be running. We have lived too long with this shameful eyesore at the center of our lovely town, and it is long past time to improve it. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Echavarren.

MS. ECHAVARREN: Yes, thank you for the opportunity of speaking today. I am the president of the Sacks Neighborhood Association. I believe it's on Page 128. I'm not sure. I want to talk about three different issues. One is zoning, the other is adjacent properties, and the final one is arterial designation of the Leeland Street. Just to give you a little bit of history, seven years ago, you may be aware that a developer approached our community to try to buy all 60 properties. This process created deep divisions with our neighborhood. There were very, very severe animosity between us, and it's taken a long time to heal. Once again, I see the animosity beginning to build because opportunities to look into the future and to possibly change the look of a neighborhood has been presented on the table, and people are very divided.

I've been in the community, as a leader, for approximately 20 years, and I have always said that we have 60 households and 80
different opinions. There is no one there who agrees with anyone else, and you will never find a consensus of our neighborhood. Everyone disagrees with each other. In order to get a better sense of where people stood, I conducted a survey in January of this year.

I asked people to give an opinion on the options that were presented by the Planning Board staff at the time. From that survey, I published a newsletter, and I submitted a copy of that to the staff: 14.6 percent of the households only wanted residential, nothing else;

12 percent want to allow commercial; and 22 percent want to allow townhouses or low-rise condos. There is some overlap because they're not mutually exclusive. Some people checked yes on two of those options. As you can see, there are great divisions, and there's a lot of people in the middle. I am recommending to you, the Board, that you will never make everyone happy in our neighborhood.

With whatever you recommend to the
county council, you will never make everyone happy. I'm recommending that you please find a reasonable solution to a creative balance between the opposing groups in our neighborhood, so that people can live in peace with what is going to happen in the future. We need to have leadership from the Planning Board that will allow us to have that. Adjacent properties, I just want to say that 54 percent said they --

CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry. We will read your testimony. I'm sure we'll hear more from you and your neighbors (Simultaneous speaking).

MS. ECHAVARREN: Okay, and Chuck is going to talk about our arterial.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Holly Troy I guess is not here?

SPEAKER: She's here.
MS. TROY: I'm here.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, okay. You can go on the next panel. Oh, he's taking your place?

MR. RYBOS: Yes.
MS. TROY: And I'm taking his place.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, go right
(Simultaneous speaking) keep them straight.
MR. RYBOS: My name is Chuck Rybos.
I live at 4827 Leeland Street. I'm here on behalf of my wife and myself and many of our fellow neighbors on Leeland Street because we have a problem with traffic, and it's cut-through traffic. The people that are transpiring on cutting through are in a hurry, and they ignore the speed limit.

They ignore the signs that say you can't come in and come out at certain times. They ignore the late restrictions. We have large, fast-moving trucks going through our street that are a danger to the residents, the kids that are there, and the pedestrians that use our street to cut through to the Metro. The main impediment to us getting anything effectively done -- 20 years since the last Sector Plan -has been the fact that the plan in 1994 had what
we believe is an error in it. Then on Table 5.3, it said that we were an arterial road. This designation -- one designation in the table is in direct conflict with what the text says because in the descriptions and the objectives of what the plan was in the direct recommendations was to adopt the measures to restrict through traffic in our neighborhood.

So in 20 years, what's been done to do that is absolutely nothing. The reason why, we think, is because of this arterial designation. I spoke with this at length with Arthur Holmes back in 2006. I'm sure plenty of you know who that name is, a guy who can get things done. He and a number of other people in the county and traffic and so on have all pointed to the arterial road thing and said these measures restrict traffic.
We've talked to people about pork
chops. We've talked to people -- which is one right-hand turn, so coming out of our
neighborhood, Leeland is up there, which cuts in
between Bradley -- hate to use that word -- and Woodmont, which would allow only a right-hand turn out, so the traffic would coming in both ways. We've talked about cameras. We've talked about electronic devices, where it lights up like it does across from Chevy Chase Country Club how you're going too fast, gates, restricted. The developer at Lot 31 offered to pay for some of these things for free for solar powered deals.

All of these things were sort of rejected as not being in keeping with the Sector Plan. Even, we talked about one way and speed bumps, which nobody really likes, but at least they're choices for us to do something right now. We've been precluded from doing something. Leeland Street is a residential street. Casey, thank you for taking the bike tour.

You stood on my back deck. You know what it looks like. It's a little tree-lined, narrow street. It's no commercial. It's no residential. There's 36 single-family homes on this street. An artery, in terms of the
definition, is a road meant primarily for through movement of vehicles at a moderate speed with some abutment to residential. Leeland is a primary residential street, according to what the definition should be. This is really meant primarily for circulation in residential zones, although some through traffic is expected. We don't have some. We've got a lot. So two streets nearby. Strathmore is nearby. Over in Chevy Chase, we have Rosemary.

They go through major roads. They go to join Bradley. They go to join through Connecticut. They're not arteries. Strathmore has a pork chop. Rosemary has signs, no cut-through traffic. They have speed bumps.

They don't have the problem we have. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Sears.
MR. SEARS: Good evening. My name's
Dave Sears. I'm chair of the Montgomery County
Sierra Club, and I'm here tonight representing
our 5,000 members. The environmental concerns -am I close enough to the mic?

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. SEARS: Okay. The environmental concerns of the Sierra Club that are germane to the Bethesda Plan include energy efficient buildings, a green approach to storm water management, increased walk ability and bike ability, less focus on parking spaces, easy connectivity among transportation modes, and that would include walking, biking, Metro, Purple Line, BRT, and affordable housing. In most respects, we believe that the plan does a good job handling these concerns.

For that, we commend you. The exception, however, is affordable housing. We are disappointed, but not surprised, by the plan's lackluster attention to affordable housing. Across the nation, affordable housing is the component of smart growth that's the hardest to put in place and the easiest to let slide. That is all the more reason that the

Bethesda Plan must do a better job of emphasizing the importance of affordable housing as a critical piece of the future Bethesda.

The future Bethesda that we're looking for is an attractive, higher-density, mixed-use, mixed-income, walkable, transit-served community. We should not short change the mixed-income aspect. Let me back up for a moment and explain how the Sierra Club has arrived at this view. In recent years, the Sierra Club has grown increasingly concerned about the effects of climate change upon our planet. One critical component of our overall strategy to address climate change is to encourage smart growth. How does affordable housing fit into this picture? In brief, recent studies show that the best affordable housing investment is near transit, and the best housing investment near transit is affordable housing.

> I hope you heard those words clearly.

That is the residents of affordable housing who live near transit use transit at a higher rate
and drive less than affordable housing residents who live farther from transit stations, and residents of affordable housing who live near transit use the transit at a higher rate and drive less than higher-income residents who live in that same neighborhood near transit.

Thus, if we're going to use the Bethesda Master Plan to design an even more attractive higher-density neighborhood with walk ability and transit access for more folks, the environmentally smart way to do this is to substantially increase the absolute number of affordable housing units and the percentage of total housing units which are affordable. Those residents are going to drive less and use transit more than their more affluent neighbors, a boon to transit ridership and to addressing climate change. In sum, the Bethesda Plan must place a greater emphasis on affordable housing. That's a critical ingredient for a successful future Bethesda.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. If we
could get Pam Lindstrom, and maybe she could finish your thought, probably, Tisha Vaska, Keith Geimer and Andy O'Hare. Oh, and also -- well, I think we'd like to do -- if Holly Troy wants to jump on that bandwagon, it'd be probably be good, and we can (Simultaneous speaking) conclude that one, if that's okay.

Okay, I'll put them together on the next panel. How about that?

SPEAKER: Sorry. Michael is part of our group.

CHAIR ANDERSON: You know what? Would you --

SPEAKER: We'll wait.
CHAIR ANDERSON: That would be great. I would appreciate that. If we could -- in that case, we would have room for Clinton Smith on this panel.

You can come up, yes. Is Clinton Smith here? No Clinton Smith. How about Stephen McSpadden? No? Oh, here he is. Oh, we have Bob? SPEAKER: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Sorry. I spoke too soon. I'm just trying to keep all the trains running here. So we'll start with Tisha Vaska. MS. VASKA: Hi. My name is Tisha. I'm a new resident of downtown Bethesda, been here a month now. I have worked downtown for the last year. Prior to that, $I$ was a resident of New York City for five years, wonderful and different place. I would like to start out by commending the Planning Department and the Planning Board for including in your plan the overarching goals of increasing improved park and open space. I'm a huge fan of parks. I'm a former student of landscape architecture. I'm a Seattle native. I'm all about green space and open space. With that said, tonight I am here in support of the Bethesda Metro Park proposed by Clark Enterprise, and I'm opposing the plan put forth by Brookfield Properties for the Bethesda Central Park.

Primarily, I'm opposed to their plan because they're including the possibility of a
rather large high-rise building that could be up to 290 feet, 29 stories. The Metro Plaza is a prime opportunity to be the symbolic center of downtown Bethesda. It should be open and inviting, and it should be the social/cultural center of the city.

It should be inviting, and not hidden.
We don't need another hidden park or pocket park in Bethesda. I like to think of myself as an urban explorer. I do a lot of walking. I've walked around Bethesda for the last year now on my lunch breaks, and I often come -- I've come up to these small parks, this one behind us included, and I'm confused. Is it a public space? Is it a private space? It's quiet. It can be dark. Is it safe? Am I allowed here? What is this? I don't understand. I'm starting to understand, but it's been confusing. I just don't think Bethesda needs another park like that.

## I think that the Brookfield space will

create the same thing. Brookfield has used some
great precedent studies in their plan -- Central Park, Bryant Park. Those are amazing places, but the scale of those parks are so different than what we have here at the Bethesda Metro Plaza. I will say, too, New York City is wonderful, and it's great to walk around in. It's grand, but it gets tiring of being overbidden by the very, very tall buildings that are there.

When I came to the D.C. area, $I$ just remember walking through the streets and feeling air and being able to see the sun and the sky. I just hope that Bethesda keeps that in mind as you go forward with your plan. Density is great, but let's keep it real and keep it in scale for our community. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. (Applause.) We really need to limit applause or shouting out or anything like that. It kind of just slows everything down. Holly Troy.

MS. TROY: I apologize. This is an impromptu opportunity for me to make a quick plea to the Committee. I live in the Sacks Community.

I think two of my neighbors just spoke.
Christina made it very clear that nobody really agreed on anything in our community, but one thing that we all do seem to agree on is that the flow of traffic through our neighborhood is very disruptive and unsafe. I've been a county resident for over 45 years, and my husband, as well.

We love Bethesda. We moved to Sacks last year because it was a cozy, quaint street and have found that since we moved there, it's been pretty hectic. We haven't moved in yet. We've actually been fixing up our house, but it's just crazy getting out of the driveway or taking the kids for walks. It's just really not conducive to a residential-type street. I just wanted -- I spoke with Matthew, and I just wanted to make the plea to please reconsider Leeland Street as an arterial road, and please designate it as a residential street because that's really what it is in the midst of all the high rises.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Keith

Geimer .
MR. GEIMER: Yes. My name is Keith Geimer.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Pull up the microphone if you could.

MR. GEIMER: I'm a 40-year Bethesda native, and so I remember -- I'm speaking about the plan on Page 32 for the Metro Plaza Building. I remember when that was a fun place. There was a millennial girl up here earlier that spoke of a need for that type of active environment with -there used to be a food court, a lot of music when you came off the Metro, ice skating rink, all of those nice things.

> It's the last open business center to Bethesda, so I'm against the building proposal. I've seen these pocket parks. I've lived in this building, the Metropolitan, for five years. They're dangerous a little bit at night. They're creepy. There's drug use if they're dark and pretty abandoned. No one uses them. I'd get accosted by panhandlers and such. That's why I
moved over to the Crescent Plaza Condominium, which has been actually very hard because there's construction on all sides of it.

I think that's a great thing because eventually, that will be beautiful and very nice, and the Washington property use is going to also be a nice building. So I'm not opposed to buildings, but that spot is special and used to be even more special and could be again. That's all I have to say.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. 0'Hare.

MR. O'HARE: Thank you. My name's Andy 0 'Hare, and I'm chair of the Bethesda Urban Partnership Board. Thank you for the opportunity to share opposite perspectives on the Bethesda Plan this evening. The Bethesda Urban Partnership, or BUP, was formed in 1994 as the first Urban District Corporation of Montgomery County. It was a unique concept, at the time, of public/private partnership designed to provide private sector level of service with largely
public sector funds. The concept has clearly proven to be a success, as BUP celebrated its 20th year in 2014. BUP's mission is to manage and market downtown Bethesda. It does this through maintenance and beautification, marketing and event planning, transportation management, including a wildly successful Circulator, which we've heard about tonight, and promotion of Bethesda as an arts and entertainment destination.

Continued success in these areas will be critical to the success of the Bethesda Plan. As a key stakeholder, BUP finds much to applaud and support in the plan. Of particular note are the following elements. We support alignment of the different Bethesda boundaries, including the parking lot district, the urban district, the transportation management district, and the arts and entertainment district.

This will eliminate jurisdictional confusion and facilitate efficient planning. We support the creation of additional public green
space, including a central civic gathering space. This will maintain and establish an ambiance that even Bethesda deserves. Taking on additional responsibility for the maintenance of these new and existing public green spaces by BUP is a logical next step in an expansion of our responsibility, albeit one for which additional funding will be needed. A remixing of retail opportunities focused on the areas around the Metro and the Woodmont Triangle will provide greater balance to downtown Bethesda as a whole. Creation of the new districts will facilitate a sense of place and focus future growth. Retention of market rate housing -which has been noted several times tonight -- for millennials and their successors will ensure Bethesda remains a vibrant and dynamic multi-generational community. Activation of Norfolk Avenue will meet the needs of a growing residential neighborhood.

Improvement in the access to public spaces will foster community gathering. Creation
of a Bethesda Plan Implementation Advisory Group, with BUP as the host, will ensure that
stakeholders from multiple constituencies are heard. In the area of transportation, which will be critical to the plan, we support bike-friendly infrastructure and evaluation of the one-way streets downtown, expansion of the parking lot district, and potential expansion of the Circulator. We do have a few concerns, including integration of bus rapid transit through the Woodmont and Wisconsin Avenue right of way -- we don't think there's enough space on the road to do that -- rehabilitation of Bethesda Metro Station, which has been mentioned several times, and funding for the additional green space that's been noted in the plan.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, Mr. Elmendorf.

MR. ELMENDORF: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. My name is Steve Elmendorf with the Law Firm of Linowes and Blocher. Tonight I am representing the Bethesda Farm Women's Co-Op

Market, one of the priority sending sites in the Master Plan -- the Proposed Sector Plan. This is a co-op that's been in operation for about eight years. I have written testimony at the end of the record. I'm just going to summarize right now. One of the key elements in the Sector Plan is this whole concept of priority sending areas. Whether those sites achieve the goals intended for them in the plan is largely going to depend on whether somebody is willing to buy the density that's put on these sites. Buying density is an expensive proposition. If you want to buy 100,000 square feet off of one of these right now, get out your checkbook and write me a check for over $\$ 5$ million. Initially, it's an expensive proposition.

I am concerned that the plan right now does not provide any real incentives for owners of receiving areas to want to incur that expense. In my testimony, I gave you a couple ideas, and it's just a couple, really challenge the Board and the staff to go back and put your thinking
caps on. You need to come up with some real financial incentives to get properties willing to buy these densities.

The one I suggested -- I told you, after you write your check for $\$ 5$ million, surprise, for that 100,000 square feet, you now have to buy BLTs, which is going to cost you another \$600,000. I'm all for making the folks up in the Reserve as rich as possible, but not at the expense of this plan. So what I had proposed was one thing would be to exempt that transfer density from a BLT requirement and exempt an equal amount of existing density on that receiving site from a BLT requirement to give a real financial incentive to the property owners who want to buy this density. I also suggested -- and if you are going to density average right now under the law, instead of having to do 100 incentive density points, you've got to get 150 . That's crazy, if you really want these sites to buy this density and density average, to tell them surprise, you now have got to achieve
at least 150 points. To me, when you do the Bethesda Overlay Zone, the BOZ, as it's referred to in the plan, those two things are -- at a minimum, you ought to write into there to change the rules to create a plan (Simultaneous speaking).

If you don't, to be honest with you,
I think this plan's going to have to be considered to be a failure because you're not going to achieve one of the major goals, which is to get these priority sending sites to what you want them to be. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. So if we could get Pam Lindstrom and anybody else who's with that Sierra Club group, along with -- how many people is that?

SPEAKER: Just two, total.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Two, total? Okay, that's good, and Steven McSpadden, who I'm sorry

I jumped the gun on that one. I guess Clinton Smith from Clark is not here. Is that right? Okay, so we'll strike that one off. So that's
three people, David Kitchens and Jean Rozansky, and then we're going to probably take a break. Would you like to start?

MS. FREEDMAN: Sure.
SPEAKER: You have to pull it real close.

MS. FREEDMAN: Good evening. My name is Michal Freedman, and I'm here as a member of the Executive Committee of the Montgomery County Sierra Club. My testimony focuses on why adequate affordable housing in downtown Bethesda can help Montgomery County respond to the threat of climate change, a threat that grows increasingly dire every year.

In 2007, Montgomery County was one of only 12 counties in the country that pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 80 percent by the year 2050, and specifically, it pledged to reduce emissions in the short term, by this year, by 10 percent. Where does the county stand? The Department of Environmental Protection issued a report two months ago evaluating the changing
greenhouse gas emissions and found that energy use had increased since 2005 by 5 percent, so we are now down 15 percent, and things will get progressively worse unless there's a commitment to respond to the pledge.

It's, therefore, all the more important that the final Bethesda Downtown Plan help reverse this trend. Planning for adequate affordable housing in downtown Bethesda can play a vital role. Transportation is one of the three largest contributors to greenhouse gas emissions, and it is a growing share of emissions. Studies show that we can't reduce this contribution by just changing vehicle design or improving fuel mileage.

What has to be done is reduce the vehicle miles driven. One of the most obvious ways of reducing automobile dependence and reducing the number of vehicle miles driven and reducing suburban sprawl is to provide adequate affordable housing near jobs in urban areas like downtown Bethesda. I want to make a second
point, which is a good part of our country's transition to a reduced carbon society depends on persuading people that living in a walkable, bikeable neighborhood with increased reliance on public transit is both a satisfying and a moral way to live. But the opportunity to follow a morally commendable way of life can't be limited to certain segments of society.

It must be in reach of all segments of our county. So in summary, we must plan for adequate affordable housing in downtown Bethesda, both because it will contribute to reduced carbon pollution and because the opportunity to live in ecologically sensitive ways should be in reach of all people of all means. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you, Ms.
Freedman. Ms. Lindstrom.
MS. LINDSTROM: Pam Lindstrom. We just --

CHAIR ANDERSON: Mic closer.
MS. LINDSTROM: Oh, sorry. We just received a message that we are celebrating 50
years as Sierra Club members.
SPEAKER: Oh, congratulations.
MS. LINDSTROM: I also want to develop
the theme of the need for more affordability, more opportunities for all residents to live in downtown Bethesda as the chief contribution that this business district can make to a sustainable community. I notice that the representative from HOC commended this plan and the staff's work. I am not going to argue with the general commendation that it pays more attention to affordable housing than any other plan we've seen.

However, I was going to make the opposing observation that compared to other parts of the provision that you all portrayed for Bethesda, affordable housing is lacking in rhetoric. Chapter 2, which discusses in detail the high priority themes for this plan, it discusses many aspects of sustainability. It has a chapter labeled "Land Use," but affordable housing and, in fact, housing at all is not to be
seen in that section.
Yet, the case can be made that providing affordable housing, and affordability in general, are the most important contribution that this plan can make to sustainability. This case is laid out more thoroughly in a couple of longer papers that $I$ will submit in due time. We want Bethesda to be our great model for -- I'm sure you've heard the term equitable transit-oriented development. Bethesda can be and should be our great model for equitable TOD. This plan is not there yet. Many of these problems could be addressed just by putting a section into Chapter 2 that's labeled "Housing," and lays out the case as you have for the other sustainable features.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you very much. Mr. McSpadden.

MR. MCSPADDEN: Thank you. I'm Stephen McSpadden. I have lived in Bethesda for close to 30 years. I was a founding member of the community association that has been actively
involved with these issues. I'm concerned that the current staff draft of the Bethesda Master Plan fails to achieve, in two ways, the delicate balance between new development and preserving the quality of life as follows. First, the Eastern Gateway District Proposal tiered allowable heights seems like a potential recipe for disaster with different heights and setbacks, and potential six-story buildings in the middle of east Bethesda. I appreciate the creativity in the plain sense proposal, but to further create higher density on a block-by-block basis will permanently change the nature of and put more stress on this existing single-family neighborhood. Second, another area of the plan that needs to be worked is Bethesda Metro Center.

This base was the centerpiece of the 1994 Master Plan, and it is completely ignored in the current draft. I remember in the past, a food court and the ice skating rink were once at the location. The Metro Center has been a historic town square and a major community
gathering space in Bethesda. While Bethesda Row and other areas may be a little bit more popular now, Bethesda Metro Center is still a large open public space, on top of a heavily used Metro rail station.

The staff draft contains nothing -nothing on how to improve this existing public space of two acres. The only attention given to this space seems to be put a new building on it that is referred to on Page 97 of the draft. While one of the major goals of the master plan is to have the civic gathering spaces in the Wisconsin Avenue Corridor, implementation of the staff proposal would, in effect, get rid of a civic gathering green space in Metro Center. The staff's proposal to support three centers with civic gathering spaces and green spaces in each center completely disregards the space above the Bethesda Metro Station.

For example, the draft has three paragraphs envisioning a new corner of part of the current Shell gas station on Old Georgetown

Road. Also, would it not make more sense to first improve the Bethesda Metro Plaza before trying to acquire a hardware store for another green space? The plan should instead focus on ways to improve the current space by putting in more grass (Simultaneous speaking) rather than on taking away an existing city space for the development of a new high-rise building.

In summary, the lack of attention on how to redesign this space is a major flaw in this current draft. With all the new residents coming into Bethesda, we really need as much civic green space as possible. I would urge you to rework the current proposal for Bethesda Metro Center to designate the Plaza as a civic green and to preserve it as a community amenity. Thank you very much for hearing me out.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. KITCHENS: Good evening. My name
is David Kitchens. I'm a partner at Cooper Cary Architects, live in Rockwell, Maryland. I'm
going to be addressing Page 95, Figure 3.01, Circle 19, and Page 97, Figure 3.02. In over 20 years of working in Bethesda, beginning at Bethesda Row, we've always recognized that the Bethesda Metro Plaza was a major civic plaza space that was really the center of Bethesda. Even as we began to develop Bethesda Row, we saw that was a counterbalance for public open space at the south end and complementing the central space, which was Metro Plaza.

The issue that $I$ have is that the plan really begins to supplant that idea. The Plaza historically is the crossroads of Bethesda and is vitally important as a community gathering space, as recognized in the 1994 Plan. I'll give you the fact that it was designed in a character when Bethesda was really an urban office park, but Bethesda has grown and matured over the years and has become a residential community these days. As our millennial friend told us earlier, we need to celebrate our living room that we have here in Bethesda and really reposition it. Our plan that
we developed for Clark Enterprise really supported our long-term belief that this needs to be a more flexible public gathering space.

It does celebrate our transportation, and it does celebrate connections to the community. We believe that what happens with the recommendations in the staff plan is that the over eight FAR and the 290 feet in height places a building in a location, which is identified on Page 97, in the only position that it can be in, which creates just another insular plaza in Bethesda.

There's already been enough said about the insular plazas tonight in Bethesda, about how they're not sure you're supposed to go there, not sure you're safe there. The retail in those plazas doesn't work. But I believe that the plaza that we have today with the improvements and the elimination of a lot of the divisive elements, like the changes in grade and all that and making it simple, connecting it, bringing the Metro up in an urban manner, like they do
downtown, would make it far more successful and bring more people to the plaza. I'm a great believer in that plazas make the development. The development does not make the plaza. So I think that by improving the park and the plaza here is that we can build a better development around it and can really create some of the great retail that we had when it was really a lunchtime enjoyment and really create it as Bethesda's living room for the new people who are going to be living here.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much.
Jean Rozansky's not here, is that right? Okay, we're going to take about a five-minute break. After the break, the people who will be up are Leonard Cooper, John Hautman, Jody Kline, Mike Binder and Rebecca Owen, so if you could be --
(Whereupon, the above-entitled meeting went off the record at 8:14 p.m. and went back on the record at 8:25 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSON: All right, do we have
Mr. Cooper? Go right ahead.

MR. COOPER: My name's Leonard Cooper. I come to you today as an architect for almost 50 years. I'm an architect who's asking you not to build a building. We have an opportunity here in the Bethesda Metro Center to have a park area and plaza area. I also come to you today as a resident of Bethesda now.

I've been here for eight years, four years at the Palisades Apartments, and four years at the Chase Condos. I moved here because this is where I'm going to retire, and because I'm across the street from the Metro. I take the Metro to work every day. I walk to the grocery. I walk to the cleaners. I walk to the restaurants. I fill up my gas tank about every two or three months. That's why I'm here. That's why I'm in Bethesda.

I love the convenience, but $I$ really miss having grass and a park area to go to. There aren't that many places in Bethesda where we have this opportunity, so I'm asking you here today to not build a high-rise building in the

Metro Plaza. That is one thing we don't need is another high-rise building here. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much.
John Hautman.
MR. HAUTMAN: Hello. My name is John Hautman. I'm the CEO of eMMUNITY, Inc., a Bethesda-based biotech company. I'm actually a resident of Bethesda. I live up on East West Highway at the topaz house. I cross Metro Plaza every day, grocery store, restaurants, dry cleaners. But I actually first came to Bethesda in 1987.

I remember when Metro Plaza was a vibrant place. I remember taking my sons there for Christmas tree lightings, for ice skating, for music. Now when I want to go to an outdoor summer concert, I go to Rockville. Rockville has a very nice central park area. It's very vibrant. They have great concerts.
Bethesda doesn't have that. It's shocking to me that Bethesda doesn't have a central core green space. I remember when the
building was put up catty corner to the plaza, the Chevy Chase Land Building. It really did overpower the intersection, but I guess it was positive. We don't need another 290-foot building just opposite to it. When my friends come to Bethesda, $I$ want them to see a vibrant park area, like Clark Enterprises has proposed, with fountains and eating areas. I don't want Bethesda to become like Tysons Corner or Rosslyn, concrete areas devoid of warmth. We need green spaces in Bethesda. I'd like to see Metro Plaza return to what it originally was designed for.

There never has been, as far as I can tell, any support amongst the community for another high-rise building. So I would urge you, as representatives of the public, my
representative, to not approve a plan which would permit the construction of a huge skyscraper in a space which needs to be devoted to public use.

Thank you so very much for giving me this opportunity to speak.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thanks very much.
(Applause.) Please, I just can't have the applause. It adds up to time out of this, and it's not really helpful. I'm sorry, but we just can't have that. Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Good evening. My name is Jody Kline. I'm an attorney with the Law Firm Miller, Miller \& Canby, and I want to talk to you for a minute about four property owners who've really gotten excited about the Pearl District. Can I draw your attention to Page 111 of the master plan, so I can kind of point out where the property's located? My four clients own the properties that are Circle 3, which are the top of the page on the north side of East West Highway.

You can see there's two houses that have been converted to office, and then two other office buildings that exist there. No. 2 is the parking lot for Our Lady of the Lord's Catholic Church across the street. The beautiful thing of what these gentlemen have done is all gotten together, as the discussion about the Pearl

District started building and building, and tried to join in with the property owners at No. 6 and No. 7 to see if we could do something in combination.

You can see fortunately, they control most of that whole block from Lady of Lord's all the way to BCC High School on the other side. They've been very active in working with your staff. They're really encouraged by what the plan is doing, in terms of encouraging the Pearl District. They're not sure that the density that is enough to let them be able to deliver what they had envisioned they would be able to do with their properties. You can see down on the table below the legend, the density that's recommended is FAR 2 for the property.

They had envisioned something greater than that, trying to create more of a street frontage on the north side of East West Highway. I had a letter prepared, but I could see the format tonight doesn't work well to go through a letter with you, so I'm going to just send it to
you, but within that letter, there's a massing study done by a very talented design firm, Perkins Eastman.

By the way they've laid out the development, I'm going to suggest that you and your staff look at maybe split zoning the property, so that there was more intense zoning on the southern frontage, along East West Highway, and maybe less density on the back of the property, as you start moving into these Bethesda neighborhoods, just something to look at. The second thing I'd like to say from the zoning point of view is if you take a look at the commercial density that's recommended of 0.5 , at least two of the property owners have fairly major office buildings already and, in fact, wanted to increase their presence and have larger office buildings. The 0.5 FAR for commercial is not consistent with the ideas that they had and what they wanted to try and accomplish.

I'd like to tell you to just take the cap off of 0.5 and wherever you end up with FAR,
we'd like to see the amount of commercial go up because we want to add more commercial. Last thing I'm going to say is if you take a look at Pages 112 and 113, there's an asterisk on the property for a neighborhood green front. There's text on Page 112 to the left saying, "To serve Bethesda Chevy Chase High School and Lady of the Lord Church."

We'd much rather provide green space that contributed to the Pearl District than to the neighborhood behind us. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Binder. Oh, take a microphone. Thank you.
MR. BINDER: I'm here tonight to voice my support for the sustainability initiatives described on the Bethesda Downtown Plan, including the establishment of a high-performance area. The plan has the potential to improve the triple bottom line of environmental, social and economic health required for true sustainability, that it's a healthy ecosystem, healthy people and a healthy economy.

It seeks a holistic balance between these essential elements to meet the challenges of a future constrained by dwindling natural resources, climate change, and increasing economic stratification. Many cities are considering the adoption of new building codes, including the IVCC, designed to slow the decline in environmental health. As an architect, I feel these prescriptive standards don't go far enough, in fact.

Our goal should be not merely to be less bad, but to start reversing the damage that's been done in the past. That's why I applaud Bethesda's plans to go beyond the minimums prescribed by codes like the IVCC and to truly distinguish itself as a leader in sustainable development. Being green has proven its value in attracting new residents and new investments throughout the region, the country and the world. People want to live and work in a city that's healthier, more efficient, and more beautiful. There are social and market -- these
are the social and market courses that are going to build a long-term prosperity of Bethesda.

I have heard some disturbing rumors that under pressure from some developers, the Board is considering scaling back the high-performance district, or the high-performance area, as described in Section 2.5 of the Bethesda Downtown Plan. I understand the concerns these businesses have about added development costs, and economic health is essential to -- as the central part of sustainability.

There's ample evidence, however, to show you that high-performance buildings and communities deliver strong long-term return on investment, in terms of lower energy costs, increased resilience, greater worker productivity, and reduced healthcare costs. So I urge the board to resist the temptation to put short-term profits for a few ahead of the long-term health and prosperity of the community. I believe we should maintain those elements of
the Bethesda Downtown Plan that will truly distinguish Bethesda as a great place to work and live now and in the future. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Owen.
MS. OWEN: My name is Rebecca Owen.
I'm head of real estate for Clark Enterprises, a Bethesda resident, and I'm here to talk about the tale of two very different proposals for the top of Bethesda Metro Plaza, as you've heard today. The Bethesda Metro Plaza is the largest community gathering space in downtown Bethesda.

CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm sorry. David Kitchens' work has been retained by Clark, is that right?

MS. OWEN: Yes, David (Simultaneous speaking).

CHAIR ANDERSON: Then I can't let you speak because I can't have multiple people who are retained by the same -- or work for the same property owner testifying on the same property. It's just not fair to everybody because otherwise, we'd be here all night. One per
customer. I'm sorry.
MS. OWEN: But I'm a resident of Battery Park, so I can speak on behalf of Battery Park.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, if you've been authorized to speak on behalf of your civic association (Simultaneous speaking).

MS. OWEN: I do have a letter of support from the Battery Park Civic Association, as well as the Edgemoor and the Kenwood Civic Association.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Have you been authorized to speak on behalf of them?

MS. OWEN: I didn't ask for consent to speak (Simultaneous speaking).

CHAIR ANDERSON: Then I can't allow it. I'm sorry. One representative for each property. I have to have a hard and fast rule, or I'd have multiple people coming in. We've had this problem before. We'll read your testimony. We welcome you to come to the work session. I'm sure we'll air this out in great detail, but not
tonight. I'm sorry. Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: Go again?
CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, and in case you're wondering, the reason he gets to go again is because he's representing a different client for a different property, just to be absolutely clear. Go ahead.

MS. OWEN: Can he speak for me? He's representing me (Simultaneous speaking).

CHAIR ANDERSON: Not unless you have another property in Bethesda, which is another possibility.

MR. KLINE: We'll be submitting comments. Changing subjects away from the Metro Plaza, can I draw your attention to Page 115 of the master plan? That's because it's the easiest way for me to go through this quickly. I want to talk to you for a second about the owners of the Bradley Boulevard Shopping Center.

If I had more time, I would ask everybody in this room who's ever bought a sandwich or a bottle of wine in Bradley Beer and

Wine or bought something in Strosniders in our shopping center to raise their hand. Okay, I'll take Board members' hands, as well. That's fine. We've found a niche there that just really is great for us. It's really neighborhood serving. My clients are very comfortable with that. That's what they want to be doing for the foreseeable future. The question $I$ want to talk to you about is what happens when the leases expire and the redevelopment comes up? We've got 51,000 square feet of building that's there today. We hired Street Sense to come in and tell us what to do, and it will stack residential on top of your building, go up and take advantage of the situation and what's going on around you. We're at about a 3.15 FAR today. If we took the 0.75 commercial FAR that's recommended in the plan for the shopping center, we're essentially doubling our density. That's all we're doing. People have said that the tenants in the Bradley Boulevard Shopping Center are mom-and-pop operations, but there aren't
enough mom and pops to basically fill up one floor above this. Nobody redevelops a shopping center to add one floor in density.

So I'd like you to -- I'd like to explain to you why I think the property could handle more density, and certainly more commercial density than is recommended. It's easy to describe this. Take a look at the map on Page 115. No. 3, the property to the east of Arlington Road, the recommendation for that property is 2.75 FAR. The property to the west of us, which is not covered on this plan, but it's zoned R-10, R-10 basically is a 1 FAR property. It allows 43 dwelling units per acre. You've got a plan that's recommending, basically, a density of 0.75 sandwiched in between 2.75, at least one on the other side.

The property can handle more density, and we think it needs to have more density, in order to be able to handle that redevelopment and come up with something compatible when the time is appropriate to do so. I have a letter ready.

I'll send that to you separately. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, I think we're ready for -- do we have Nellie Wild, Robert Eisenberg, David Cheek and Christine Real de Azua? Let me just issue a blanket apology to everybody whose name I'm going to mispronounce tonight.

MR. WALLACE: I'll be speaking for David Cheek.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, okay. Why don't you go ahead?

MR. WALLACE: Good evening. My name is Scott Wallace, and not David Cheek, with the Law Firm of Linowes and Blocher, and I represent Meridian Group. Mr. Cheek could not be here tonight. He asked me to provide testimony on behalf of Meridian Group.

Meridian is the new owner of the Bethesda Hyatt Regency Hotel at Bethesda Metro Center. The public hearing draft of the Sector Plan update represents a significant improvement
over the draft plan, particularly with respect to Bethesda Metro Center, and Meridian supports the density and height recommendations for the Hyatt site.

Metro Center has some of the tallest buildings in the county, so additional density and heights comparable to the heights approved around Metro Station's at White Flint will be compatible with the surrounding area and will help to ensure that Bethesda grows through smart projects that leverage existing infrastructure and create a successful and vibrant downtown core. With regard to the Metro Plaza, Meridian has seen Brookfield's general plans for the Plaza, is pleased about their ideas regarding how the space can be transformed from the under-used area that it is today into an invigorated, mixed-use site that Meridian understands will include improvements to the public use space on the Plaza level and the bus bay level.

Redevelopment of the Plaza will encourage Meridian to pursue redevelopment of the

Hyatt site with complimentary uses and improvements. Overall, Meridian believes these plans will be good for Bethesda for the following reasons. First, Metro Center is a multi-modal hub sitting on top of a Metro station. It is served by multiple bus routes, major roads, bike routes and pedestrian connections.

It's within a ten-minute walk of thousands of existing homes, with more on the way. Redevelopment would complement and connect other areas of Bethesda, such as Woodmont Triangle and Bethesda Row. Given its central location above Metro, a bus facility and a parking garage, infrastructure is already in place and ready for additional development. As mentioned previously, Brookfield's plans include needed improvements to the bus bay level. On the fiscal side, redevelopment of the site would also bring tax benefits to the county and state and revenue to WMATA, and while not specifically required by the draft plan, Meridian understands Brookfield's plans include a central park at the

Plaza, which will serve as a gathering place for the public and will include government -- and will include programmed events to be coordinated and paid for.

Finally, Brookfield's plan is to have a building of architectural significance to replace the existing three-story glass building that detracts from the environment of the Plaza. In summary, redevelopment of the Plaza, a location central in central Bethesda is in line with the plan's economic, community and environmental sustainability goals. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Are you
Ms. Wild?
MS. WILD: I am, yes.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Would you like to go
next?
MS. WILD: Yes, thank you. My name is Nellie Wild. I'm a Bethesda resident. I'm a former board member of my community association, although my comments today are strictly my own, and not representing the community association.

I'm a former board member of the Washington Conservatory of Music here in Bethesda, and I'm active in community affairs. I'm speaking today against the planning staff's recommendation to allow the development of the Bethesda Metro Plaza with a new building in the middle of the current Plaza of up to 290 feet in height.

My husband and I chose to raise our young children in Bethesda for a number of reasons, all of which center around quality of life issues, quality of public schools, safe neighborhoods, access to numerous recreational and outdoor opportunities, access to world-class healthcare, and the list goes on.

Ours is a community of tremendous resource and pride. For any community to be cohesive, to attract and to retain residents, and to be sustainable, however, it needs vibrant public open spaces. The staff's draft plan recognizes this by stating that increasing parks and open spaces are among the top priorities of the plan. There are good examples of smaller
micro parks around Bethesda, but my neighbors and I want larger public spaces, such as those being incorporated into the newer neighborhoods in downtown D.C., to serve as convening space for larger public gatherings, such as movies, concerts, festivals, and even in its current state, the Bethesda Metro Plaza can fill this need.

The plan recognizes that Bethesda has, over the years, become more urban. The Plaza area represents an opportunity for our community to develop a high-quality open space to enhance urban living. More and more truly great cities, both here in the United States and all around the world, for that matter, are recognized for the emphasis they place on activated open spaces.

The Bethesda Metro Plaza is an incredible opportunity to enhance our community and to ensure that Bethesda remains an attractive option for both residents and businesses alike. The current plaza could be turned into a world-class public space that would benefit
generations of future residents. Please do not deprive the community of this great resource by allowing the Plaza to be over-developed. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Eisenberg.

MR. EISENBERG: Hi, thank you. My name is Bob Eisenberg, and I'm a 15-year resident of Bethesda. First, I want to thank you, the Board and the staff, for your service to the community. You're doing very important work.

My wife and I are very pleased to be raising our three young children here, and I'm speaking today to oppose the staff's proposed change in land use designation for the Metro Plaza that would allow future development on it. The draft master plan emphasizes the importance of civic greens located on main streets and integrated into the public realm, which I think is a laudable goal.

In spite of this, the staff has proposed eliminating this key public open space
by supporting a new, very large building in the center of the Plaza and relegating the remaining smaller open space, which is less than half the size, to an interior portion of the site, which is disconnected from the street and the public realm, which seems in conflict with the stated goal. The need for a public open space is apparent to all. Bethesda is clearly changing. There's 3,000 additional high-rise residences are planned to occupy Bethesda within a half-mile radius of the Bethesda Metro Center space in the next four years. These generally are smaller residential units in the newer buildings. These new urban parks have become year-round centers of community activity and draws for new employees, as well as residents.

My wife and I frequently find
ourselves looking to take the family to community events, festivals and so forth, and all too often have to look outside of Bethesda to find these events, as somebody else had mentioned earlier this evening. A number of my neighbors have
expressed similar frustrations. Bethesda clearly shouldn't be starved for such community activities. We should be embracing this opportunity to enhance such community space in this master plan. As I mentioned, the Bethesda Master Plan vision outlines the need for viable open civic spaces. I would argue that we have this here and now in the Bethesda Metro Plaza. Master plans don't typically show proposed building footprints, merely proposed heights, density, uses, and so forth. At the very least, I would urge the Board to instruct the staff to remove what's described as illustrative potential development building footprint, as shown on Page 97 of the staff draft, from the next planned draft.

I don't believe there's been an open public discussion along the proposed new high-rise building, and public discussion is important, especially when it comes to eliminating community public space. In closing,

I plead with the Board to please preserve the Bethesda Metro Plaza as a major community gathering space in its next draft of the Bethesda Downtown Plan.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you, Ms. Real de Azua.

MS. REAL DE AZUA: Yes. I am presenting these comments tonight, thank you, as an individual, and on behalf of 20 other individual citizens, many of whom have expertise in their professional or volunteer capacity in environment and energy, architectural design, engineering and related fields. In short, we are deeply concerned that the proposed plan is a missed opportunity to ensure sustainable living in Bethesda, and here's why. The plan, in its introduction, correctly identifies major challenges, such as, and I quote -- and you've heard these this evening before -- "highest average rents in the county, lack of urban parks and green space, high impervious cover within watersheds with poor to fair water quality, high
energy demand, high carbon emissions."
The plan also outlines an excellent vision and goals to address these challenges, including, and I quote again, "model for sustainability, affordable housing, safely walk and bike to stores and offices past new energy-efficient buildings, new parks and open spaces that provide green, tranquil places," all excellent goals.

However, there is virtually nothing in the plan to ensure that downtown Bethesda will successfully address these challenges and how to ensure that you will meet those goals and other goals that need to be added into the plan from an environmental perspective. Instead, let me read from the plan itself. It says, "The following recommendations may be achieved through the optional method public benefits in the CR zone and are simply recommendations, not requirements of the Sector Plan." The reality is that the optional methods for public benefits is really pretty much business as usual, as it is already
conducted in the county.
Mere recommendations and business as usual will not get us to the sustainability levels that we're all expecting, that you've described in the plan, and that we would like to see more of. Here are just a few more specific examples of what is wrong and what is needed. For example, the plan describes a towards net zero goal for buildings' energy consumption, Page 64, which is good, but it also requires standards to achieve that goal.

The planned house, in colorful charts, a 400 increase in open space, 336 acres of green roofs, again merely suggestions. Air pollution, park space, water supply and sewage, investments in necessary infrastructure, all of these are lacking. We therefore urge the Board to correct these and many other flaws that we list in our written comments. In closing, we would say other communities, including in nearby Washington, D.C., are proving that strong green initiatives are not only doable, but profitable.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. REAL DE AZUA: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, we can get Jean Rozansky, who was not available earlier, as well as Philip Bogdonoff, David Vise, Deborah Ingram, and Peter Keller. I'm told you need to speak for Ms. Rozansky, or can she --

MS. ROZANSKY: I'll just introduce myself.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, that's right.
MS. ROZANSKY: But I cannot speak, unfortunately. Good evening, everyone. I am Jean Rozansky. I live in Bethesda, and I'm here to share my thoughts with you about the Bethesda Metro Center and why I support, unequivocally, Brookfield's proposal for a signature building.

SPEAKER: I'll try to speak and deliver with her panache. I'm not only here on my own behalf, but also for my late husband, Alan Rozansky, who some of you may recall as Buddy. Buddy was partners with Alan Kay for many years. During that time, they built the Hyatt Hotel and
office buildings above Bethesda Metro, and Buddy had an office in Three Bethesda Metro Center, so you see I feel a deep personal connection to that space. Buddy and I used to talk about how there were very few places in Bethesda where a person could enjoy a park setting and breathe fresh air, while interacting with others.

We wanted a place that people of all ages could enjoy, families, children, and even those of us in our wiser years. Brookfield's plan gives us that space. I know there's another proposal to just turn the cement Plaza into a lawn, but it will still remain a little-used vacant space. Brookfield's plan would make the central park much more inviting, with connected promenades that can have shops and cafes to browse in.
I'm particularly excited about their ability to program the park through their company, Arts Brookfield. We have a perfect example right here in Montgomery County of how successful the central park can be. Rockville

Town Square is the same size as the park
Brookfield is proposing, and that's a very popular destination. People love to go there because it's more than just an expansive lawn. The town square is surrounded by shops and cafes, which make the space much more interesting, and the City of Rockville does a great job hosting all kinds of events there that folks love to attend.

> Brookfield can give us the same experience at Metro Center. I'd also like to mention the Metro bus space at the Bethesda station. That area needs serious improvement. As it is now, people who ride the bus or take Metro to Bethesda have a most unpleasant station awaiting them. Brookfield's plans include making improvements to the bus space. That is very important. Something needs to be done.

I recently received mail from Clark
saying that Brookfield's building will be 290 feet tall, but Brookfield's plans are for a building significantly lower, about 200 feet, the
same size as Clark's building, and they are creating a half-acre park. Please vote in favor of Brookfield's plans for Bethesda Metro Center. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. ROZANSKY: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Are we on Mr.
Bogdonoff?
MR. BOGDONOFF: Yes. I'm Philip Bogdonoff. My bottom line is that I want to applaud and very, very strongly support the establishment of the high-performance area. Why? Global warming.

It's been in the news recently with the Pope's encyclical that things are worse than the media generally has been reporting. Bethesda and Montgomery County need to reduce their CO2 footprint. We've got numerous regulations on the books in the county, and to my knowledge, none have been met or shown any sign of being met.

Building construction is a significant component of our CO2 footprint, and it's where
the rubber meets the road, in terms of establishing standards that demand higher performance. The high-performance area is a necessary step. It's not sufficient. We need to move towards buildings that actually mimic what nature does. Buildings are now being designed to do that, building with green roofs and green walls and rainwater catchment systems, etc., but we can exceed, and even create buildings that may heal the environment by mimicking what nature does. So the high-performance area is a way for us to establish a standard to let us move in that direction. As was noted, our friends in D.C. are doing this better than we are and showing that it's beneficial.

About global warming, I recently heard a talk by Dr. Thomas Goreau. He's one of the original authors of the Kyoto Protocol. He pointed out that the two-degree limit that's based on the 350 parts per million has been misinterpreted by the media. It's an incomplete story. The question was asked, "What do we need
to do to keep our temperature increase below two degrees by the end of the century?"

That last phrase usually gets eliminated. The 350 target is what came out of the models to say that's what we have to do, at least, but at 350, we continue increasing by two degrees every 75 to 100 years. At four degrees C, the scientists think we'd have destroyed our ecology and our agriculture sufficiently that civilization cannot persist. At 400 degrees -and we crossed 400 degrees in February -- 400 parts per million, excuse me -- the equilibrium temperature based on the fossil record is 17 degrees $C$, that's about 62 degrees Fahrenheit above where we are. We have not shown, globally, any ability to limit our emissions. We need a standard like the high performance to start getting us moving in that direction. Otherwise, we're literally cooking ourselves off the planet. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Vise.
MS. VISE: Hi, I'm Mr. Vise.

CHAIR ANDERSON: You're Ms. Vise?
MS. VISE: Yes, Laura Vise. This is Page 73, relating to Bethesda -- the public park across from Bethesda Elementary School. My comments on the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan focus on the proposed expansion of a public park across from Bethesda Elementary School. Our home at 5016 Moreland Lane is adjacent to the park and across from the school. We support a public park. We also have key concerns about how it is done. When the park was first created in a residential neighborhood, it was agreed that the park would close at dusk, and there would be no lights at night. To maintain the residential character of the neighborhood, it is essential that the expanded park have no lights and close at dusk. Night lighting would adversely impact us and all adjacent homeowners. It must be avoided. The proposed park and adjoining playground are used by elementary school students, where safety is critical.

Adding benches or picnic tables or
other permanent seating in the park, right beside the school's playground, would attract adults and loiterers during school hours, posing a threat to the safety of the children and creating a dangerous situation. This is why no permanent seating was included when the park was first created. To protect the children, having no permanent seating in the park is essential.

The expansion and vision is possibly tearing down a house owned by the county, on Edgemoor Lane, to increase access to the park. Greater access to the park creates a hazard for the safety of children because it would attract loiterers and others during school hours. This is unsafe. Adding park access in this way would also disturb the residential character of the neighborhood. There are homes on both sides of the county-owned house. Creating new access by tearing down this house would slice the neighborhood in half, disrupting its residential character by interfering directly with adjacent homeowners. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms.
Ingram.
MS. INGRAM: Yes. My name is Deborah Ingram. I'm a 28-year resident, and I'm here to talk about the tall buildings that are for the corridor from Bradley all the way up to East West Highway. A number of people tonight have said that they don't want another Rosslyn. They don't want more tall buildings in Bethesda. They're upset about the prospect of a tall building at Bethesda Metro Station.

I think they may have missed all the tall buildings that you're proposing to build between Bradley and East West Highway, along Wisconsin. The buildings along there, right across from the Hyatt, is proposed to be 290 feet, but that's not so bad because that's where all the tall buildings are, and no one's living right there. But as you go south, when you get to Waverly, from Waverly on down, you're abutting established residential neighborhoods and having a direct impact on people's homes, their quality
of life, etc. The buildings between Waverly and Willow are proposed to be 250 feet tall. That's 90 feet taller -- or 70 feet taller than the Chevy Chase Bank Building is currently -- pretty tall buildings.

> When you go on down to the building on the other side of the Farm Women's Market, it's proposed to be 200 feet, and then the building just on the other side of that is held at its current 90 feet. Going further south, you do keep the buildings at the low end of 90 feet which is, of course, much taller than their current one-story size, but 90 feet's a heck of a lot better than 250.

> I actually support putting the taller building at the Bethesda Metro Station because if you're trying to reach your goals of a certain number of housing units and a certain amount of additional commercial square footage, $I$ see that the density has to go somewhere, and that might be a nice place to put it because it at least keeps it out of the faces of people's homes.

They're not in shadow, etc. But I really think you need to consider reducing heights along Wisconsin Avenue when you're abutting residential neighborhoods. I'm also here to speak in favor of the proposal that you have seen for turning the parking lots behind the Farm Women's Market and the other businesses between Willow and Walsh into park land.

That, abutting the Elm Street Park which is currently there, would create a very large and open and useable park space. We've heard requests from many residents tonight asking for a park space that's more than just a pocket park, something that's substantial and useful and actually, you could run and play and picnic in. That park plan would provide all of that. So I urge you to consider reducing building heights and looking at some substantial park space. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. I think next -- we don't have Peter Keller, I think? Oh, okay. You can come on up to the next group.

We'll take Peter Keller. Is Janice Soreth here? I understand she's back. Okay, great, you can come up, too. Kirt Suomela and Stewart Schwartz. How about Tom Keady? Joseph Allen? Oh, there he is. Come on up. Okay, so we'll try Mr. Keller first.

MR. KELLER: Thank you very much. I'm Peter Keller. I'm just representing myself. I live in an apartment on 5,000 Battery in Madison Park. We're very close to the park. That's Battery Lane there. We see there's a proposal to put a road through from Newark Avenue all the way up to Battery Lane.

I speak in opposition to that. We've noticed, as the buildings have been put up around here, trees go away, bushes come in sometimes. There's some very nice trees in that area. There's no way you can put a road through there without taking down some very nice oak trees. Park spaces are sacred. They should be created, not destroyed.
I do appreciate -- it's been stated
that this is contingent upon having Oak Park expanded, such that there's no net reduction, but I understand if that's to happen, it would be across the road at Battery Lane. I just don't see that that really would have the same effect as the park as it now stands. If a road's put through, all the park west of the road would effectively be useless. The playground, where a road would come into Newark, would have to go away. I don't see how that could still be here. It's a very nice little playground. Then there's a basketball court and a tennis court there, but I think they would then be right next to a road, and I don't see how they would be still use that they are right now.

Initially, $I$ thought there'd be an additional problem, in that Newark would then become a thoroughfare leading up to Battery Lane, and that would be an additional problem, but I see now that the plan calls for Newark itself to become what I consider quite a nice development, and the road would actually not be appropriate as
a thoroughfare, so that seems to take away even more of the rationale for having a road at the other end. That's my rationale. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Kirt
Suomela.
MR. SUOMELA: So my name is Kirt
Suomela. I live in the east Bethesda
neighborhood and have for 20 years. Having reviewed the staff plan with some neighbors, we're seeking some clarity on a few points, which I'm going to mention. Regarding the housing units, the 1994 Downtown Plan, at Page 25, said that there was 5,200 housing units, and then at Pages 28 and 51, it said ultimate build-out of the plan was going to be another 2,700 units, bringing the total to 7,900.

I was expecting that the new plan, the 2015 plan, start at that. But the staff's December 2014 briefing, at Page 42, said build-out of the 1994 plan was going to be 11,400, which was comprised of 7,210 existing and 3,109 approved.

I thought I'd find clarity in the May 2015 draft, but on Page 25, it doesn't speak to what the total build-out of 1994 is, but rather speaks to the fact that the new plan, when built out, will have 8,355 more dwelling units, which it says is 46 percent above the current level, meaning the current level would be 18,163. I'm asking for clarity on where the 1994 plan ends and where the 2015 plan begins. Then that begs another question, which would be does any of this even matter? If the 1994 plan envisioned only a total of 7,900 dwelling units, and we're well above that, does the plan have any sort of constraint on future development? Will this same issue exist in another 20 years? That's one metric. The second metric is the non-auto-driver mode share, which is a ratio of total commuters, and those commuters that do not drive their own car on auto drivers.

The plan does mention that percentage goal is recommended to increase 50 percent, which is good, but the other thing the plan says is it
recommends that this metric apply both to commuters and residents. It needs clarity on how the residents are involved in that. But the bigger one was the Bethesda greenway, which the neighborhood was told was going to involve a bunch of parks.

On two places, Pages 125 and 126, it says on lots with existing single-family homes, the greenway only occurs if the entire block is redeveloped in the future, which to me is a caveat that it's not going to happen because I can't see the whole block being redeveloped.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay, thank you very much. Janice Soreth.

MS. SORETH: Good evening. My name is Janice Soreth, and I'm a homeowner and a resident of Nottingham Drive in Chevy Chase since 1995. I live just down the street from the Bethesda Fire Department property at Wisconsin and Bradley, and I've resided there with my family over the past 20 years and raised my children there.
It's a single-family residential
street with active multi-generational households. We like that, and we want to keep it that way, and we want to keep the fire station, but we strenuously object to the proposed re-zoning there. Through the Chevy Chase West Association, I learned, about a year ago, the news that the Bethesda Fire Department was looking to redevelop this site into a multi-story residential structure with an embedded, relocated fire station.

I understand the CCW Association has met informally with the FD representatives over the months to discuss other options. I feel strongly that Bethesda downtown should end at Bradley Boulevard. It seems natural. Underlying zoning for the fire station property should remain R-60, in line with the least dense adjacent zoning. This is consistent with a majority of the county's fire and rescue stations. The CR zoning, in contrast, has vast uses and would be inappropriate for this edge property. Nottingham Drive dead ends in a quiet
cul-de-sac, adjacent to Norwood Park. In this setting, there realistically is no capacity and no safe way to add more vehicular traffic, when there's but one way for residents driving to enter and to exit Nottingham.

The safety of our families on foot and for the pedestrians approaching Norwood Park would be compromised. The BFD proposal would exacerbate and already challenging traffic environment, and it would likely compromise their emergency response time, as well. The zoning should be left as it is for the safety and wellbeing of our families and neighbors and pedestrians, as well the ability of the BFD to do their job.

We heard earlier from a better writer, but I think her points bear repetition. The BFD hasn't convincingly demonstrated that the fire station needs to be updated, and that the only way to do so is by intense development that seems counter to public safety. The BFD's primary purpose is, in fact, public safety, not property
management. The county provides the fire and rescue services that BFD houses, so $I$ believe the county should take the lead in determining whether a new fire station is needed and how to configure it.

In sum, I strongly urge you to reject any change in zoning other than R-60 for the fire station property to endorse the notion that the public safety needs over the next decades demand that this be a stand-alone fire station, and I ask respectfully that you do not impose a CR zone at this location with its heights, densities and uses fundamentally incompatible with our residential, single-family neighborhood. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Glen.
MS. GLEN: Good evening. Thanks for your time. My name is Kelly Glen, and I'm here representing, tonight, the Coalition for Smarter Growth for regional organizations supporting smart growth throughout the Washington, D.C. region. We support the proposed Bethesda

Downtown Plan and commend its focus on adding more housing, jobs, and retail amenities to the thriving downtown. The plan addresses a number of lingering problems, such as poorly planned public spaces, wide, high-speed roadways that are unsafe for people walking and cycling, young and older. The plan fosters a more diverse and livable place.

We believe the plan is a bit cautious in some respects, but it is an important step forward for Bethesda and the county. In terms of increasing housing and commercial space, we support the proposed increase in building heights, which will not only increase the number of homes and jobs with Metro access, but create opportunities for land owners to contribute open space, streetscape improvements, and other community amenities.

With regards to fixing street designs and network connectivity, Bethesda today can be a hostile place for people who walk and bicycle, so we commend the plan's attention to redesigning
streets to be safer and to function better. We support reconfiguring the one-way paired streets to two way, which improves safety, retail performance, and a number of other things. We also support the creation of protected bicycle lanes to encourage more vulnerable and risk-averse cyclists. We also support the new street connections proposed in the plan and hope that more will be explored, as well. In terms of public and open spaces, we support the plan's intent to update the county's approach to public open space.

Public park spaces created in an earlier era were often isolated and hard to find. We support on-site creation of open space, where it results in strong public plazas and green spaces that are active community gathering places. In terms of elevating housing and affordable housing in the plan, we appreciate the plan's support for increased housing supply, the 15 percent NPD requirement of the optional method and preservation of existing market affordable
housing.
However, we think more should be done and suggest the housing section be included in the area-wide approach, which should examine the level of need, supply-side solutions, and innovative approaches to the preservation and creation of affordable housing. We support the 15 percent NPD requirement in the high-performance area, which covers much of the plan area. We also recommend that the Board consider a jobs-housing linkage fee for commercial development in downtown areas like that approved by Fairfax County for Tysons. Given that NPD requirements for high-end condominium projects often result in fees paid in lieu of on-site units, we ask that the Board ensure that fees are sufficiently high enough to produce off-site units within the plan area. Let's see if there's anything else I need to say quickly. In terms of the Metro core, improving the Metro core is critical, and allowing for greater height, 290 feet as
proposed, will make that possible. A better public plaza at the Metro station can be created as part of more intensive redevelopment at the area. With redevelopment, we will increase transit usage, but we must include the quality of the transit center and public spaces, as well. Thanks so much for this time.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. So we don't have Mr. Keady, but I see we have Mr. Allen.

MR. ALLEN: Thank you. My name is Joseph Allen, and I serve as the chair of the Washington Area Bicycle Association Action Committee for Montgomery County. On behalf of the over 10,000 WABA supporters in the county, $I$ encourage you to fully adopt the comprehensive bicycle network envisioned in the draft plan.

A low-stress, predictable environment for people riding bicycles, walking and driving is essential to a safe and efficient transportation environment in an urban area such as downtown Bethesda. Importantly, riding a
bicycle becomes a viable choice for large segments of the population for everyday activities when bikeways connect directly to the places people want to go.

Gone are the days that the majority of people riding bicycles are Lycra or spandex-suited weekend warriors. More often, people today want to bicycle to go to the restaurant, pick up a book at the library, drop off the kids at school, or even pick up a few items at the grocery store, which I know everybody says you can't do. Our efforts are towards making everyone, from my 7-year-old daughter to our retired neighbors, able to comfortably and safely get from Point $A$ to Point B. Downtown Bethesda is unique in having two high-quality bicycle trails. They have both Crescent Trail and Bethesda Trolley Trail connecting its vibrant destinations to the rest of the region. However, there are a lack of connections between these trails and downtown Bethesda.

Furthermore, NIH and Walter Reed Medical Center are home to huge numbers of employees and patients, and yet they lack high-quality bicycle connections. The proposed bicycle network can provide huge health benefits to those employees, and especially the patients, including recovering military members. Arlington Row and Woodmont Avenue serve as direct connections between these trails, and yet lack the safety features and connectivity.

The residents who live in the area have asked for years to improve the safety of these roads, which have narrow sidewalks that are immediately adjacent to the roadway. Schools, a library, parks and other areas throughout downtown Bethesda are isolated from nearby neighborhoods by the current street grid that emphasizes not people and human-scale development, but rather congestion at certain intersections. Studies have shown at most regions, only ten neighborhoods can produce the majority of congestion in an area. Changing the
traffic generated from these small number of places -- as I said, only ten neighborhoods -- by only 1 to 5 percent can improve the travel times for everyone by as much as 20 percent.

Offering high-quality choices for walking and bicycling can produce a shift that will benefit everyone. Changes to the road network to support high-quality bikeways can improve safety for all users, while ensuring those who need to drive can do so. Modern bicycle planning has supported the planning for the draft network, and it will mean that all users, including people driving, will benefit from this design.

The vision put forward in this master plan seeks to balance the urban nature of downtown Bethesda with human scale high-quality environment for those residing within and adjacent to it. The decision to implement a high-quality, low-stress bicycle network, as a part of this plan, will contribute highly to this effort. Its implementation will offer a choice
of new residents participating in bicycling as a low-risk, healthy and pragmatic way to connect them to a living, breathing, vibrant downtown Bethesda. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, if we could get Daniel Cohen, Steven Lebling, David Levine, David Brown and Alan Vasquez. Oh, we're going to take two of these folks. In that case, we could take Elizabeth Lebarron and Eric Hart because I want to put Ana Maria Mutter and Sandra Purohit together. Do we have Ms. Lebarron and Mr. Hart?

Oh, very good. Glad to see you. Mr. Hart's coming up, but we could start with Mr. Cohen whenever you're ready.

MR. COHEN: Good evening. My name is Daniel Cohen. Thank you for allowing me to present testimony on behalf of myself and all of my neighbors on Middleton Lane in east Bethesda, most of whom are here this evening. Middleton Lane is geographically a residential street of single-family homes near the Bethesda Metro.

Really, Middleton Lane is the only residential street of single-family homes, the entirety of which is within the Bethesda central business district. As a result, we on Middleton Lane are uniquely interested in the current draft of the Bethesda Sector Plan and future developments the plan contemplates in the CBD.

We had previously identified several important issues with prior versions of the plan, and I can tell you this evening that the current draft plan you are considering has addressed each of those issues to our satisfaction. Our full statement for the record describes each of these issues. That said, there are several critical issues and a few technical items or simple errors in the current draft plan that we would like to bring to your attention.

The first of these issues critical to us is the building heights. We heard earlier about building heights going south from the Bethesda metro. We would like to talk about building heights going north. In the plan
approved in 1994, the residents of Middleton Lane in east Bethesda worked with the county to have a simple principle drive building heights. That principle was that the highest buildings were to be at the Metro station, moving north along Wisconsin, east along East West Highway, and northeast toward the residential area, the high limits for buildings are supposed to decrease progressively. However, the current draft plan does not maintain this principle.

Instead, the current draft plan has virtually no coherency in building heights. The height of the Metro Center would increase to 290 feet, and then as detailed in our statement for the record, the heights would go up and down on both the east and west sides of Wisconsin Avenue

Beyond being a hodgepodge of heights and ultimately unsightly, the variance of heights on the east side of Wisconsin, from Chevy Chase Acura to Chestnut Street, seems virtually impossible to develop in any rational way, given
the size of the lots at issue and the variance in heights on those lots. We believe these heights aren't acceptable, especially from the Acura dealership to Chase Avenue. We believe the building heights on the east side of Wisconsin Avenue should not be any higher than 110 feet from Avondale Street to Chestnut Street. The height on the west side of Wisconsin Avenue should not go up and down. The highest it should be moving north from the Metro Center is 175 feet, progressively decreasing to 145 , and then 120, consistent with the vision and the principle codified in the existing master plan.

Next, it's critically important that Middleton Lane remain closed to Wisconsin Avenue, as it has for the past 30 plus years. The narrative demonstrates a clear intention that this be the case, but not all the figures show that closure. We ask those figures be corrected. Finally, there is an error in one of the figures that seems to show Middleton Lane as a business road.

This appears to be a mistake, since maps and other figures and the accompanying narrative describe Middleton as a residential street. We ask that you revise the figure that's named in our statement for the record to show Middleton as a secondary residential street.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Steven Lebling. No Steven Lebling. How about David Levine? No. David Brown? I know we have David Brown.

MR. BROWN: Good evening, David Brown from Knopf and Brown. Back in the day, I used to read my sons a book that was a favorite of mine when I was a little boy, a Caldecott Award-winning book from the '40s called The Little House, by Virginia Lee Burton.

It was a story about a house that started out in the country, but the city literally grew up around it, to the point where it was obvious from the pictures in the story that it was no longer compatible with its surroundings. I don't think Ms. Burton used the
word compatibility, but $I$ got my planning and zoning training early. The reason $I$ mention that book is because $I$ am here today representing what amounts to the little house in the block right next to us here, west of us, between Edgemoor and Moreland, facing Arlington.

## I represent Peter Manian at 7505

Arlington, who owns the last remaining house R-60 zoned on that block that has been in continuous use as a single-family residence since the Manian family bought it in 1937, over 75 years ago. The Manians recognize that things can't continue the same, and that they endorse the recommendation in the plan for $C R$ rezoning for the property.

Although my client concurs with the testimony given earlier this afternoon that the appropriate CR zoning for that property is something that would permit an economically feasible mid-rise building.

I think also, as I've outlined in my written testimony, that also makes good sense not only from an economic perspective, but also from
a planning perspective, something like a
five-story building that is set back to seven stories further back from the street is still going to be significantly lower than the buildings further east on that block just west of here.

We support the staff recommendation.
We also support the testimony from this afternoon suggesting that the appropriate zoning would be something like a 4.0 FAR and a height of 75 feet. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.
Vasquez?
MR. VASQUEZ: Yes. Hi, my name is
Alan Vasquez. I'm here on behalf of the Sussex House. I'm the president of Sussex House, it's a 54 -unit condo association with over 100 residents. It's located on Battery Lane and adjacent to Battery Park. I want to thank the planning committee for its efforts in constructing a downtown plan for Bethesda's future. However, I want to express the Sussex

House's opposition to the proposed street that would run through Battery Park, connect Battery Lane to Norfolk Avenue.

We believe that not only would this proposed idea be a detriment to the Sussex House, but also to all residents of the Battery Park area, and strike against the goals of the Downtown Plan, as it intends to address. First, we need to discuss the uniqueness of Battery Park.

As noted in the preamble of the Downtown Plan, as we heard repeatedly tonight, one of the challenges that Bethesda faces is a lack of urban parks and green spaces. Currently, according to the Downtown Plan, there are only eight parks in Bethesda, representing a little over an acre of open space. Additionally, there are only six play areas. Therefore, the Sussex House argues that Battery Park is really the only park not associated with a school that combines both the open space and play areas for children and adults within walking distance of the Metros.

It also represents one of the few restful oases in Bethesda.

Second, we need to review some objectives of the Downtown Plan. In particular, the plan professes improving Bethesda's walkability and pedestrian corridors, putting more park and open spaces and environmental sustainability, including increasing our tree canopy. Thus, at first blush, the vision of the Downtown Plan should go hand in hand with improving the park. However, instead of going hand in hand, the plan to have a connector road is not only seen as a detriment to the community, but counterintuitive to the goals of the Downtown Plan.

While the plan states that it would not result in any net loss of park space, it would result in a functional loss. Despite statements otherwise, because of the space needed for a road, the proposed road would run right through the middle of an existing children's playground, parallel a heavily used basketball
court, and eliminate a current bike path/running path. Additionally, with cars traveling through Battery Park, not only would the street decrease the high quality of pedestrian use and bike use to NIH, it would also create a decrease in safety, as now cars would travel along an area where children and adults play.

Further, the proposed street would require the removal of trees, resulting in a decrease of the existing canopy. Moreover, it would eliminate -- lastly, I would suggest that this street would have little value to the residents of Battery Lane. In particular, fewer residents would remove their car from an existing parking spot to drive down Norfolk, just to look for parking in one of the garages in downtown Bethesda.

This road would provide zero return for residents of Battery Lane. Overall, I would suggest that the Planning Board eliminate the connector road from its plan. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Do we
have -- oh, you're Elizabeth Lebarron. MS. LEBARRON: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, very good.
MS. LEBARRON: First, I'd like to
thank the Commission for giving us this opportunity to present opinions and testimony on behalf of residents. I am a resident 25 years of Bethesda. I live at the Whitehall Condominium. If you go to Page 125, you'll see that our complex is directly across the street, where the Norfolk Road extension is proposed to go.

I'm here on my own behalf, as a 25-year resident, and also the parent of a teen, who has grown up using the park, and a park user myself. I oppose the proposed road along with about 12 of my fellow residents, who have patiently sat through this hearing to hear me speak and make their voices known, as well. I'm not formally on the board of directors there. I'm simply speaking on my own behalf. I oppose the proposed road through the park for five reasons. Three of them address
quality of life, and two address the need for the road. First, as my colleague from the Sussex House has pointed out, the loss of mature trees are a great concern to us. Some of these trees are 40 feet tall and, as he pointed out, provide ample shade canopy for most of the park. I'm also very concerned about the negative environmental impact from additional carbon monoxide, oil runoff from cars, and lack of sufficient tree root systems to collect rainwater, as other people have addressed the global climate changes.

Third, I am very concerned about the loss of a green, quiet oasis. We did not collude before we came to this meeting, but that was the word that came to my mind, that there is a green space where people can rest, read, picnic, play with their children. It is truly a multi-generational use park. People also bring their dogs there.

The surface of the tennis court has become so poor that it can't be used for tennis
anymore, so dog owners come there, and parents bring their children on tricycles to watch them and play with dogs, etc. It is truly a well-used park. All hours of the day you will find people there of all ages. People walk from NIH to go to lunch in Bethesda, and they stroll through. They don't hurry through. They're not dodging traffic. The second pair of points, millennials are environmentally sensitive and want to walk or bike, rather than drive their cars. Fifth, Battery Lane is already a major thoroughfare for police and rescue services, and is also shared with busses, bicyclists and pedestrians, including young children walking to their school busses. I have witnessed people routinely flying past stopped school busses with a stop sign out, and adding a road would add to that confusion. Thank you again for your time. CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Hart. MR. HART: Yes, good evening. My name is Eric Hart. Our family has been residents in Bethesda since 1956. I congratulate the Planning
staff on all of their hard work in preparing the draft plan that is before us.

We have watched, as a family, Bethesda
grow as an urban center, and I appreciate the staff's attention to connectivity, including pedestrian, bicycle, automobile and mass transit. From an economic standpoint, $I$ feel that for a growing urban center to be successful and vibrant that additional residential and office opportunities are essential to support the local businesses, restaurants, amenities and services that Bethesda provides us. All of these improve and build on the sense of community that our family has grown to love in the area and for all of Bethesda residents to enjoy. For these reasons, we strongly support the draft of the Bethesda Downtown Plan. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, we now have, I think, our last panel. If I could get Jeb Donohoe, Ana Maria Mutter, Sandra Purohit, Patricia Haas and Howard Sokolove.

I don't have you, but come on up.

SPEAKER: One of the guys you called is here now.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Come on up. If I called your name, come up. If I didn't call your name, but you think you're signed up, please come here to the front row because we're just about at the final call here.

SPEAKER: I was called earlier, I think.

SPEAKER: You were.
SPEAKER: You were.
CHAIR ANDERSON: Come on up. I think we'll just go right to left. Ma'am?

MS. PUROHIT: Good evening. My name is Sandra Purohit, and I live in Bethesda. I would like to present a petition on behalf of 470 members of the Bethesda community, all of whom vehemently oppose the proposal to run a road through Battery Park, one of the last green spaces left in Bethesda proper.

This issue matters to people in this community, and I urge you to read their comments,
including how a road will destroy the nature of the park, how it will create dangerous conditions for children and the elderly, how we should be adding green space, not fragmenting what little we have with roads. If you're looking for millennials, they're in Battery Park, and they don't want a road.

$$
\text { Over } 100 \text { of them signed our petition, }
$$ and that's just based on those that added age. I understand that the plan calls for no net loss in the acreage of the park, but if acreage was all that mattered, then the grassy median that runs for hundreds of miles along I-95 would be one of the most popular parks on the East Coast. The reality is that roads seriously degrade the value of park space. A road through Battery Park means the opposite of tranquility, community and stress reduction that the plan describes as park goals. In short, we don't just need park acres, we need quality park acres. So I join my neighbors and strongly urge you to eliminate any proposal to ruin Battery Park by putting a road through it.

In regards to the rest of the plan, I'd like to stress that Bethesda is a progressive community, a community that believes in science and climate change. The people I met during this petition want to live in a place that reflects their progressive views, and this plan doesn't do that yet. Given the huge influx of people expected over the next 20 years, the plan will need much stronger mandates just to maintain the "poor to fair water quality," and the already high carbon footprint of the city.

In order to actually improve these conditions, the Board really need to push the envelope. While I strongly support the ecology and high-performance area goals of the plan, including efforts to address impervious services, better stormwater management through increased vegetation, and more energy and water-efficient buildings, I'm disheartened by the weakness of the language. Why are we asking for just minor improvements of the county mandates for LEED and stormwater treatment when we should be setting a
high bar that actually addresses our climate and water concerns? High-performance areas are a good start, but they just slightly exceed existing and proposed energy standards to levels that are already being achieved throughout the Washington region.

That's treading water, not making
progress. In closing, I'd like to reiterate that there should be no road through Battery Park, that there should be substantially stronger climate, water and and energy-efficient mandates in the plan, so that over the next 20 years, Bethesda will actually reflect the values of the people who live, work, and recreate here. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. You're up whenever you're ready.

MR. DONOHOE: Hi, my name is Jad Donohoe. I'm with the Donohoe Companies and come before you to speak about 7400 Wisconsin, also known as the former Bethesda Post Office, or formally known as Page 95, Circle 4. I'm here to
talk to you about maximum densities in the plan and suggestions for including the priority sending site concept. First, I wanted to mention, though, the one-way streets. We're excited by the Bethesda plan's emphasis on turning existing one-way streets around the core in Bethesda into two-way streets.

We think this is a good thing for building owners. We think it's a good thing for merchants, for pedestrians, and we think it's also going to be a good thing for drivers, particularly visitors, including some of the visitors here tonight, I'm sure, who had got caught on that long one-way, counter-clockwise circle.

Secondly, I wanted to mention maximum densities. The property, 7400 Wisconsin, sits directly atop the Metro. In fact, the Metro elevator is on our property, but we haven't received any increase in density in this plan, remaining at 8.0 FAR. The 8.0 limit in the CR zone is perhaps not appropriate for these few
blocks that are the very core of the core of Bethesda. Nothing sacred about the old 8.0 that was enshrined in the old CBD 3 zone. Lastly, I wanted to mention the priority sending site concept. Our property's designated as priority sending site. As one of the few developers who has, in the past, actually utilized this transferring density concept in the Woodmont Triangle area, we're more familiar with this than most.

It's our informed opinion that the incentives that are currently identified for the priority sending sites are insufficient to meet the goals that you've identified. There are ways to improve this program. One is a multiplier type of effect, where once that density is sent off, one square foot becomes three square foot on the receiving site, but only if it is actually sent off of the site.

Another option, or a further refinement could be that anyone who uses density from these priority sending sites would be
relieved of their requirement to purchase the BLTs, thereby preserving the down county sites, instead of an up county. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. MUTTER: Good evening. First of all, I have to say I am so glad to be in America, where everybody can be heard.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Actually, first of all, you have to tell us your name.

MS. MUTTER: My name, okay. Oh, what's my name? Okay, my name is Ana Maria Mutter, and I'm a resident of Bethesda for more than 40 years. I am a resident manager of Battery Gardens, but I am not representing Battery Gardens. I am as a concerned citizen. I want to talk about the park, to put a road through the park. That is not a good idea. I have seen the traffic in Battery Lane is tremendous.

I have a good friend who got killed.
He was a World War veteran, and he got killed
right down on Battery Lane. Three months ago, one of my tenants who says you have to stop. He got struck, and he got very hurt. What I'm saying is this. To have a road going through the park is not good. How the lady has say, it's a park which being utilized. Many people go over there because they enjoy it, and also it's good for the environment. I took some notes, but I don't need to say more than what I'm saying. Do not build the road through the park. I appreciate everybody's attention. I've been watching everybody, and everybody's pretty attentive what is being said. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MR. KEADY: Thank you. My name is Tom
Keady. I'm the president of the Bainbridge
Companies. Our offices are located here in downtown Bethesda, actually across the street.

Our company developed and owns Bainbridge
Bethesda, which was a 17-story mixed-use
apartment community in the Woodmont Triangle on

St. Elmo Avenue, which we completed last year.
Because of our belief in downtown
Bethesda, we purchased, in 2012, the former Exxon property at Wisconsin Ave. and Montgomery Lane, actually directly across the street from Mr. Donohoe's post office property. On that property, we previously obtained a site plan approval under the previous CBD 2 zoning. During that process, the Planning staff, Planning Board, and others involved in the county believed that if we proceeded with that project under the prior site plan approval, we would be under-utilizing such a prominent and critical location, directly above the Metro and directly adjacent to the new Purple Line entrance, sitting between both of those Metro entrances.

We were encouraged to hold off on our construction and wait for the new Sector Plan to evolve, rather than under-utilizing the property at its current -- at the CBD 2 FAR. We're disappointed in the designation of FAR 6 under this proposed plan. The current site plan
approval we have actually is just over a 6.0 FAR, with the NPDU bonus, so the new zoning reflected in the plan actually does not increase our density.

It does give us additional height, but without additional density, we can't utilize the additional height. The proposed method of purchasing additional density to capitalize on that location just won't work. It's not going to work for us. It's not going to work for other properties. We don't really have an incentive to do anything other than develop our property under the previous approval we already have the way the plan is laid out now. In addition, the suggested right of way dedication through the BRT would increase the dedication we already had to make by an additional 14 feet, on a property that's only 18,000 square feet currently. Again, it would further disincentivize us to develop under the new plan.

A property at this location, directly above Metro, directly adjacent to the new
proposed Purple Line and sitting there on Wisconsin Ave. at such a prominent location deserves, actually, the same 8.0 FAR and 290 feet height that Mr. Donohoe has on the post office property directly to the north of us, as well as the properties north on that side of Wisconsin. We don't see any reason why this property doesn't have the 290 feet and 8.0 FAR recommended for other properties that are also above the Metro like ours. We delayed our project for two years hoping that we would get that kind of density, and we would encourage the Board. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. HAAS: My name is Pat Haas. I
have been a Bethesda resident for 36 years. I live in the Wingate Community, just inside the Beltway. I'm here to express my urgent support for preserving the Plaza at Bethesda Metro Center as a community space. I don't want this to be permanently lost, and I don't want a 200 or a 290-foot high-rise to be built in this space that
would be much more attractive as green space, and much more useful.

With all the development that is happening in downtown Bethesda, I don't want the need to preserve and create spaces for the community to be overlooked. The Plaza was previously designated as community space, and it should remain community space going forward. Instead of getting rid of the Plaza, we should improve it into a more successful and inviting place.

We need to have places for festivals, instead of closing streets. We need to relax. We need to have an attractive Bethesda center. All of this is, very frankly, quite overdue. I understand the process of creating the guidelines for Bethesda's future we must balance many concerns, but one of the most effective and successful places for us to enjoy could be a gorgeous Bethesda Metro Center, a green space. We really need this. I think New York has more green space than Bethesda, and it's far more
attractive than what we have. Cities around the world have downtown parks to fill this need, and they are beloved by human beings, by their communities. Bethesda needs this kind of space, and unless you preserve the Metro Plaza as community space, the next generations will never be able to enjoy it. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. Okay, if we could have anybody who has signed up, but has not yet been called, for whatever reason, please speak now, or forever hold your peace.

No, I'm sorry. We can't take additional speakers.

MS. PUROHIT: I know a lot of people that had signed our petition also wanted to speak, but had a really difficult time signing --

CHAIR ANDERSON: We've heard from 120 people since 2 o'clock. There's only so much we can do.

MS. WRIGHT: We also wanted to mention that we didn't mention this evening, but we mentioned this morning is the record will be held
open for an additional week. If anyone has written testimony, they can submit that within the next week.

SPEAKER: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSON: And you can send an email. It doesn't have to be formal. There's no rules about that. Just write it down, email it, whatever's easiest.

SPEAKER: Okay, thank you.
MS. WARD: I'll keep this brief, since we are over time. I'm testifying as an individual, a former resident of Bethesda. When I was a post-doctoral fellow at NIH, when it came time to buy a house, I couldn't afford to live here, so I moved to north Bethesda, which is now just south of White Flint District. I'm here in support of the bikeways network in the plan. I was very involved in the testimony about bikeways in the White Flint plan, and I was actually quite disappointed in what was actually put into the plan.

They're now trying to do a little bit
more than is there, so I was really happy to see what you have in the plan, in terms of connectivity. That's really key to making it work. As Joe Allen spoke earlier for WABA Montgomery County Action Group, which I'm also a member of, we have these wonderful trails, the Bethesda Trolley Trail, which eventually will be a wonderful trail, and the Capital Crescent Trail, and we need to connect them.
I just want to share my personal story of about a year and a half ago, when I went to a meeting on the NIH campus. I actually don't work on the main campus, but $I$ had a meeting down there, as I do occasionally. It was a beautiful December day. I decided to ride my bicycle. I live very close to Bethesda Trolley Trail. It's quite easy to get to the NIH campus. I had my meeting. Then I wanted to do a little Christmas shopping in downtown Bethesda at 10,000 Villages, one of my favorite stores. So I got on my bicycle, I got on the Trolley Trail, and then I looked at my Google Maps. I have an old version
that had bike lanes, and there was no way to really get there, so 1 found myself on Old Georgetown Road, then I found myself on Arlington Road, which was very scary at noontime on a busy December afternoon.

So I did not ride on the road. I rode on the sidewalk. As I learned later, by taking a WABA bicycling class, that wasn't a great idea because I went -- I stopped at an intersection. There were cars trying to get on to Arlington Road. A car was stopped at a stop sign. I went ahead in a crosswalk and the car pulled out and hit me. I had a fracture of my femur. I was in a cast for six -- a brace for six weeks, all through the Christmas holidays. I never did finish that shopping. Again, it just illustrates to me the importance of connectivity and making that work. I'd just like to spend the last ten seconds talking about implementation. It's great to have those bikeways in the plan, but you need to implement them as early as possible when you are doing this development to
get people used to using bicycling as a safe and a low-stress way of getting around. Thank you.

SPEAKER: Ma'am, can we get your name for the record, please?

MS. WARD: I'm sorry. It's Mary Ward, and $I$ am on the list on the website.

CHAIR ANDERSON: Who is well known to our Area 2 group (Simultaneous speaking). Before everybody leaves, I wanted to thank -- first of all, I want to thank everyone for coming. I want to say I really appreciate your cooperation on the three minutes. I'm sorry I had to be so rigid about cutting people off. I hope you understand that's what's gotten us to be able to get through 120 people in the time that we've had available.

We really do value your input. I know that's a very tight amount of time, so we encourage you very much to take advantage of the opportunity to send us an email or communicate with us in writing. I also want to tell you that everyone is free to come to the work sessions.

We will not have a formal signup process for speaking at the work sessions, but generally, if there's a specific topic that you want to talk about at one of the work sessions, which will be on our website at least a week or two weeks in advance, so you can see what the topic will be, you can come and we have a little bit more freedom to give you time to address some issues in more detail.

A lot of property owners and their lawyers take advantage of that because they know it's available, so I just want to let everybody know about it because you might not be aware that we generally have that flexibility. The other thing I want to say is I mentioned earlier that this is not the usual course of business. We usually are confined to Silver Spring, and we have to drag everybody to Silver Spring.

We were able to do that tonight only
because all these staff people that you see around here has to bring all this equipment here. They had to test it. They're here until 10:00 at
night (Applause) be here until midnight breaking it all down, packing it up, and taking it back, so appreciate that round of applause for them. Thank you guys for staying so late and all the work you did to make this happen.

You can stream it pretty much right away by going to our website and you can replay it because you enjoyed it so much the first time.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you all for coming.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 9:55 p.m.)
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