An Amendment to the Aspen Hill Master Plan, 1994, as amended; being also an amendment to the General Plan (On Wedges and Corridors) for the Physical Development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery and Prince George’s Counties.
ABSTRACT
An area master plan, after approval by the District Council and adoption by The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, constitutes an amendment to The General Plan (On Wedges and Corridors) for Montgomery County. Each area master plan reflects a vision of future development that responds to the unique character of the local community within the context of a County-wide perspective. Area master plans are intended to convey land use policy for defined geographic areas and should be interpreted together with relevant County-wide functional master plans.

This Minor Master Plan Amendment contains text and supporting maps for a minor amendment to the 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan. This Plan makes recommendations for land use, zoning, design, transportation, and environment. The minor amendment process provides an opportunity to reassess the Subject area and analyze alternative land use redevelopment, design, and zoning opportunities. The review considers existing development and reevaluates the area’s potential within the context of a changing market in the region, the intent and rationale of the 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan, community input, and impacts to the surrounding land uses and transportation network.

Master and sector plans convey land use policy for defined geographic areas and should be interpreted together with relevant County-wide functional plans and County laws and regulations. Plan recommendations provide comprehensive guidelines for the use of public and private land and should be referred to by public officials and private individuals when making land use decisions. Public and private land use decisions that promote plan goals are essential to fulfilling a plan’s vision.

Master and sector plans look ahead 20 years from the date of adoption, although they are intended to be revised every 10 to 15 years. Moreover, circumstances when a plan is adopted will change and the specifics of a plan may become less relevant over time. Plans do not specify all development possibilities. Their sketches are for illustrative purposes only, intended to convey a sense of desirable future character rather than a recommendation for a particular design.

Master plans do not specify all development possibilities for a particular property or area. In order to understand the full range of development options, the reader should be aware of additional land uses and development potential available through permitted conditional uses; variances; transferrable development rights (TDRs); Moderately Priced Dwelling Units (MPDUs); rezoning by local map amendments; public projects and the mandatory referral process; and municipal annexations.
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THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission is a bi-county agency created by the General Assembly of Maryland in 1927. The Commission’s geographic authority extends to the great majority of Montgomery and Prince George’s Counties; the Maryland-Washington Regional District (M-NCPPC planning jurisdiction) comprises 1,001 square miles, while the Metropolitan District (parks) comprises 919 square miles, in the two counties.

The Commission is charged with preparing, adopting, and amending or extending The General Plan (On Wedges and Corridors) for the Physical Development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery and Prince George’s Counties.

The Commission operates in each county through Planning Boards appointed by the county government. The Boards are responsible for all local plans, zoning amendments, subdivision regulations, and administration of parks.
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INTRODUCTION

Purpose

The Aspen Hill Minor Master Plan Amendment addresses approximately 14 acres of land located west of the intersection of Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road, the majority of which was recommended for office zoning in the 1994 *Aspen Hill Master Plan*. (Map 1) Of the 14 total acres included in the Minor Master Plan Amendment, the vacant office building (Vitro/BAE) at 4115 Aspen Hill Road and its associated parking, encompass approximately 10 acres.

As part of the Minor Amendment process, additional land uses, zoning, design, and redevelopment opportunities have been evaluated for the Subject area. The 1994 *Aspen Hill Master Plan* limits over half of the Minor Amendment area to office uses and associated parking. When the Master Plan was approved in 1994, the Vitro/BAE office building at 4115 Aspen Hill Road was still occupied by federal government contractors. The building had been constructed specifically for the Vitro Corporation, a defense contractor, in the 1960s and remained occupied until 2010 when BAE Systems
relocated to Rockville, MD. Since 2010, the approximately 265,000 square foot office building and surrounding parking have remained vacant and underutilized. In addition to the empty and deteriorating office property, the Minor Amendment area faces challenges to vehicular congestion at surrounding intersections; efficient vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation; and pedestrian safety.

Vision

The Aspen Hill Minor Master Plan Amendment area is adjacent to greater Aspen Hill’s largest suburban shopping areas, with access via two major highways (MD 185 and MD 97), and a potential future Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station and line along Georgia Avenue. Although mature, the commercial area remains under-developed, but with potential to yield a greater mix of uses over time, for the benefit of surrounding communities. As redevelopment occurs, the area will apply strong urban design principles, as well as sustainable and low impact development to improve neighborhood connectivity, create new community open space and, by promoting distinctive architecture, define a stronger local identity. Context sensitive transitions in the scale of new development will be provided to the adjacent single-family residential neighborhoods. Safe, attractive, and well-connected pedestrian and bicycle linkages to and between neighborhoods, services, and amenities will strengthen the walkability and desirability of the area.

Map 2: Existing Land Use
Outreach

Outreach efforts included a combination of community meetings, small group and individual meetings, and online and social media platforms to engage the public in the development of the Staff Draft recommendations. Planners met with individual property owners, business owners, and community residents throughout the process. Spanish translation services, both oral and written, were available if needed.

A project website (www.montgomeryplanning.org/community/aspenhill) was created with applicable meeting presentations and materials, and an opportunity to leave comments. The Planning Department’s main webpage was used to announce community meetings and interested parties were notified via e-mail. The Plan was also covered by local newspapers, including an editorial in the Washington Post.

A project kick-off community meeting was held on December 3, 2013, at the Aspen Hill Community Library to introduce the project and timeline to the community. Aspen Hill area citizen and homeowner associations within two miles of the Minor Amendment site were contacted via email. Interested parties that had contacted the Planning Department about the Project in 2013 prior to its initiation were also notified and added to the e-mail distribution list.

Approximately 35 people attended the initial meeting. Two additional community meetings were held on April 1, 2014 and May 13, 2014 with approximately 50 and 70 participants respectively. Each of the community meetings was held at the Aspen Hill Public Library, less than a half mile to the west of the Minor Amendment area.

In addition to the community meetings, Staff attended the Aspen Hill Civic Association meeting on April 9, 2014 and the Aspen Hill Homeowners meeting on April 24, 2014 to respond to resident questions and concerns and to promote the final community meeting in May. Both meetings were held at the Aspen Hill Public Library.

A robust dialogue was had at each of the community meetings and included a wide range of input from different stakeholder groups, specifically:

- The vacant Vitro/BAE office building is a blight on the area and needs to be redeveloped;
- The land use and zoning should be changed to allow additional retail in the area;
- There is a need for more reasonably priced commercial goods and grocery in the area;
- The area is challenging for pedestrians;
- Traffic in the area, particularly along Aspen Hill Road, is already excessive and large-scale retail would only make it worse;
- This area should not be rezoned ahead of the large area, 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan update;
- Instead of land use and zoning that allows large-scale commercial uses, could a smaller-scale commercial land use and zoning classification be considered for the amendment properties at the corners and north side of Aspen Hill Road?
Planning Framework

The Minor Amendment area is located within the approximately 13.2-square mile 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan boundary (Map 3, previous page), which is situated immediately to the east of the City of Rockville, to the north of the urbanized Wheaton-Glenmont communities, and to the south of Olney. Aspen Hill is considered a Suburban Community as defined by the 1993 General Plan Refinement. The 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan recommended a change in land uses and zoning, accompanied by design guidelines for much of the Minor Amendment area, located to the west of the Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue intersection.

In 2008, the Planning Board approved a Georgia Avenue Study/Urban Design Framework, which was intended to inform future master plan work for communities along the Georgia Avenue Corridor, including Aspen Hill. This study envisioned an evolution of the commercial node in Aspen Hill from an auto-oriented group of strip shopping centers to a more walkable community with a grid system of streets, a mix of uses, and enhanced pedestrian amenities.

In November 2013, the County Council approved the Countywide Transit Corridors Functional Master Plan, which recommends additions to the County’s transportation network. The Plan recommends additional transit facilities along 11 corridors, one of which is North Georgia Avenue, to facilitate Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) service that will move more people to and from jobs, homes, shopping, and entertainment areas in urbanizing parts of the County. The Countywide Transit Corridors Functional Master Plan proposes a future BRT station location at the MD 97 and MD 185 intersection, less than a half-mile from the Minor Amendment area.

In addition to this Amendment to the Master Plan, Montgomery County is concurrently in the final stages of completing an extensive process to update the County Zoning maps (zoning conversion) to reflect the Zoning Ordinance text rewrite that was adopted in March 2014. The new zoning classifications adopted by the rewrite, are scheduled for County Council approval in October 2014. As such, this Minor Amendment evaluates both the existing zoning to-date (July 2014) and the anticipated zoning conversion (October 2014). (see Map 7: Zoning Conversion)

Demographics

Research and Special Projects Staff established a baseline profile of the greater Aspen Hill Neighborhood to provide background and context for the Plan’s recommendations. The 2007-2011 American Community Survey 5-year estimate from the United States Census Bureau was utilized for 13 census tracts that match most closely to the greater Aspen Hill boundary. (Map 4 below)
Table 1 below provides a summary of the neighborhood demographic profile as compared to Montgomery County. A full demographic profile for the greater Aspen Hill Neighborhood can be found in Appendix A.

The greater Aspen Hill Neighborhood has a total population of approximately 60,090 people. It is a diverse community with the Hispanic or Latino and Non-Hispanic Black populations higher than the Countywide average.

There is a significantly larger population of seniors age 65 and older in the greater Aspen Hill Neighborhood than the percentage Countywide. This can be attributed to the existence of the Leisure World age-restricted community as well as multiple senior and assisted living facilities within the area.

The greater Aspen Hill area has a lower Median Household Income than the County as a whole, and a much greater percentage of the population that works in the Service industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Greater Aspen Hill</th>
<th>Montgomery County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>60,090</td>
<td>959,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6.3% of County)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 years &amp; older</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Hispanic Origin Combined*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other race</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino**</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management, business, science, arts</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Household Size</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-occupied</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-occupied</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Median Household Income</td>
<td>$70,072</td>
<td>$95,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Those of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
**Between 2000 & 2011 the Hispanic or Latino population in greater Aspen Hill grew from 14.1% to 21.8%; the fastest growing group with an increase of 63%.

Sources: 2000 U.S. Census, 2007-2011 American Community Survey 5-year estimate, U.S. Census Bureau; Research & Special Projects, Montgomery County Planning Department, M-NCPPC
The Aspen Hill Minor Master Plan Amendment area adjoins one of the greater Aspen Hill neighborhood’s largest commercial areas, made up of three community shopping centers and two big-box retailers: Home Depot and Kmart. Immediately to the north of the Minor Amendment area is the Home Depot; to the east is Northgate Plaza which includes a Kohl’s, Michaels, and Rite Aid; to the southeast is the Aspen Hill Shopping Center which includes a Giant Grocery, U.S. Post Office, and Panera Bread; and east of Georgia Avenue is a Kmart, the Gate of Heaven Cemetery, and the Aspen Manor Shopping Center. Single-family detached homes, interspersed with institutional uses, are predominant to the south and west.

Of the 14 total acres included in the Minor Amendment, the former Vitro/BAE vacant office building and associated parking encompass approximately 10 acres. A Dunkin Donuts is located adjacent to the former Vitro/BAE property to the south. Gas stations are situated on the northwest and southwest corners of the Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road intersection, and the Aspen View Center office building, its associated parking, and a residential detached home converted for an office use make up the remaining amendment properties on the south side of Aspen Hill Road.
ANALYSIS

The overall goal of the Plan is to facilitate the enhancement of Aspen Hill as a suburb where people can live, shop, work, and walk to community amenities. Different land use and zoning alternatives, design criteria, and infrastructure improvements were evaluated for the Minor Amendment area, including:

- Rezoning the Minor Amendment area to acknowledge the changed office market and to capture the area’s retail market potential, while allowing for a mix of uses, compatible with surrounding land uses, to develop over time.
- Establishing design criteria that address future form and function of the Minor Amendment area.
- Fine-tuning proposed zoning map conversions for properties in the Subject area to ensure consistency with the Minor Amendment.
- Analyzing and addressing the impacts of added vehicular traffic on Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue.
- Addressing pedestrian safety; connectivity; and vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle circulation within the Minor Amendment area.

When the Aspen Hill Master Plan was approved 20 years ago, Vitro Corporation occupied the office building at 4115 Aspen Hill Road and had been a long standing employer in the area. Over the past decade, both Vitro and BAE have vacated the site and the 265,000 square foot office building has remained vacant since 2010. In general, the large-scale, single-tenant office market has changed and declined throughout the region. The current trend in office uses is to move away from the suburban car-oriented sprawling campus of past decades and to cluster more compact offices around multiple-use, mass transit centered areas. Medical offices, such as the Aspen View Center located on a smaller footprint on the south side of Aspen Hill Road, may be the exception to this trend, as providers favor suburban locations that are convenient to their patients. The property owner’s inability to attract an office tenant to reuse 4115 Aspen Hill Road after four years of marketing the building is indicative of the soft office market, generally, and the decreasing demand for large scale single tenant structures. The full market analysis completed by Research and Special Projects Staff is included in Appendix B.

In light of the soft office market in the region, and the unlikely reuse of the obsolete office building at 4115 Aspen Hill Road, the market feasibility of additional land uses in the area, specifically retail and townhouse development, was evaluated. The strong commercial market base and land use pattern that currently surrounds the Minor Amendment area was an indication that additional retail is supportable in the Minor Amendment area. In addition, a moderately dense residential land use would be an appropriate transition between the more dense commercial uses situated toward Connecticut Avenue and the single-family residential neighborhoods to the west. The Retail and Residential Feasibility Studies conducted by the Planning Department concluded that additional retail square footage could be supported in the trade area, whereas townhouses may be supported, but their feasibility is less certain at this time. (Appendix B)
LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS

Properties North of Aspen Hill Road

The approximately 11.5-acre site situated to the north of Aspen Hill Road includes the currently vacant Vitro/BAE office building, parking areas, a Dunkin Donuts, and a Shell gas station. The 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan identified the majority of this area as Significant Parcel #3 and stated that the site should be maintained as an office employment center for the Aspen Hill community (page 46). The Plan recommended a change in zoning from commercial (C-1 Convenience Commercial) to office (C-O Commercial, Office Building) so the existing office uses more closely conform to the site’s zoning and to preserve this site as an employment center and preserve job opportunities for residents to work near their home (page 47). The R-90 (Residential, One-family) portions of the site which were used for parking were reconfirmed. The Dunkin Donuts and Shell gas station properties were not included as part of Significant Parcel #3 in the 1994 Plan. The properties are currently zoned C-1.

The properties north of Aspen Hill Road may support mixed-use development as the market evolves in the future, particularly if the properties are assembled and redeveloped comprehensively. More intense redevelopment should be focused toward Connecticut Avenue. Redevelopment of the vacant, former Vitro/BAE office building should have its primary access off of Connecticut Avenue and access to/from Aspen Hill Road should be limited to a right-in/right-out driveway to alleviate queuing pressures on Aspen Hill Road and intersection congestion during peak hours.

Projects adjacent to single-family residential neighborhoods should use compatible building mass, height, and setback, and façade articulation to create a transition to those neighborhoods. The 1994 Plan recommends that no structure for building or parking should be closer to the single-family houses than the existing structure [at 4115 Aspen Hill Road]. (pg. 46) The existing structure sits approximately 96-feet from the west property line. In the event that this property is redeveloped, and the existing building demolished, the Plan recommends that any non-residential uses maintain a 100-foot no-build area along the western edge of the property adjacent to the single-family residential neighborhood. (see Figure 1, Design Guidelines)

This Plan recognizes that there may be a phased redevelopment of the north side of Aspen Hill Road over a long period of time. It is likely that the former Vitro/BAE property will redevelop in the shorter term, followed by potential redevelopment of the remaining properties over time, as the market evolves to support a moderately dense mix of land uses. While this Plan recognizes the need to accommodate some near-term, single-use development, the long-range goal is to facilitate the opportunity for a comprehensive redevelopment of these sites should any of the properties change use or be consolidated.

Recommendation

- Rezone the entire Minor Amendment area north of Aspen Hill Road from C-O; R-90; and C-1, to a Commercial Residential Town Zone (CRT-1.5, C-0.5, R-1.0, H-60).
Properties South of Aspen Hill Road

This area is made up of approximately 2.4-acres of land. A gas station is situated on the southwest corner of the Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road intersection, and the Aspen View Center office building, its associated parking, and a residence that has been converted to an office use make up the remaining amendment properties on the south side of Aspen Hill Road.

The majority of the properties on the southwest side of Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue are identified as Significant Parcel #4: Mobil Service Station and Aspen View Center Office Building in the 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan. The Plan recommended that the entire service station site be zoned C-1 to better reflect the actual use of the site. The entire office building [Aspen View Center] site would be appropriate for O-M zoning with no expansion of the existing development. (page 47)

Currently, there are no plans to redevelop the south side of Aspen Hill Road. Should redevelopment occur over the long-term, compatible building mass, height, and setback transitions should be made to the residential neighborhoods to the south and west. Any future development should be focused toward framing the Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road corner and along the Aspen Hill Road frontage. (see Figure 1, Design Guidelines)

Recommendations

- Confirm the proposed zoning conversion to CRT-0.5, C-0.5, R-0.25, with an adjustment to maximum building height (H) from 35 feet to 45 feet, for the southwest corner of Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue.
- Confirm the proposed zoning conversion to EOF-1.5 (Employment Office) for the remaining properties south of Aspen Hill Road, with an adjustment to maximum building height from 60 and 75 feet to 45 feet.
DESIGN CRITERIA

The commercial center of Aspen Hill is a fragmented, suburban district where most of the land is dedicated to low intensity uses with vast amounts of surface parking. Although mature, the district remains under-developed, but with potential to yield a greater mix of uses over time, for the benefit of surrounding communities. As redevelopment occurs, the area presents a good opportunity for applying strong urban design principles and sustainable and low impact development to improve neighborhood connectivity, create new community open space and, by promoting distinctive architecture, define a stronger local identity. Connectivity is an important goal of this Plan. As feasible, there should be internal vehicular and pedestrian connections between properties that will encourage dispersal of movement throughout the Plan area.

The recommendations in this Amendment will create conditions that will allow for this type of connected, mixed-use development to occur, but achieving these goals will likely be incremental. Early development phases should set the stage to achieve longer-term goals.

To promote the goals of this Plan, redevelopment should achieve the following:

- Promote the creation of frontages along Connecticut Avenue. Locate buildings as close to Connecticut Avenue as possible. This will begin to establish a street wall along this major thoroughfare and give maximum visibility to new uses.
- Locate the front entrances and primary facades of new buildings on Connecticut Avenue or the shared entrance from Connecticut Avenue. Enhance the area’s identity and character by providing architectural elements that articulate the façade and provide visual interest.
- Provide visual improvements that direct pedestrians to available transit options, and that clarify internal circulation patterns for vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians.
- All connections should have adequate amenities for pedestrians, including wide sidewalks, green planting strips between pedestrians and vehicular areas, and significant tree planting.
- Minimize the visibility of loading docks and locate them to have minimal impact on pedestrian activities. Loading docks and service areas must not front on Connecticut Avenue.
- Consolidate vehicular entrances at the major roads.
- Locate surface parking areas behind the front building line; include significant planting areas and highly visible landscaped pedestrian walks connecting uses with enhanced pedestrian areas along the public domain.
While the following goals may not be attainable in the short-term, as the Plan area evolves over time, redevelopment should foster the following:

- Promote compact, mixed-use development with high levels of internal connectivity.
- Concentrate high densities at locations where multimodal transportation choices are or might be available to reduce dependence on automobile use.
- Provide networks of internal vehicular connections that create blocks that are pedestrian-scaled and walkable. These streets should provide connections to surrounding communities.
- Create a development pattern with short blocks, and human-scaled buildings and streets, to create an inviting and safe public realm.
- Create a recognizable center for the community, with identifiable edges to reinforce the form and identity of the area.
- Provide places for community use where the public feels welcomed and encouraged to congregate, such as plazas, accessible open spaces, seating areas, etc.

Design Guidelines

The guidelines focus on the elements of the redevelopment that will reshape the public domain. The following diagrams illustrate where and how each element could be considered.

**Figure 1: Design Criteria**
Elements

Build-to areas designate an area along the public right-of-way where the front building façade should be located, to define the public domain and introduce street activating uses in areas where promoting pedestrian activity is desirable. Build-to-areas allow for some flexibility in locating the street wall within pre-established distances away from the public right-of-way. The Zoning Ordinance establishes a maximum setback from the public right-of-way for a standard method project. Setbacks for optional method projects are determined during the regulatory review process, but locating building facades as close as possible to the right-of-way is strongly encouraged.

Streetscape Improvements should include wide sidewalks, adequate illumination, landscaping, and street furnishings, to enhance and promote pedestrian activity, and must include implementing the Green Corridors Policy (1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan, pages 112-117). The green corridors concept designates major highways and through-residential streets that should be safe and attractive for pedestrians and bicyclists as well as vehicles, and preserves the character of those streets that already qualify as green corridors.

- Improve Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road with sidewalks where they are lacking, bikeways as recommended by the Plan, trees and planted areas along the curb, and median trees where possible.
- Sidewalks along Connecticut Avenue should include:
  - a 6-foot wide green strip along the curb, with trees centered on the strip; and
  - a minimum 10-foot wide shared use path; or
  - an 8- to 10-foot wide sidewalk.
- Increase vegetation along the corridor to improve visual and environmental quality and to buffer adjacent uses and pedestrians from the high speed and noise of vehicles. The amount of tree canopy through neighborhood roads designated as green corridors should be maintained or enhanced. To promote the creation of a continuous canopy, tree spacing should be ±35 feet on center.

Transitions between commercially zoned properties and immediately adjacent single-family neighborhoods are defined in the Zoning Ordinance. Compatibility requirements, including height compatibility, are described in section 4.1.8.B.

Enhanced intersections refer primarily to how buildings can shape and highlight street crossings linking significant areas, to improve visibility for motorists and safety for pedestrians, while enhancing local character. Enhanced intersections should include:

- Where feasible, create street defining buildings at all corners, with entrances and/or activating uses oriented toward or near the corner.
- Sidewalk streetscape elements (including trees) that clear the corner to improve visibility across the intersection for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists.
- Building corner placement and articulation that allows adequate space for pedestrians to congregate safely, away from vehicular traffic.
- Consider speed-reducing measures at the road surface such as alternative materials at crosswalks. This would require Montgomery County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) approval and coordination.
Looking Forward

The study area is part of a larger commercial cluster, which serves as a neighborhood center for the Aspen Hill area. The scope of this amendment was limited to a group of properties along the western edge of the cluster, so the combined potential of the larger Aspen Hill commercial area was not explored in full detail by this exercise. An update to the 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan is programmed to begin in July 2015, and will address the larger commercial area. In addition to changing land use dynamics in the region, the approval of priority planning and design studies of the North Georgia Avenue Bus Rapid Transit line, with a proposed station at Georgia Avenue and Connecticut Avenue, (see Transportation Section) has the potential to catalyze more compact development in this area.

Future studies should include all the commercial properties in the area to assess their joint development potential, and to explore options for improved internal and external connectivity and for providing an interconnected network of spaces for public use. While the design criteria in the Minor Amendment includes considerations for possibly commercial-only development, the zoning recommendation for the current study area would also allow denser and more compact, mixed-use development. Property owners should consider all development scenarios while exploring redevelopment options.

Figure 2: Illustration of Potential Long-Term Circulation System

Figure 3: Georgia Avenue Study/Urban Design Framework

The 2008 Georgia Avenue Study / Urban Design Framework, prepared by the Planning Department and approved by the Planning Board, illustrated the potential future transition of the Minor Amendment and surrounding areas. The Study recommends that a future amendment to the Aspen Hill Master Plan should explore the feasibility of creating a compact, mixed-use center on the existing commercially zoned areas at the intersection of Georgia and Connecticut Avenues to create a more walkable center with local retail, community facilities, and additional affordable housing. (pages 30-31)
TRANSPORTATION

The Minor Master Plan Amendment area is located on the western side of Connecticut Avenue (MD 185) along both the northern and southern sides of Aspen Hill Road. The portion located north of Aspen Hill Road, mainly occupied by the former Vitro/BAE vacant office building, is accessed by one full-movement access driveway to Aspen Hill Road, which is presently chained closed, and an internal connection to the Home Depot secondary access driveway to Connecticut Avenue.

Connecticut Avenue (MD 185) is a six-lane major highway traversing in a northeast-southwest direction along the eastern frontage of the Minor Amendment area. Traffic signals exist at the nearby intersections with Aspen Hill Road and Georgia Avenue (MD 97). The Home Depot secondary access driveway (immediately north of the Vitro/BAE site) is currently undergoing a traffic signal warrant analysis as a condition of approval for the 30,000-square foot Home Depot expansion. This driveway is located approximately 750 feet north of Aspen Hill Road and approximately 850 feet south of the intersection with Georgia Avenue. The posted speed limit on Connecticut Avenue is 40 MPH. The 2013 annual average daily traffic (AADT) on Connecticut Avenue, as reported by the State Highway Administration (SHA), is approximately 36,300 vehicles per day. This represents a 3.6% decrease from 2011.

Georgia Avenue (MD 97) is a six-lane major highway traversing in a northwest-southeast direction approximately a quarter mile east of the properties subject to the Minor Amendment. Traffic signals are in place at the nearby intersections with Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue. The posted speed limit on Georgia Avenue is 45 MPH. The 2013 AADT on Georgia Avenue, as reported by SHA for the segment near Norbeck Road (MD 28), is approximately 42,200 vehicles per day. This represents a 0.6% decrease from 2011. Georgia Avenue is planned as a bus-rapid transit (BRT) corridor with a station to be located at the intersection with Connecticut Avenue. SHA, Maryland Transit Administration (MTA), and MCDOT is currently considering various design and operations alternatives for this BRT line.

Aspen Hill Road is a two-lane arterial west of Connecticut Avenue and a four-lane arterial east of Connecticut Avenue. It traverses in an east-west direction between the northern and southern portions of the Minor Amendment area. Currently, the full-movement primary site access driveway to the Vitro/BAE site is located on Aspen Hill Road approximately 400 feet west of the intersection with Connecticut Avenue. Traffic signals are in place at the nearby intersections with Connecticut Avenue and Georgia Avenue. In 2008, a traffic calming project was undertaken by MCDOT to install neckdowns and on-street parking which effectively reduced the section of Aspen Hill Road west of Connecticut Avenue from four- to two-lanes. According to MCDOT, between 2008 and 2011, the traffic calming project resulted in a reduction of average vehicular speed from 35 MPH to 34 MPH and the number of collisions over a three-year period reduced from 13 to 4. The posted speed limit on Aspen Hill Road is 30 MPH. The 2013 AADT on Aspen Hill Road, along the site frontage, as reported by SHA, is approximately 17,400 vehicles per day. This represents an increase of 0.2% from 2012.

Existing Bus Service

The Minor Amendment area is served by a number of bus routes provided by the County’s Ride On and the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority’s (WMATA) Metrobus services (see Map 10, following page). Along Connecticut Avenue there are a total of four bus routes, three of which are provided by Ride On (#26, #34, #41) and one route (#L8) by Metrobus. Route #26 also runs east-west along Aspen Hill Road. The bus stops along the segment of Georgia Avenue in the vicinity of the Minor Amendment area are served by a total of five bus routes, one Ride-on (#53) and four Metrobus (#Y5, #Y7, #Y8, #Y9). Depending on time of day, these buses typically run every 20-30 minutes.

There are two bus stops on Connecticut Avenue, between Aspen Hill Road and the shared drive between the Vitro/BAE site and the Home Depot, for which the 2011 SHA Pedestrian Road Safety Audit (PRSA) identified relocation to be closer to the intersection with Aspen Hill Road. Based on data collected by MCDOT, Division of Transit Services, more than 300 transit riders are served daily at these two bus stops. Relocation of these bus stops would enhance safety for pedestrians seeking to cross Connecticut Avenue.
Proposed Bus Rapid Transit on Georgia Avenue

In November 2013, the County Council approved the *Countywide Transit Corridors Functional Master Plan*. The plan recommends 11 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridors throughout the County, including the segment of Georgia Avenue through the study area of this Minor Master Plan Amendment, to be developed in order to help ease congestion and improve travel times. According to the plans for this corridor (Corridor 1: Georgia Avenue North) a future BRT station is to be located at the intersection of Georgia Avenue and Connecticut Avenue. The MTA, SHA, and MCDOT are in the process of evaluating four different transit and BRT design options that include dedicated lanes for transit vehicles and operational upgrades for traffic signals to give priority to transit vehicles. There is currently no funding source identified for construction of this BRT line, however, the current planning phase is funded.
Traffic Analysis

Since the Vitro/BAE site has been proposed for redevelopment, an analysis was conducted for several possible development scenarios to measure the impacts of increased traffic at three major signalized intersections surrounding the site. Under the recommended CRT Zone or the EOF Zone that would result from remapping the existing Zone pursuant to the Zoning Rewrite project, the Vitro/BAE site could theoretically be redeveloped with a variety of uses including a theoretical maximum of 320,000 square feet of general office, 218,000 square feet of general retail, or 349 multi-family residential units. The following table shows a comparison of trips generated by each of these scenarios, as well as other scenarios such as re-using the existing office building (268,000 square feet) and a 120,000-square foot big box retail building which has been previously discussed by the property owner.

As shown in Table 2, an office development (either re-use of the existing building or maximizing the zoning potential on) would generate the most amount of traffic in the weekday morning peak hour, while a retail use would generate the most traffic during the weekday evening peak hour. Multi-family residential development would generate the least amount of traffic during both weekday morning and evening peak hours.

The trips shown in Table 2 represent the ‘worst-case’ scenarios for the Vitro/BAE site. Due to various constraints of the site (i.e., setbacks, parking, slopes) it is unlikely that the maximum allowable square footages for any of the uses could be achieved. Since the property owner has publicly expressed an interest in potentially pursuing a big box retail development, a further detailed trip generation analysis for retail uses was conducted to determine the highest (‘worst case’) traffic generating methodology. Table 3 below shows a comparison of sources of similar retail-type trip generation data.

### Table 2 – Comparison of Vehicle Trip Generation - Aspen Hill Minor Master Plan Amendment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weekday Peak Hour</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Retail *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>C-O Reuse Existing Bldg. 268k SF</td>
<td>Max EOF Build-out 320k SF</td>
<td>Max CRT Multi-Fam. 349 Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * Retail trips include a pass-by reduction rate of 35%, consistent with the ITE recommended methodology, to account for vehicles that are already on the roadway network in the vicinity of the site that choose to enter the proposed development and then exit the site continuing on their original journey.

### Table 3 – Comparison of Trip Generation Sources for Retail Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Weekday Peak Hour</th>
<th>Max CRT Build-out 218k SF</th>
<th>Proposed Big Box 120k SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LATR &amp; TPAR * (General Retail)</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITE 9th Edition * (#813 Free-Standing Discount Superstore)</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal-Mart ** (average of 32 sites nationwide)</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Trips shown for Local Area Transportation Review (LATR) & Transportation Policy Area Review (TPAR) Guidelines and Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) sources used a pass-by reduction rate of 35%, consistent with the ITE recommended methodology.
** A pass-by rate of 25% was used consistent with recommendations of the nationwide study.
As shown in Table 3, the highest trip generating rates for a retail use comes from the Planning Department’s Local Area Transportation Review and Transportation Policy Area Review (LATR & TPAR) Guidelines. These rates were used in the following traffic analysis conducted by Staff to represent ‘worst case’ retail development scenarios.

The standard methodology in Montgomery County for determining intersection congestion is to calculate an intersection’s critical lane volume (CLV). The CLV measures the traffic throughput of an intersection by determining the amount of conflicting traffic movements in the intersection. In the Aspen Hill Policy Area, the LATR & TPAR Guidelines state that intersections must operate at a CLV below 1475 in order for a proposed development to be approved without intersection improvements or other traffic mitigation. Table 4 shows a comparison of CLVs at nearby study intersections under the existing conditions, background (no build), and development (retail, residential, office) scenarios.

This traffic analysis assumed for all scenarios that the existing primary access driveway for the Vitro/BAE site to Aspen Hill Road would be converted to a right-in/right-out driveway and primary access would be shifted to the existing shared Home Depot driveway onto Connecticut Avenue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Retail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-O Reuse Exist. Bldg.</td>
<td>Max EOF Build-out</td>
<td>Max CRT Multi-Fam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>268k SF</td>
<td>320k SF</td>
<td>349 Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Ave &amp; Connecticut Ave AM</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Ave &amp; Aspen Hill Rd AM</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Ave &amp; Aspen Hill Rd AM</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>1245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: CLV standard is 1475 in the Aspen Hill Policy Area.

CLV analysis assumed right-in/out access to Aspen Hill Road and primary access driveway on Connecticut Avenue for all scenarios.

As shown in Table 4 above, except for the theoretical maximum build-out of retail and office uses, all intersections in all other scenarios would operate below the CLV threshold of 1475. In the cases of maximum general office and maximum general retail, the only intersection to exceed the 1475 threshold in either of the weekday peak hours is the Connecticut Avenue and Aspen Hill Road intersection. In the maximum office build-out scenario, this intersection would barely exceed the 1475 threshold with a CLV of 1480. In the maximum retail build-out scenario, this intersection would exceed the 1475 threshold with a CLV of 1540. As noted, the maximum square footages are unlikely to be achieved due to site constraints and the highest trip generation rates were used for the retail uses to present the most conservative (‘worst case’) analysis of traffic conditions.
An additional retail scenario was analyzed to determine the 1475 CLV ‘tipping point’ for retail development. The analysis shows that the site could develop with approximately 170,000 square feet of retail without the nearby intersections exceeding the 1475 CLV threshold during either of the AM or PM peak hours. This 170,000 square foot figure could be adjusted higher or lower based on changes to trip generation assumptions, distribution of site traffic, or location/design of access driveways.

Pedestrian Safety

Crash data from SHA for the intersection of Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue does not indicate any conclusive collision patterns. There were two reported collisions with pedestrians between 2005 and 2012. Collisions with pedestrians are typically only reported if they result in a serious injury or fatality.

In December 2011, SHA released the Pedestrian Road Safety Audit (PRSA) Review Report for the section of Connecticut Avenue in the vicinity of the properties subject to this Minor Amendment. The study made numerous recommendations to improve pedestrian safety. The highlights include:

- Reconstruct the Home Depot secondary access driveway to Connecticut Avenue to eliminate channelized islands.
- Consolidate driveways to businesses along Connecticut Avenue.
- Construct missing segments of sidewalks along Connecticut Avenue.
- Upgrade handicapped ramps to ADA standards along Connecticut Avenue.
- Improve pedestrian signage and crosswalks along Connecticut Avenue.
- Reduce corner turn radii at the intersection of Aspen Hill Road and Connecticut Avenue.
- Relocate bus stop on Connecticut Avenue closer to the intersection with Aspen Hill Road that is served by four bus routes.
- Install a concrete median barrier with pedestrian refuge along Connecticut Avenue.
- Significantly reduce the radius of the free right-turn ramp from Georgia Avenue to Connecticut Avenue.

Transportation Policy Area Review (TPAR)

Per the LATR & TPAR Guidelines, the Aspen Hill Policy Area is deemed to have both ‘adequate’ roadway and transit capacity. Therefore, developments in Aspen Hill are not subject to the additional impact tax for transportation improvements.

Transportation Recommendations

Based on the traffic analysis, site observations, community feedback, and studies conducted by other transportation agencies, this Plan recommends the following:

- Access to Aspen Hill Road from the Vitro/BAE site should be provided via a right-in/right-out driveway. This will prevent entering/exiting left-turning vehicles from worsening the existing back-ups on eastbound Aspen Hill Road from the nearby traffic signal at Connecticut Avenue. Additionally, on the northern side of Aspen Hill Road between the Vitro/BAE site driveway and Connecticut Avenue traffic signal there are already three other curb cuts (two for the Shell gas station, one for Dunkin Donuts) in the short span of approximately 400 feet. This driveway should serve as secondary access and be shifted as far west as possible at the time the property is redeveloped.
- Primary access to the Vitro/BAE site should be provided via the existing full-movement Home Depot access driveway to Connecticut Avenue. A traffic signal should be installed at this intersection to improve both traffic flow and pedestrian safety, subject to approval by SHA.
- The SHA recommendations for improved access management and improved pedestrian safety should be implemented along Connecticut Avenue in the vicinity of the Minor Amendment area and at the intersection with Aspen Hill Road.
When/if the Vitro/BAE site and/or nearby properties are redeveloped (notably Dunkin Donuts and the Shell gas station) attention should be given to consolidating site driveways and creating interparcel access between properties. This will require future coordination between the developers, The Planning Department, and MCDOT.

The existing transition from four-lanes to two-lanes heading westbound on Aspen Hill Road should be shifted as far west as feasibly possible to provide more merging room for westbound vehicles and more stacking space for eastbound vehicles queuing from the traffic signal at Connecticut Avenue.

The southbound free-right ramp from Georgia Avenue to Connecticut Avenue should ultimately be removed. Instead, southbound right turns should come to the traffic signal with all other traffic. Removal of the free-right ramp will slow traffic traveling southbound on Connecticut Avenue by the Vitro/BAE site.

The 2005 Countywide Bikeways Functional Master Plan recommends a shared use path along the western side of Connecticut Avenue (reference code SP-27) to connect to the regional network including the Matthew Henson Trail. This shared use path should be constructed commensurate with redevelopment of the Vitro/BAE site.

**Excerpt from PRSA: Connecticut Ave between Georgia Ave and Aspen Hill Rd Conceptual Improvements**

- Consider installing street lights on the west side of Connecticut Avenue near Home Depot delivery driveway.
- Consider trimming tree canopies.
- Consider constructing a barrier at back of sidewalk to protect pedestrians from drainage structure.
- Consider installing pedestrian warning signs.
- Consider relocating bus stop to the south.

**Excerpt from the PRSA: Connecticut Ave at Aspen Hill Rd Conceptual Improvements**

- Intersection corner suggestions:
  - Consider reconstructing the corner with reduced turning radii.
  - Consider installing APS/CPS signal equipment and detectable warning surfaces at corners and medians.
  - Consider implementing LP phasing.

- Median modification suggestions:
  - Consider constructing level and accessible median improvements.
  - Consider installing APS/CPS signal equipment and detectable warning surfaces at corners and medians.

**Source:** Pedestrian Road Safety Audit Review Report (PRSA), Connecticut Ave from Independence St to MD 97, MCDOT and SHA, Dec 2011
ENVIRONMENT

The 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan area is located in the Turkey Branch subwatershed of the Rock Creek watershed. The area is highly urbanized and completely developed with auto-centric uses. Most of the development occurred at a time before stormwater management regulations were in place. There are almost no natural resources or environmental functions remaining and there are no sensitive areas to protect.

This Plan envisions more sustainable development within the footprint of the existing development. Incorporating new development into the existing developed area will reduce land consumption and vehicle miles travelled, improve our carbon footprint, and water and air quality. While the Amendment area is currently more than 90% impervious with very little stormwater management or tree canopy, redevelopment provides the opportunity to improve environmental conditions and create a greener community.

Recommendations focus on increasing the livability of the community while optimizing the land use within the existing development footprint. Implementation will occur through the redevelopment process.

Carbon Footprint Analysis

Montgomery County Bill number 32-07 establishes a goal to stop increasing greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2010, and to reduce emissions to 20 percent of 2005 levels by the year 2050. There are three main components to greenhouse gas emissions: embodied emissions, building energy emissions, and transportation emissions. Embodied emissions are emissions that are created through the extraction, processing, transportation, construction and disposal of building materials as well as emissions created through landscape disturbance (by both soil disturbance and changes in above ground biomass). Building energy emissions are created in the normal operation of a building including lighting, heating cooling and ventilation, operation of computers and appliances, etc. Transportation emissions are released by the operation of cars, trucks, buses, motorcycles, etc.

While it is not possible to quantify the carbon footprint of this Plan due to the small geographic area, it is possible to qualitatively analyze the impacts of the recommended land uses on the County’s carbon footprint. The embodied emissions contribution to total greenhouse gas emissions will increase, due to the demolition of existing structures and construction of new structures. Newly developed buildings have decreased energy emissions due to substantial advances in energy efficiency. Total transportation emissions will increase because the majority of the current plan area is currently occupied by a vacant building. Mixed use development would typically have a lower carbon footprint than redevelopment under the current zoning due to the reduction of single-function automobile trips.

Recommendations

Increase tree canopy cover by:
- Using advanced planting techniques such as constructed soil and interconnected tree pits to increase the soil area for tree roots for new streets and sidewalks.
- As feasible, satisfy Forest Conservation requirements on the Vitro/BAE site through the use of canopy credit, in order to increase tree cover in the planning area.
- Establishing a minimum of 30% tree canopy cover for new surface parking.

Minimize and mitigate for impervious surfaces by:
- Using Environmental Site Design to reduce runoff from all impervious surfaces, including roofs, terraces, and paving.
- Building new streets as “green streets” with urban stormwater management facilities in the right-of-way.

Reduce energy consumption by:
- Promoting non-auto transportation by providing for pedestrian linkages and incorporating transit stops within new development.
- Integrating geothermal systems to reduce energy consumption and allowing and encouraging wind energy conversion systems and large district energy systems.
IMPLEMENTATION

This section outlines those steps necessary to implement the zoning recommendations of this Amendment. After the adoption of this Plan, the Zoning recommendations will be implemented through a Sectional Map Amendment.

Proposed Zoning

The following table summarizes the zones proposed in this Minor Amendment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Size (acres)</th>
<th>Existing Land Use</th>
<th>Existing Zone</th>
<th>Conversion Zone</th>
<th>Proposed Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parcel 544</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>Office (vacant Vitro/BAE)</td>
<td>C-O; R-90</td>
<td>EOF-3.0 (H-60); R-90</td>
<td>CRT-1.5 (C-0.5 R-1.0 H-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel 534</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>C-O; R-90</td>
<td>EOF-3.0 (H-60); R-90</td>
<td>CRT-1.5 (C-0.5 R-1.0 H-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel 600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Retail (Dunkin Donuts)</td>
<td>C-1; C-0</td>
<td>CRT-1.0 (C-0.75 R-0.5 H-45); EOF-3.0 (H-60)</td>
<td>CRT-1.5 (C-0.5 R-1.0 H-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel 644</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>CRT-1.0 (C-0.75 R-0.5 H-45)</td>
<td>CRT-1.5 (C-0.5 R-1.0 H-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel 643</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Retail (Shell Gas)</td>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>CRT-1.0 (C-0.75 R-0.5 H-45)</td>
<td>CRT-1.5 (C-0.5 R-1.0 H-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 49</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>Retail (Sunoco Gas)</td>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>CRT-0.5 (C-0.5 R-0.25 H-35)</td>
<td>CRT-0.5 (C-0.5 R-0.25 H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 48</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>Office (Aspen View Center)</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-75)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-60)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-60)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 45</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-60)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-60)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Residential Detached/Office</td>
<td>O-M</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-60)</td>
<td>EOF-1.5 (H-45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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THE PLAN PROCESS

A plan provides comprehensive recommendations for the use of publicly and privately owned land. Each plan reflects a vision of the future that responds to the unique character of the local community within the context of a countywide perspective.

Together with relevant policies, plans should be referred to by public officials and private individuals when making land use decisions.

The STAFF DRAFT PLAN is prepared by the Montgomery County Planning Department for presentation to the Montgomery County Planning Board. The Planning Board reviews the Staff Draft Plan, makes preliminary changes as appropriate, and approves the Plan for public hearing. After the Planning Board’s changes are made, the document becomes the Public Hearing Draft Plan.

The PUBLIC HEARING DRAFT PLAN is the formal proposal to amend an adopted master plan or sector plan. Its recommendations are not necessarily those of the Planning Board; it is prepared for the purpose of receiving public testimony. The Planning Board holds a public hearing and receives testimony, after which it holds public worksessions to review the testimony and revise the Public Hearing Draft Plan as appropriate. When the Planning Board’s changes are made, the document becomes the Planning Board Draft Plan.

The PLANNING BOARD DRAFT PLAN is the Board’s recommended Plan and reflects their revisions to the Public Hearing Draft Plan. The Regional District Act requires the Planning Board to transmit a sector plan to the County Council with copies to the County Executive who must, within sixty days, prepare and transmit a fiscal impact analysis of the Planning Board Draft Plan to the County Council. The County Executive may also forward to the County Council other comments and recommendations.

After receiving the Executive’s fiscal impact analysis and comments, the County Council holds a public hearing to receive public testimony. After the hearing record is closed, the relevant Council committee holds public worksessions to review the testimony and makes recommendations to the County Council. The Council holds its own worksessions, then adopts a resolution approving the Planning Board Draft Plan, as revised.

After Council approval the plan is forwarded to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission for adoption. Once adopted by the Commission, the plan officially amends the master plans, functional plans, and sector plans cited in the Commission’s adoption resolution.
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