

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
<i>Staff Recommendation</i>	3
<i>Key Findings</i>	3
<i>Changes to the Report.....</i>	4
<i>Highlights.....</i>	4
II. BACKGROUND	5
<i>Purpose</i>	5
<i>Performance Measurements</i>	5
<i>Data Sources and Reliability Issues</i>	6
<i>Future Data Sources</i>	8
III. CURRENT CONGESTION.....	9
<i>Critical Lane Volumes (CLVs) at Signalized Intersections</i>	9
<i>Arterial Travel Times and Speeds.....</i>	22
IV. FUTURE CONGESTION	34
<i>Year 2010 Forecasted Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) Ratios</i>	34
V. APPENDICES	41

FIGURES

Figure 3.1: CLV/LATR Ratio Distribution	10
Figure 3.2: Year-to-Year Comparison of CLV/LATR ratios	11
Figure 3.3: Map of the Top 10 Most Congested Intersections	13
Figure 3.4: Frederick Rd (MD 355) Travel Time Samples - Results	25
Figure 3.5: Northbound Frederick Rd (MD 355) PM Congestion.....	27
Figure 3.6: Frederick Rd (MD 355) at W Old Baltimore Rd PM Congestion.....	28
Figure 3.7: Frederick Rd (MD 355) at W Old Baltimore Rd AM Congestion.....	28
Figure 3.8: Southbound MD 355 Corridor Travel Time Trend Analysis.....	30
Figure 3.9: Northbound MD 355 Corridor Travel Time Trend Analysis.....	30
Figure 3.10: Eastbound MD 28 / MD 198 Corridor Travel Time Trend Analysis.....	32
Figure 3.11: Westbound MD 28 / MD 198 Corridor Travel Time Trend Analysis.....	33
Figure 4.1: 1998 V/C Ratio Lane-Mile Distribution – Countywide.....	36
Figure 4.2: 2010 V/C Ratio Lane-Mile Distribution - Countywide.....	36
Figure 4.3: Map of 2010 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios and Volumes	39
Figure 4.4: Map Showing Difference in Volumes – 1998 vs. 2010	40
Appendix 5.1B: Map of CLVs for Bethesda – Silver Spring - Kensington	53
Appendix 5.1C: Map of CLVs for Rockville – Shady Grove – White Flint	54
Appendix 5.1D: Map of CLVs for Gaithersburg – Germantown – Goshen.....	55
Appendix 5.1E: Map of CLVs for Fairland – White Oak – Wheaton	56
Appendix 5.1F: Map of CLVs for Olney – Laytonsville – Muncaster	57
Appendix 5.2C: TRAVEL/2 V/C Ratio Lane-Mile Distribution - Freeway Facilities.....	59
Appendix 5.2D: TRAVEL/2 V/C Ratio Lane-Mile Distribution – Non-freeway Facilities.....	59
Appendix 5.2E: Map of 1998 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios and Volumes.....	60

TABLES

Table 3.1: LATR Congestion Standards.....	12
Table 3.2: Top 10 Most Congested Intersections in 2006	12
Table 3.3: Congested Intersections (11-33)	15
Table 3.4: Congested Intersections (34-72)	16
Table 3.5: CLV % Change <= -15%.....	18
Table 3.6: CLV % Change >= 15%.....	19
Table 4.1: Comparison of County-wide TRAVEL/2 Model Results	34
Table 4.2: Comparison of Model Results – Non-freeway Facilities	37
Appendix 5.1A: Critical Lane Volumes at Signalized Intersections	41
Appendix 5.2A: V/C Ratio Lane-Mile Distribution for All Facilities.....	58
Appendix 5.2B: TRAVEL/2 Model Results for the Freeway Facilities.....	58
Appendix 5.3: Active or Recently Completed Transportation Projects	61