

Meeting Notes

Meeting Date: October 25, 2005
Meeting Time: 9 a.m.
Meeting Place: Montgomery County DPS
Attendees: MCDPS - Leo Galanko (LG)
SHA / HHD - Dan O'Leary (DO) / author
Subject: MC Coordination

Minutes:

The purpose of the meeting was to meet again with MCDPS about SPA issues and where the ICC studies have gone since the last meeting. With the Final Environmental Impact Statement distribution imminent, MDPS is likely to start hearing questions and concerns from citizens about the FEIS language regarding the SPAs. Additionally, with the evolution of the ICC typical sections and other factors affecting the SPAs, input from MDPS was sought to ensure that there would be no surprises later.

General

DO gave an update regarding ICC FEIS, ROD and potential contract schedules, potential contract breaks, typical sections, ROD commitments, etc.

DO reviewed the typical sections for SPA and non-SPA areas, explaining that MDE said they could approve the project using grass channel credits in SPAs (provided that the channels meet the criteria) and that linear filter would be viewed as over and above requirements. Although not necessary to meet MDE SWM requirements, the linear filters were added to address SPA requirements, minimize receiving stream impacts, and do the best possible job of resource protection. Check dams would be included on approximately 750 to 1000 foot intervals to control the 10-year storm, and that there would be more frequent inlets as required to keep the WQ storm discharge from exceeding 1 fps.

LG mentioned that MDPS drainage area thresholds for BMPs are: 3 acres for surface sand filters; 1 acre for underground sand filters; and 1 acre for biofilters (linear dry swales). Also, surface sand filters are required to have pea gravel topping to reduce clogging potential. LG said that if grass topping were to be used on dry swales, MDPS would likely consider them to be bioswales, and recommended

constructing a pea gravel window for approximately 20 feet upstream from inlets to better ensure sand filtration of the water quality discharge prior to reaching inlets.

Roll Maps

DO reviewed roll maps provided by RKK and WTB Co. for the SPAs. DO explained that except where parkland impacts would result, SHA would construct dry ponds to meet 12 hour ED requirements. LG stated that 12-hour ED dry ponds are consistent with DPS policy in SPAs.

DO explained that no surface runoff would enter Good Hope or Gum Springs, as well as diverting drainage area to NW Branch from Paint Branch.

Follow-up

LG asked for a copy of the typical sections for discussion within MDPS. DO will get approval from the GEC to give MDPS a copy of the draft typical.

DO asked if LG could e-mail a response to DO's previous e-mail explaining the SWM approach in SPAs and requesting concurrence from MDPS.

DO asked about the possibility of using porous pavement for the bike trail and how that might be viewed under the impervious cap in the SPA. LG said the lead agency for the impervious cap reviews in the SPA is MNCPPC (Environmental Staff), and to coordinate with them directly.

CC:

1. All Attendees
2. Raja Veeramachaneni
3. Karuna Pujara
4. Mike Wetzel
5. Wesley Mitchell
6. Ronald Rye
7. Brian Jarboe