Summary of School Test for FY 2011

Based on County Council Adopted FY 2011–2016 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) Effective July 1, 2010

| | | Cluster Outcomes by Level | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------|--|
| School Test Level | Description | Elementary Inadequate | Middle Inadequate | High Inadequate | |
| Clusters over 105% utilization School facility payment required in inadequate clusters to proceed. | 5-year test Effective July 1, 2010 Test year 2015-16 | B-CC (108.6%) Richard Montgomery (116.5%) Northwest (119.1%) Northwood (118.8%) Paint Branch (105.5%) Quince Orchard (111.7%) Rockville (114.2%) | B-CC (114.9%) Richard Montgomery (117.0%) Northwest (105.6%) Whitman (107.8%) | Wootton (107.8%) | |
| Clusters over 120% utilization Moratorium requred in clusters that are inadequate. | 5-year test Effective July 1, 2010 Test year 2015-16 | | | | |

^{*} Richard Montgomery cluster elementary utilization includes eight classrooms approved by the County Council in the FY2011–2016 CIP. The location of these classrooms will be determined in a future CIP. These classrooms are sufficient to avoid placement of the cluster in moratorium at the elementary school level.

Growth Policy FY 2011 School Test: Cluster Utilizations in 2015–2016

Reflects County Council Adopted FY 2011–2016 Capital Improvements Program (CIP)

Elementary School Test: Percent Utilization >105% School Facility Payment and >120% Moratorium

2,262

2,050

3,027

2,629

2,863

2,464

2,922

Seneca Valley

Sherwood

Springbrook

Watkins Mill

Walt Whitman

Thomas S. Wootton

Wheaton

100% MCPS Program Projected Capacity With Cluster Growth Policy August 2015 CC Adopted Percent Utilization **Test Result** Cluster Area Enrollment FY11-16 CIP in 2015 Cluster is? Capacity is **School Payment Bethesda-Chevy Chase** 3,606 3,321 108.6% Inadequate Montgomery Blair 4,061 4,368 93.0% Adequate Open James Hubert Blake 2,516 2,508 100.3% Adequate Open Winston Churchill 2,636 2,728 96.6% Adequate Open Clarksburg 3,919 96.2% Open 3 772 Adequate Damascus 1,920 2,075 92.5% Adequate Open Albert Einstein 2,625 2,723 96.4% Adequate Open Gaithersburg 3,879 3,898 99.5% Adequate Open Walter Johnson 3,728 3,706 100.6% Adequate Open John F. Kennedy 2,650 2,858 92.7% Adequate Open Col. Zadok Magruder 2,577 2,635 97.8% Adequate Open **Richard Montgomery** 2,697 2,316 116.5% Inadequate **School Payment** School Payment Northwest 4.297 3,609 119.1% Inadequate Northwood 3,067 118.8% Inadequate **School Payment** 2,581 **Paint Branch** 2,441 2,313 105.5% Inadequate **School Payment** Poolesville 755 69.1% Adequate Open 2,992 111.7% **Quince Orchard** 2,679 Inadequate School Payment **School Payment** Rockville 2,531 2,216 114.2% Inadequate

104.1%

85.1%

94.9%

94.9%

102.5%

104 1%

93.7%

Adequate

Adequate

Adequate

Adequate

Adequate

Adequate

Adequate

Open

Open

Open

Open

Open

Open

Open

2,173

2,408

3,188

2,769

2,792

2.367

3,118

| Middle School Test: Per | rcent Utilization >10 | 5% School Facility Pa | syment and >120% M | oratorium | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | 100% MCPS Program | | | |
| | Projected | Capacity With | Cluster | Growth Policy | |
| | August 2015 | CC Adopted | Percent Utilization | Test Result | |
| Cluster Area | Enrollment | FY11-16 CIP | in 2015 | Capacity is: | Cluster is? |
| | | | | | |
| Bethesda-Chevy Chase | 1,192 | 1,037 | 114.9% | Inadequate | School Payment |
| Montgomery Blair | 2,111 | 2,266 | 93.2% | Adequate | Open |
| James Hubert Blake | 1,189 | 1,329 | 89.5% | Adequate | Open |
| Winston Churchill | 1,433 | 1,609 | 89.1% | Adequate | Open |
| Clarksburg | 1,547 | 2,113 | 73.2% | Adequate | Open |
| Damascus | 865 | 954 | 90.7% | Adequate | Open |
| Albert Einstein | 1,317 | 1,460 | 90.2% | Adequate | Open |
| Gaithersburg | 1,638 | 1,751 | 93.5% | Adequate | Open |
| Walter Johnson | 1,760 | 1,852 | 95.0% | Adequate | Open |
| John F. Kennedy | 1,201 | 1,356 | 88.6% | Adequate | Open |
| Col. Zadok Magruder | 1,155 | 1,616 | 71.5% | Adequate | Open |
| Richard Montgomery | 1,154 | 986 | 117.0% | Inadequate | School Payment |
| Northwest | 2,079 | 1,968 | 105.6% | Inadequate | School Payment |
| Northwood | 1,152 | 1,362 | 84.6% | Adequate | Open |
| Paint Branch | 1,248 | 1,271 | 98.2% | Adequate | Open |
| Poolesville | 238 | 480 | 49.6% | Adequate | Open |
| Quince Orchard | 1,389 | 1,648 | 84.3% | Adequate | Open |
| Rockville | 980 | 981 | 99.9% | Adequate | Open |
| Seneca Valley | 1,201 | 1,464 | 82.0% | Adequate | Open |
| Sherwood | 1,127 | 1,476 | 76.4% | Adequate | Open |
| Springbrook | 1,162 | 1,230 | 94.5% | Adequate | Open |
| Watkins Mill | 1,232 | 1,251 | 98.5% | Adequate | Open |
| Wheaton | 1,549 | 1,646 | 94.1% | Adequate | Open |
| Walt Whitman | 1,347 | 1,250 | 107.8% | Inadequate | School Payment |
| Thomas S. Wootton | 1,516 | 1,606 | 94.4% | Adequate | Open |

| High School Test: Percent Utilization >105% School Facility Payment and >120% Moratorium | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | 100% MCPS Program | | | | | |
| | Projected | Capacity With | Cluster | Growth Policy | | | |
| | August 2015 | CC Adopted | Percent Utilization | Test Result | | | |
| Cluster Area | Enrollment | FY11-16 CIP | in 2015 | Capacity is: | Cluster is? | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Bethesda-Chevy Chase | 1,723 | 1,656 | 104.0% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Montgomery Blair | 2,515 | 2,839 | 88.6% | Adequate | Open | | |
| James Hubert Blake | 1,787 | 1,724 | 103.7% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Winston Churchill | 1,907 | 1,928 | 98.9% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Clarksburg | 1,979 | 1,971 | 100.4% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Damascus | 1,310 | 1,532 | 85.5% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Albert Einstein | 1,593 | 1,570 | 101.5% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Gaithersburg | 1,948 | 2,284 | 85.3% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Walter Johnson | 2,173 | 2,230 | 97.4% | Adequate | Open | | |
| John F. Kennedy | 1,557 | 1,847 | 84.3% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Col. Zadok Magruder | 1,678 | 1,919 | 87.4% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Richard Montgomery | 1,846 | 1,957 | 94.3% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Northwest | 2,200 | 2,151 | 102.3% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Northwood | 1,439 | 1,481 | 97.2% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Paint Branch | 1,801 | 1,899 | 94.8% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Poolesville | 1,087 | 1,107 | 98.2% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Quince Orchard | 1,767 | 1,741 | 101.5% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Rockville | 1,334 | 1,539 | 86.7% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Seneca Valley | 1,334 | 1,491 | 89.5% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Sherwood | 1,789 | 2,004 | 89.3% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Springbrook | 1,600 | 2,090 | 76.6% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Watkins Mill | 1,615 | 1,885 | 85.7% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Wheaton | 1,284 | 1,416 | 90.7% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Walt Whitman | 1,830 | 1,873 | 97.7% | Adequate | Open | | |
| Thomas S. Wootton | 2,235 | 2,073 | 107.8% | Inadequate | School Payment | | |

Public School Facilities

S1 Geographic Areas

For the purposes of public school analysis and local area review of school facilities at time of subdivision, the County has been divided into 25 areas called high school clusters. These areas coincide with the cluster boundaries used by the Montgomery County Public School system.

The groupings used are only to administer the Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance and do not require any action by the Board of Education in exercising its power to designate school service boundaries.

S2 Grade Levels

Each cluster must be assessed separately at each of the 3 grade levels -- elementary, intermediate/middle, and high school.

S3 Determination of Adequacy

Each year, not later than July 1, the Planning Board must evaluate available capacity in each high school cluster and compare enrollment projected by Montgomery County Public Schools for each fiscal year with projected school capacity in 5 years.

S4 Moratorium on Residential Subdivision Approvals

In considering whether a moratorium on residential subdivisions must be imposed, the Planning Board must use 120% of Montgomery County Public Schools program capacity as its measure of adequate school capacity. This capacity measure must not count relocatable classrooms in computing a school's permanent capacity. If projected enrollment at any grade level in that cluster will exceed 120% utilization, the Board must not approve any residential subdivision in that cluster during the next fiscal year. If the Planning Board revises its measure of utilization during fiscal year 2010 because of a material change in projected school capacity, that revision must be used during the rest of that fiscal year in reviewing residential subdivisions.

Table 3 shows the result of this test for July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2010. Table 3 also shows the remaining capacity, in students, at each grade level in each cluster. Using average student generation rates developed from the most recent Census Update Survey, the Planning Board must limit residential subdivision approvals in any cluster during the fiscal year so that the students generated by the housing units approved do not exceed the remaining capacity for students at any grade level in that cluster.

S5 Imposition of School Facilities Payment

In considering whether a School Facilities Payment must be imposed on a residential subdivision, the Planning Board must use 105% of Montgomery County Public Schools' program capacity as its measure of adequate school capacity. This capacity measure must not count relocatable classrooms in computing a school's permanent capacity. If projected enrollment at any grade level in that cluster will exceed 105% of capacity but not exceed 120%, the Board may approve a residential subdivision in that cluster during the next fiscal year if the applicant commits to pay a School Facilities Payment as provided in County law before receiving a building permit for any building in that subdivision.

Table 4 shows the result of this test for July 1, 2009, to July 1, 2010. Table 4 also shows the remaining capacity, in students, at each grade level in each cluster. Using average student generation rates developed from the most recent Census Update Survey, the Planning Board must limit residential subdivision approvals in any cluster during the fiscal year so that the students generated by the housing units approved do not exceed the remaining capacity for students at any grade level in that cluster.

S6 Senior Housing

If public school capacity in inadequate in any cluster, the Planning Board may nevertheless approve a subdivision in that cluster if the subdivision consists solely of multifamily housing and related facilities for elderly or handicapped persons or multifamily housing units located in the age-restricted section of a planned retirement community.

S7 De Minimis Development

If public school capacity in inadequate in any cluster, the Planning Board may nevertheless approve a subdivision in that cluster if the subdivision consists of no more than 3 housing units and the applicant commits to pay a School Facilities Payment as otherwise required before receiving a building permit for any building in that subdivision.

S8 Development District Participants

The Planning Board may require any development district for which it approves a provisional adequate public facilities approval (PAPF) to produce or contribute to infrastructure improvements needed to address inadequate school capacity.

S9 Allocation of Staging Ceiling to Preliminary Plans of Subdivision

The Planning Board must allocate available staging ceiling capacity in a high school cluster based on the queue date of an application for preliminary plan of subdivision approval.

S9.1 Assignment of queue date

The queue date of a preliminary plan of subdivision is the date:

- a complete application is filed with the Planning Board; or
- 6 months after the prior queue date if the prior queue date expires under **\$9.4**.

S9.2 Calculation of available staging ceiling capacity

The Planning Board must determine whether adequate staging ceiling capacity is available for a project by subtracting the capacity required by projects with earlier queue dates from the remaining capacity on Table 3 as updated periodically. Based on this calculation, the Planning Board may:

- approve a project for which there is sufficient capacity;
- approve part of a project for which there is sufficient capacity, leaving the remainder of the project in the queue until additional capacity becomes available;
- deny an application for a project for which there is insufficient capacity; or
- defer approval of a project and leave the project in the queue until sufficient capacity becomes available for all or part of the project. If insufficient capacity is available, the Board must not schedule a hearing on the application unless the applicant requests one.

If sufficient capacity is available for a project based on the queue date, the Planning Board must not deny an application based on pipeline (but not staging ceiling) changes while the queue date is in effect.

S9.3 Applicability of School Facilities Payment

The Planning Board must determine whether a project is required to pay a School Facilities Payment by subtracting the capacity required by projects with earlier queue dates from the remaining capacity on Table 4 as updated periodically. Based on this calculation, the Planning Board may:

- approve a project for which there is sufficient capacity;
- approve part of a project for which there is sufficient capacity, requiring the remainder of the project to pay the applicable School Facilities Payment until additional capacity becomes available; or
- defer approval of a project and leave the project in the queue until sufficient capacity becomes available for all or part of the project. If insufficient capacity is available, the Board must not schedule a hearing on the application unless the applicant requests one.

If a project must pay a School Facilities Payment, the Planning Board must not deny an application based on pipeline (but not staging ceiling) changes while the Payment requirement is in effect.

S9.4 Expiration of queue date

A queue date for an application for preliminary plan of subdivision approval expires:

 6 months after the queue date if sufficient staging ceiling capacity was available for the entire project on the queue date and the Planning Board has not approved the application or granted an extension of the queue date; or 6 months after sufficient capacity becomes available for the entire project.

The Planning Board may grant one or more 6-month extensions of a queue date if the applicant demonstrates that a queue date expired or will expire because of governmental delay beyond the applicant's control.