Technical Report September 2000

Montgomery County 1997 Census Update Survey Summary Report



Prepared by:
The Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Commission

Research and Technology Center
The Montgomery County Department of Park & Planning
8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760
www.mc-mncppc.org

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission is a bi-county agency created by the General Assembly of Maryland in 1927. The Commission's geographic authority covers most of Montgomery and Prince George's counties. The Commission's planning jurisdiction, the Maryland-Washington Regional District, comprises 1,001 square miles; its parks jurisdiction, the Metropolitan District, comprises 919 square miles.

The Commission has three major functions:

- (1) The preparation, adoption, and, from time to time, amendment or extension of *The General Plan (On Wedges and Corridors)* for the Physical Development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District Within Montgomery and Prince George's Counties.
- (2) The acquisition, development, operation, and maintenance of a public park system.
- (3) In Prince George's County only, the operation of the entire County public recreation program.

The Commission operates in each county through a Planning Board appointed by and responsible to the county government. The Planning Boards are responsible for preparation of all local master plans, recommendations on zoning amendments, administration of subdivision regulations, and general administration of parks.

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission encourages the involvement and participation of individuals with disabilities, and its facilities are accessible. For assistance with special needs (e.g., large print materials, listening devices, sign language interpretation, etc.), please contact the Community Relations Office, 301-495-4600 or TDD 301-495-1331.

ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS

COUNTY COUNCIL

Michael L. Subin, *President* Blair Ewing, *Vice President*

Phil Andrews
Derick P. Berlage
Nancy Dacek
Howard Denis
Isiah Leggett
Marilyn J. Praisner
Steven A. Silverman

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Douglas M. Duncan

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

Elizabeth M. Hewlett, *Chairman* William H. Hussmann, *Vice Chairman*

COMMISSIONERS

Montgomery County Planning Board

William H. Hussmann, Chairman Arthur Holmes, Jr., Vice Chairman Allison Bryant Wendy C. Perdue Meredith K. Wellington Prince George's County Planning Board

Elizabeth M. Hewlett, *Chairman*James M. Brown
William M. Eley, Jr.
George H. Lowe

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PARK AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT MANAGEMENT:

Charles R. Loehr, Director
Drew Dedrick, Chief, Research and Technology Center
Sally Roman, Research Supervisor

AUTHOR:

Pamela Zorich, Planner Coordinator

CONTRIBUTING STAFF:

Carolyn Blackwell, Planner*
Belle Burkhart, Web Master
Charles Coleman, Management Services
Mary Goodman, Information Specialist
Heidi Helwig, Planner*
Wayne Koempel, Senior Planner

DEDICATION

This publication is dedicated to the dutiful Montgomery County residents for their thoughtful participation in the 1997 Census Update Survey.

^{*} Former employee.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
SUMMARY RESULTS	3
Most populous jurisdiction in Maryland on track to 1 million mark	3
Continuing trend of racial diversity	3
Gateway Montgomery: most new households are from outside the region	4
Foreign-born immigration spurs County's growth and cosmopolitan milieu	4
New residents contribute to County's high level of educational attainment	5
Maturing County is aging in place	5
Senior population increased by one-third since 1987	6
Average household size drops a person since 1960	6
Increased labor force participation by women	6
White-collar defines the resident workforce	7
County's median household income is nearly twice the Nation's	7
1997 Population and Housing Snapshot by Structure Type	9
Demographic profiles of combined Planning Areas	11
POPULATION TRENDS	32
State's most populous jurisdiction since 1989	32
Population growth fueled by record level births and new residents influx	32
Foreign Immigration Spurs County's Growth & Cosmopolitan Milieu	
Population growth follows the County's General Plan	40
Baby Boomers leave their thumbprint on the population	40
Rising median age	42
New residents contribute to County's high level of educational attainment	43

HOUSEHOLD TRENDS	. 45
Household percentage growth matches population's	45
I-270 is the County's high growth corridor	45
Average household size drops a person since 1960	47
Slide in single-family and multi-family household size	47
Life stages and associated household size	48
Average household size differentiates combined planning areas	49
County households are headed by increasingly older individuals	50
Typical suburban household types	52
Homeownership exceeds national rate	52
Owner vs. renter household differences	54
Homeowners enjoy substantial income and housing cost advantages	57
Rents vary by structure type and location	57
Younger households pay the highest monthly housing costs	61
Younger households pay the highest monthly housing costs	62
Younger households pay the highest monthly housing costs	63
Lowest income households bear the highest proportional housing cost burdens Rental households hardest hit	63
Widespread computer ownership	66
Neighborhood and local parks attract nearby families	68
Neighborhood and local parks attract nearby families	69
Residents plan to retire in the County	70
Residents plan to retire in the County	71
RESIDENTS AGED 65 AND OLDER	. 72
Growing senior population	72
Many of the elderly are economically housed	74

Mobility and migration among the elderly	75
Senior income lowest of all age groups	76
Young seniors are working at home	76
Computers, cars, and parks	77
RESIDENCY AND MOBILITY	78
Residents are staying put longer	78
Damascus and Poolesville residents stay put	80
Three out of seven households recently moved	80
Most new residents are from outside the region	81
Most minority households moved 5 years prior to the survey	81
Age differences of non-mover and mover households	83
Movers pay more for housing than non-movers	84
Almost half of renters are new County residents	84
Young in-movers have lower incomes	86
In-movers attracted to General Plan's Urban Ring and F270 Corridor	87
Profile by Mobility Status Non-Mover Households Intra-County Movers In-Movers	88 88
RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN	92
Continuing trend of racial diversity	92
Nonwhite and white in-migration	93
Nonwhite average household size remains larger than white's	94
Nonwhite tenure status shifts to renters	94
Housing costs stretch a higher percentage of nonwhite households	95
Better educated and wealthier	96

EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF RESIDENTS	101
Three out of four adults are employed	101
Modest resident job growth since 1991 recession	101
Gains in resident employment attributed to women's increased participation	102
Baby boomers are the backbone of the resident workforce	103
One out of three resident workers is foreign-born	105
New residents are young professionals	106
Most employed residents live and work in the County	106
Dramatic growth of resident employment outside the beltway ebbed	108
Workers linked to jobs close to home	109
One out of four employed residents commutes to D.C.	110
Most work in the private sector	110
White-collar defines the resident workforce	111
Sex, age, race, and occupation reflected in wages	112
The 30 minute commute	115
Traffic congestion is not enough to change commuting habits	115
More people are working at home at least one day a week	116
Household Income	118
County's median household income is nearly twice the Nation's	118
Income upturn after a decade of almost running in place	119
Who won? Who lost?	119
County median disguises underlying diversity	122
Race & Hispanic monetary split	125
Rural fringes attract the affluent	127
Appendix	130
Methodology of the 1997 Census Update Survey	130

Response rates	
Survey mailing list	
Census Update Survey form	
Confidentiality of reports	
Estimating procedure	
Definitions of survey data items	134
Questionnaire used in the 1997 Census Update Survey	136

List of Tables

Table 1:	Profile of Montgomery County	9
Table 2:	Profile of Bethesda/Chevy Chase Combined Planning Area	. 12
Table 3:	Profile of Colesville Combined Planning Area	. 14
Table 4:	Profile of Damascus Combined Planning Area	. 16
Table 5:	Profile of I-270 Corridor Combined Planning Area	. 18
Table 6:	Profile of Kensington/Wheaton Combined Planning Area	.20
Table 7:	Profile of Olney Combined Planning Area	.22
Table 8:	Profile of Poolesville Combined Planning Area	.24
Table 9:	Profile of Potomac Combined Planning Area	.26
Table 10:	Profile of Rockville/North Bethesda Combined Planning Area	.28
Table 11:	Profile of Silver Spring/Takoma Park Combined Planning Area	. 30
Table 12:	Annual Population Growth Rate 1970-1997	. 32
Table 13:	Household Income by Foreign-Born Status	. 37
Table 14:	Profile of Foreign-Born Head or Spouse Households	. 38
Table 15:	Residential Population by Combined Planning Areas 1987 and 1997	. 40
Table 16:	Residential Population 1987 and 1997	. 40
Table 17:	Household Population by Age and Sex	. 41
Table 18:	Montgomery County Population Growth 1970-1997	. 42
Table 19:	Educational Attainment by Age and Sex	. 43
Table 20:	Educational Attainment by Race and Hispanic Origin	. 44
Table 21:	Households by Combined Planning Area 1987 and 1997	. 46
Table 22:	Average Household Size 1977-2025	. 47
Table 23:	Age of Householder by Average Household Size	. 48
Table 24:	Average Household Size by Combined Planning Area	. 49
	Median Age of Householder by Structure Type	
Table 26:	Householder Age by Structure Type	.51
Table 27:	Household Types by Structure Type 1987 and 1997	. 52
Table 28:	Tenure by Structure Type 1987 and 1997	.53
Table 29:	Household Income by Tenure and Householder Age	.54
Table 30:	Tenure and Average Household Size by Race and Structure Type	. 55
Table 31:	Household Income by Tenure	. 56
Table 32:	Profile of Owner Occupied Households	. 59
Table 33:	Profile of Renter Occupied Households	.61
Table 34:	Characteristics of Households Owning Computers	. 68
Table 35:	Park Usage by Selected Household Types	. 70

Table 36:	Elderly Age Groups 1987-2025	72
Table 37:	Household Population by Age and Sex	7 3
Table 38:	Educational Attainment by Age and Sex, Ages 25+ and 65+	7 3
Table 39:	Income Spent on Housing Costs by Tenure and Head Age	75
Table 40:	Income of Householders Age 65+ by Household Size	76
Table 41:	Years in Present Dwelling by Structure Type	78
Table 42:	Years in Residence by Tenure and Structure Type	7 9
Table 43:	1997 Place of Residence by Previous Place of Residence	80
Table 44:	Previous Place of Residence by Structure Type 1987 and 1997	81
Table 45:	Previous Place of Residence	81
Table 46:	Mobility of Householders by Age and Structure Type	83
Table 47:	Tenure by Previous Place of Residence	85
Table 48:	Household Income by Previous Place of Residence	86
Table 49:	Previous Place of Residence by Combined Planning Area	87
Table 50:	Profile by Mobility Status	90
Table 51:	Previous Place of Residence by Race	93
Table 52:	Average Household Size by Tenure and Race	94
Table 53:	Structure Type and Length of Residence by Tenure and Race	95
Table 54:	Educational Attainment by Race and Hispanic Origin	96
Table 55:	Profile by Race and Hispanic Origin	97
Table 56:	Employment Status of Residents Age 16+	101
Table 57:	Resident Employment Growth	101
Table 58:	Resident Employment Growth by Sex 1977-1997	102
Table 59:	Employment by Age and Sex 1977-1997	105
Table 60:	Employment Characteristics of New Residents	106
Table 61:	Work Location by Sex and Age of Employed Residents	107
Table 62:	Work Location of Employed Residents	108
Table 63:	Employed Residents by Place of Residence and Work Location	109
Table 64:	Employed Residents by Employer	110
Table 65:	Employed Residents by Occupational Types	112
Table 66:	Average Wages by Employment Characteristics and Sex	114
Table 67:	Principal Mode of Transportation to Work	115
Table 68:	Worker's Transportation to Metrorail	116
Table 69:	Household Income by Householder Age	120
Table 70:	Median Household Income Changes 1987 and 1997	121
Table 71:	Household Income by Structure Type	122
Table 72:	Household Income by Household Type	123

Table 73:	Household Income by Household Size	124
Table 74:	Household Income by Householder's Race or Hispanic Origin	127
Table 75:	Household Income by Combined Planning Areas	128

Table of Figures

Figure 1: County Growth Trends 1950-2020	3
Figure 2: Annual Population Growth Rate and Minority Share of Growth	3
Figure 3: Previous Location of New Residents	4
Figure 4: Percentage of Maryland's Foreign-Born Residing in the County	5
Figure 5: Educational Attainment in 1990 and 1997	5
Figure 6: Average Household Size 1950-1997	
Figure 7: Labor Force Participation of Women 1950-1997	7
Figure 8: 1996 Median Household Incomes of the County and the Nation	7
Figure 9: Annual Birth Rate 1960-2010	33
Figure 10: Legal Immigration into County 1991-1998	33
Figure 11: Top 15 Countries of Origin for Legal Immigrants	34
Figure 12: Average Age of Foreign-Born and Native-Born Population	35
Figure 13: Households with Children by Foreign-Born Status	35
Figure 14: Median Age of Population 1970-1997	42
Figure 15: Educational Attainment by Foreign-Born Status	43
Figure 16: County Growth Trends 1950-2020	45
Figure 17: Average Household Size 1950-1997	47
Figure 18: Age of Householder by Structure Type	51
Figure 19: 1996 Household Income by Tenure	55
Figure 20: Computer Ownership 1994 and 1997	66
Figure 21: Park Usage by Types of Parks	69
Figure 22: Percentage Share of Population Age 65+	72
Figure 23: 1996 Median Monthly Housing Cost	74
Figure 24: Median Household Income by Age of Elderly Householder	76
Figure 25: Employment by Age of Elderly	77
Figure 26: Mover Status by Householder's Race or Hispanic Origin	82
Figure 27: Previous Place of Residence by Householder's Race or Hispanic Origin	82
Figure 28: In-Mover's Choice of Structure Type Varies by Race and Hispanic Origin	83
Figure 29 Race and Hispanic Origin of In-Mover and All Householders	89
Figure 30: Annual Population Growth Rate and Minority Share of Growth	92
Figure 31: Change in Race and Hispanic Origin Population 1990 and 1997	92
Figure 32: Median Household Income by Race and Hispanic Origin	96
Figure 33: Labor Force Participation of Women 1950-1997	. 102
Figure 34: Employment by Sex 1977-1997	. 103
Figure 35: Age of Employed Females 1977-1997	. 104

Figure 36:	Employed Residents Living and Working in the County	106
Figure 37:	Employment Location of Residents	110
Figure 38:	Commuting Times of Employed Residents	115
Figure 39:	1996 Median Incomes of the County and the Nation	118
Figure 40:	Median Household Income by Race and Hispanic Origin	125

Table of Maps

Map 1:	Combined Planning Areas	11
Мар 2:	Percent of Population Foreign-Born	34
Мар 3:	Asian and Pacific Islander Percent of Population	99
Мар 4:	Black Percent of Population	99
Мар 5:	Hispanic Origin Percent of Population	100
Map 6:	1996 Median Household Income	129