Montgomery County Healthy and Sustainable Communities Workshop June 26, 2008 Universities at Shady Grove, Rockville, MD

Goal and Indicators Break-Out Session: Draft Notes

Draft Goal: Climate Protection: Reduce CO2 emissions 80 percent by 2050. Promote the efficient use of energy and plan for the County's long-term energy needs.
Draft Indicators: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Electricity Use, Natural Gas Use, Total Waste Generated, Total Recycled Waste

Participants: Allison Fisher, Anna Read, Annette Rosenblum, Art Fabel, Charlie Garlow, Chuck Kines, David Richman, Demetrious Robinson, Devin Battley, Dolores Milmoe, Doug Horgan, Edward Godin, Erica Shingara, Gary Skulnik, Heidi Sweetnam, Hillary Kirchman, Holly Thomas, Jan Walters, Jennifer Kwon, Jessica Nardi, Joe Davis, John Howley, John Robinson, Judith McGuire, Katherine Holt, Ligia Moss, Linda Sobel Katz, Lynne Cherry, Marion Clark, Marlis Janes, Om Sawardekar, Louise Meyer, Pam Parker, Patricia Stephenson, Paul Emrath, Pilar Rivera, Rich Thometz, Susan Nickbarg, Susan Soderberg, Tamara Slater, Tim Boltz, Wayne Goldstein

Content Experts:	Andrea Denny, Municipal Clean Energy Program Manager, State & Local Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Elizabeth Martin Perrera,	
	Climate Policy Specialist, Climate Center, Natural Resources Defense Council	
Facilitator: Documenter:	Deitre Epps, Results Leadership Group JaNay Queen	

Draft Goal: Climate Protection: Reduce CO2 emissions 80 percent by 2050. Promote the efficient use of energy and plan for the County's long-term energy needs.

Major Theme of Discussion on the Goal:

1. There needs to be meaningful short-term and interim targets as part of the description of the goal

Comments Related to Major Theme #1:

- Interim goals such as 2012, 2030, 2050 for measurement and accountability on progress are needed.
- The goal should be an 80% reduction by 2050 from 1990 levels.
- There could be short interim goals such as every ten years to achieve an 8% reduction in carbon emissions from the base year or a 10% reduction in 8 years to match the political systems.
- What happens after 2050 should also be addressed.
- There needs to be an 80% reduction from a determined base year. Right now, it could be understood as being a reduction from the year 2006 or the projected emissions in 2050.
- Short term goals are needed to ensure the feasibility of achieving the long term goal.

• An interim goal that is meaningful to the public, politicians and staff is needed. The interim goals should coordinate with the four year political terms.

Additional comments on the Goal:

- Reducing the carbon footprint of Montgomery County residents is needed. The solution is to have only one criterion in the code: evidence of a threat to public health.
- The use of total reduction versus an average reduction (per capita, per household, per business, etc.) is questionable. If reduction of emissions by 80% in Montgomery County is achieved by dropping our current activity into an adjacent county, and if emissions increase by 80% in the adjacent county, is that an acceptable outcome?
- Reviewing the impacts of the changing climate on the lifestyle of the public is needed.
- The goal needs to mention renewable energy

Draft Indicators: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Electricity Use, Natural Gas Use, Total Waste Generated, Total Recycled Waste

Major Themes of Indicators Discussion:

- 1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions is the most important indicator.
- 2. Vehicle Miles Traveled and Commuting Patterns should also be considered as indicators for Climate Protection (currently under "Clean Air").

Comments Related to Major Theme #1:

- Define "community" in Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions indicator. Specificity on which emissions are counted is needed.
- Indicators should be included that measure petroleum use and the sources of electricity production (e.g. nuclear, solar, wind, coal, etc.).
- Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions is a good indicator to umbrella the rest of the indicators (energy use, VMT and transportation)
- There are reservations on Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions because of the data and how it is derived. For the indicator to be meaningful, the sources of the emissions need to be measured.
- Coal-fired electricity usage is a better indicator than Community GHG Emissions.
- The formula for how the indicator was calculated and what was included or excluded should be made clearer.
- Limiting the indicator for this goal to Greenhouse Gas Emissions may limit accuracy of knowing whether progress towards the goal is being made. Multiple indicators are needed to have checks and balances and enforced controls.

Comments Related to Major Theme #2:

• Vehicle emissions need to be measured.

Additional Comments on the Indicators:

- Measuring the use of renewable energy is important.
- Breaking down electricity use by sectors, e.g. residence, commercial, and industrial would be helpful.

- Evaluation of indicators with respect to the factors we can control and those we cannot control should be done.
- The statement that "measurement practices are improving" should be questioned. Funding for most government statistic programs is declining, and as a result, the quantity and quality of available data is declining.
- The waste management indicator should be removed from consideration.
- Energy efficiency should be used as an indicator.
- Electricity use is too generic to be an effective indicator. It needs to be coupled with vehicle emissions. Also, measuring the use of "electricity" can be misleading. Measuring polluting electricity versus renewable electricity is a better indicator.

Prioritized Factors, Action Strategies, and Partners

Factor #1: Inefficient buildings (commercial and residential) **Potential Strategies/Actions:**

- Change building codes (higher energy efficiency standards)
- Incentives for consumers (tax incentives) & utility companies (decoupling)

Partners:

- Utility companies serving Montgomery County
- Residents of Montgomery County
- Building Management
- Home Owner Associations & Home Builder Associations
- County Government
- Legislators
- Montgomery county residents who work in federal government
- Media

Factor #2: Lack of specific awareness & sense of urgency about energy use **Potential Strategies/Actions:**

- Broad based education and outreach programs
- Disseminate information about individual energy use
- Energy auditing

Partners:

- Educational institutions
- Local & state governments
- HOAs
- Utility companies
- Senior Advocates (targeted populations)

Factor #3: Lack of efficient, accessible, low cost (good) public transportation system **Potential Strategies/Actions:**

• Improved public transit options

Partners:

- Ride-On
- WMATA
- MTA

• Private Sector

Additional Factors, Strategies and Partners (as handed in on participant notes forms)

Factors that POSITIVELY influence the	Factors that NEGATIVELY influence the
trend	trend
Use of renewable energy	Affordability
Transit-oriented commuters	ICC, highway transportation project
Better fuel efficiency of cars	Transportation funding – emphasis on highway
	travel
LEED building standards	Commercial building growth
More efficient lighting in residential homes	Building the ICC
Efficiency innovations in homes and	Inefficient land use
businesses	
Price of gasoline	Dispersed living & commerce
Price of electricity	Inadequate public transportation
Higher efficiency cars & houses	Developers have too much influence on regions
	and laws
More subways	Transportation
Clean energy use	The Montgomery County housing code
	imposes frequent mowing of yards/lawns,
	chemicals and monocultures. (I was forced to
	mow my rain garden by the county housing
	office code governing lawns and yards.)
New county goals	Movement of businesses out of MC to other
	counties has no impact!
New energy sources	

The Story Behind the Indicator Trend Line of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

What are the most important Factors to address? What works?

Priority Factors	What Works (to do better)?
Source of energy (coal, gas, nuclear)	Increase renewable energy sources
Inefficient land use	Transit-oriented commute & development
ICC/Carbon Emissions from transportation	Mass transit ridership
project	
Reduce vehicle miles traveled	Require greenhouse gas assessment for all
	road construction
Lower VMT	Education of Public
Too much transportation	Tax incentives for reduction
Commercial buildings	Implement new technology
New building renovation/improving efficiency	Employers subsidize public transportation
Give cost of not solving CO ₂ problem	Compact communities
Efficiency/technology	Keep systems clean and adjust thermostat

Break down CO ₂ by area	Require higher efficiency
Technology and age of buildings	Require sidewalks
Retrofit	Require links to mass transit and bikeways
Population increase-more drivers	Require Smart growth
Inadequate bikeways/walkways	Code requirements
Distance too far between home & work	
Technology for producing electricity	
Inefficient energy use in commercial &	
residential buildings	
Low number of trees	
Electricity	
Gas	
Certain funding multiyear for Metro	

Partners who can contribute and assets they can bring to the table		
Business, industry groups, home builders associations		
Utility companies		
State government		
County residents (HOAs, activist groups, etc.)		
Universities/researchers		
Industry (e.g. car manufacturers)		
Departments of transportation		
Ghost Currents		
Cool Counties		
ICLEI		
US Conference of Mayors		
European "sister cities" (Totnes, England)		
Faith institutions		
RGHG Initiative Carbon Credits		
Surrounding jurisdictions		
Public education-county programs on energy		
Elderly representatives		
Minority neighborhoods		
Public health community		
MCPS & Environmental Education Committees		
Cyber Communities – Montgomery County listservs		
Small businesses in county, especially green business		
Montgomery County employees		
Volunteers and students		
Politicians and major corporations		

Three best ideas on what works (one no cost)		
Energy audits		
Energy performance contracting		
Bike paths		

Require greenhouse gas assessment for all road construction		
Efficiency in energy production		
Lower Vehicle Miles Traveled		
Increase awareness by educating citizens		
Existing and new renovations		
Managements BOMA companies – Train building managers		
Montgomery County should adopt new building standards immediately		
Public relations campaign/competition (sector based)/recognition/awards		
Require new homes after 2009 to use energy star		
Improved public transit – more light rails		
Develop hydrogen/solar efficiency public transportation		
Give tax incentives to all for more efficient energy use		
Individual Habits – turn off all power in all buildings every night		
Switch from coal and natural gas and other fossil fuels to renewable energy		
Include more appropriations for county facilities		