MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND + + + + + PLANNING BOARD

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING: LONG BRANCH SECTOR PLAN

+ + + + +

THURSDAY
JANUARY 31, 2013

+ + + + +

The Board met in the MRO
Auditorium of The Maryland-National Capital
Park and Planning Commission, 8787 Georgia
Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, at 6:00 p.m.,
Francoise M. Carrier, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

FRANCOISE M. CARRIER, Chair
MARYE WELLS-HARLEY, Vice Chair
CASEY ANDERSON, Member
NORMAN DREYFUSS, Member
AMY PRESLEY, Member

ALSO PRESENT

MELISSA WILLIAMS, Project Manager

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

										Pa	age 2
SI	?E <i>I</i>	KI	ERS	3							
Melissa Williams		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 3
Tony Hausner	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 5
Amanda Hurley	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 8
Dan Reed	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.11
Chris Ruhlen	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.14
Bill Commoners	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.18
Marilyn Piety	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.25
Carlos Perozo	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.33
William Mentzer	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.35
Lynn Westrope	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.39
Laura Pinto	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.44
Tina Slater	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.45
Brett Rouillier	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.46
Alvaro Cabrera	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.50
Marcy Stickle	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.51
George French	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.57
John Halpern	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.61
Pat Harris	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.69
Erkin Ozberk	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.73
Edgar Garcia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.77
Jos, Raul Amador	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.78
Gregory Baker	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.79
Elizabeth Fall	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.87
Perry Berman	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.90
Leslie Miles	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.94
Alberto Martinez	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.97
Lindolfo Carballo		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	101
Zorayda Moreira-Smith					•	•	•	•	•	•	105
Mary Reardon										•	110
Robinson Flores									•		116
Rosalba Guzman		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	118
Flor Velasquez									•		119
Stacy Silber	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	120
Dr. David Rotenstein			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	125
Robert Sponseller	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	131
Robert Elliott											144

December 26, 2012.

22

This Sector Plan is an amendment to the 1990 approved and adopted used for Silver Spring and the Park Master Plan, as amended, as well as the General Plan on wages and corridors for physical development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District and Montgomery and Prince George's counties, as amended the Master Plan of Highways for Montgomery Counties, as amended; the Master Plan of Bikeways, as amended; the Purple Line Functional Plan, as amended; and the Master Plan of Historic Preservation in Montgomery, County, Maryland, as amended.

There are accompanying technical appendices which refer to documents and are not part of the sector plan.

Staff is also requesting that the public record remain open through the last scheduled Planning Board work session; currently, that is March 7, 2013.

Additionally, we have received numerous emails testimonies on the plan since

Line, now, and the Indian Springs Citizens

Association, which is adjacent to Long Branch,
and I am speaking for myself.

I greatly appreciate that the planning Board approved my request for a community meeting on this plan. The community learned a great deal at the meeting, and Ms.

Alyssa Williams' presentation was excellent.

I feel that this plan is
well-developed. Not only does it effectively
address the usual issues of land use, zoning,
and housing, but also those of environment,
green space, parks, etc I particularly commend
including sections on health and public
safety, which are less traditional. I've long
been an active supporter of the Purple Line.
However, I have two areas of concern on the
impact of the Purple Line when it is bill.

My first concern is the restricted access to the community center and swimming pool. I have shared some possible solutions with staff. And the big concern is the big

reduction in the number of affordable housing units at an increase in the rent and many of these units. Since there is a relatively high percentage of low-and moderate-income immigrant families in Long Branch who benefit from a sense of community, there is a great need to retain the current level of affordable housing, and without significant increases in rent. The plan does the best job possible using the current available tools. However, the county will need to develop new policies to address these issues.

I met with Portland someone's staff light rail staff a few years ago when I was in Portland. That light rail displaced many low-income minority families, which the staff will only regret it. They suggested a website, which I've included in here, as a possible source for ideas. I'm sure there are many other ideas that this county can come up with.

The City of Portland also provided

loans to those small businesses that were
threatened with survival during construction
and established an office to address this
issue, including steps to minimize
construction impacts.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Mr.

Hausner.

Ms. Hurley?

MS. HURLEY: My name is Amanda
Hurley, and I have lived in Long Branch for
almost SEVEN years. I love having neighbors
from all over the world, and delivering
conversations in Amharic and Spanish when I
wait for the bus or take my son to the
playground.

Diversity is a term that's used a lot these days, sometimes too glibly. But Long Branch is a neighborhood that's truly diverse in ethnic, economic, and cultural terms.

For all that it has to offer,

though, Long Branch isn't always easy place to live. It's rundown from the bleak, treeless main streets and aging low-rise buildings, you'd never know that this is an area with the same population density as Brooklyn or San Francisco. You'd also never guess that the median household income for a mile around is more than \$70,000.

Long Branch is designed for and ruled by car. We have some great businesses in the neighborhood, but due to the current zoning, there's a preponderance of convenience stores that cater to passing car traffic.

This makes walking around Long Branch unpleasant at best and hazardous at worst. I live two blocks from the stores on Flower Avenue and Piney Branch, and I'm sorry to say that I almost always drive to them; that's what they're designed for, after all.

The Long Branch Sector Plan calls for making Long Branch a safer, livelier, and more welcoming place to live and work.

Mixed-use walkable urbanism is the right prescription for our neighborhood, and rezoning should spur much-needed investment.

Fortunately, Long Branch already
has a valuable asset that can catalyze
renewal. The Flower Theater is a wonderful
example of art deco architecture and a beloved
local landmark. Many residents of East County
have fun memories of seeing movies there.
Sadly, the theater has sat empty for several
years now. Every month it remains closed is
a lost opportunity for revitalization. It's
imperative that the theater be preserved and
restored as a community anchor.

The Flower Theater Project, a new community group I belong to, wants to return the theater to beneficial public use. We've heard some great ideas, like turning it into the Latin foods market or a youth center. But Long Branch residents have heard a lot of good ideas over the years. So many studies and plans have come before this one. It's past

time to turn recommendations into action.

With the continued growth of Silver Spring and the future construction of the Purple Line, there are exciting changes on the horizon for Long Branch. But 10 or 20 years is simply too long to wait. We need to get started making our neighborhood greener, more walkable, and more vibrant tomorrow.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Mr. Reed?

MR. REED: Hi. My name is Dan

Reed, and I'm a recent graduate of University

of Pennsylvania where I studied urban

planning. I grew up in Silver Spring, and I'd

like to testify in support of the Long Branch

Sector Plan.

I support the transformation of
Long Branch's main streets -- Flower, Piney
Branch, and University -- into complete
streets that welcome everyone, whether they're
coming on foot, by bike, by the Purple Line,
or even by car.

I feel that by allowing new

development to occur in the business district,

it will enrich local businesses by providing

them with more customers. And judging from

the success of Veterans Plaza in here in

downtown Silver Spring, I'm excited by the

potential for a new urban gathering space as

well.

I support these improvements

because even though I don't currently live in

Long Branch, I have a personal interest in

reviving the Flower Theater, where I used to

watch movies when I was little.

Last summer, I cofounded the

Flower Theater Project, which seeks to find

ways to bring this long-shuttered theater back

to life. I believe the Flower is integral to

the neighborhood's identity and that it can be

a destination and a catalyst for

redevelopment.

Over the past six months, I've had the privilege of conversing and working with

committed Long Branch residents, business people, and community leaders along with historic preservation staff and the theater's owner, who graciously took our suggestion to turn the marquis on at night. Business owners say it's already had a positive impact on the neighborhood.

What we of the Flower Theater
Project have found is that all of these
parties have valid, but often contradictory,
interests and concerns. But we all share the
goals of celebrating Long Branch's character
and bringing investment to the area sooner,
rather than later.

For the most part, the sector plan does this. What we're concerned about is the staff recommendation to preserve the entire Flower Shopping Center. While the entire property has some historic merit, we feel that historic designation needs to be the outcome of a discussion that takes all of those aforementioned views into consideration, which

1 doesn't seem to have occurred yet.

As a result, we've chosen not to take a position on designation of the Flower Theater and Shopping Center until there's agreement that it can be done in a way that doesn't unnecessarily complicate or delay the investment that Long Branch so sorely needs.

I believe that successful and well-loved places have a mix of old and new which allow them to celebrate their history and culture while providing new opportunities to create new history and culture. I realize how important the health of Long Branch is to the Flower Theater and vice versa. And while I support the Long Branch Sector Plan, there's still some work to be done so that we can assure both.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Mr.

Reed.

Mr. Ruhlen?

MR. RUHLEN: Hi. Good evening.

My name is Chris Ruhlen with the Law Firm of
Lerch, Early, and Brewer. I'm testifying
tonight in place of Mark Solomon with Finmarc
Management, who is registered to speak but was
unable to attend at the last minute.

I wanted to quickly make a couple of observations regarding the Long Branch Sector Plan as it's currently drafted. In December 2000, the County Council approved the Silver Spring Master Plan. The Master Plan acknowledged the need for revitalization of the commercial center of the Long Branch community and recommended that a commercial revitalization overlay zone be utilized to simulate reinvestment in the commercial core.

The CROZ was recommended for roughly the same properties that are proposed to comprise the town center in today's Sector Plan, as shown on page 14 of the public hearing draft. These properties include not only the superblock but also certain properties on both sides of Flower Avenue and

1 Piney Branch Road.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In the 13 years since the adoption of the 2000 East Silver Spring Master Plan, the CROZ has generally failed to attract reinvestment into the Long Branch commercial The Urban Land Institute convened a center. technical advisory panel in 2005 to examine why the private sector had not utilized the CROZ and concluded that the CROZ did not provide sufficient density or building heights to make redevelopment feasible. Not that the CROZ does not allow any additional density and limits building heights for commercial development to a maximum of 50 feet with Planning Board approval.

DLI, in its technical advisory
panel report, specifically recommended that
the CROZ be revised to allow for additional
density and greater heights. The TAP Report
specifically stated as follows:
In order to create the critical mass to bring
to Long Branch the services and businesses

that the community is seeking, the density within the commercial core needs to be increased to three FAR. A minimum of three FAR would be more appropriate for this future town center area, making redevelopment more economically feasible and ultimately bringing about long-delayed revitalization.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

With regard to implementation, note that the public hearing draft currently proposes to phase commercial development in two sectional map amendments, the first of which is anticipated to be adopted prior to the construction of the Purple Line. though the sector plan describes the town center as representing the "greatest opportunities for positive change" in Long Branch, the sector plan does not include all of the town center properties within the first sectional amendment. As we know, there's great uncertainty surrounding the funding of the Purple Line. The town center is too critical to the long-term objectives of the

1 plan to be tied to Purple Line funding.

Instead, all of the properties within the town center should be afforded the opportunity to redevelop with the first sectional map amendment.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.

Mr. Commoners?

MR. COMMONERS: Thank you, Madam
Chair; members of the Board. My name is Bill
Commoners with Lerch, Early, and Brewer. I'm
here to share my concerns about the draft Long
Branch Sector Plan this evening.

As Mr. Ruhlen just noted, the commercial revitalization overlay zone didn't do what it was supposed to do, and the draft, because of the insufficient density and insufficient height to make redevelopment feasible. The draft Sector Plan tries to solve that but doesn't go far enough. It proposes to limit density in the town center properties to raise 1.5 to 2.5 FAR. Yet it's

doubtful that even 2.5 FAR under the CR zones will provide sufficient incentive to stimulate the redevelopment of existing income-producing properties.

A minimum of three FAR would be more appropriate for the entirety of the future town center, as recommended by the ULI report for the commercial core, and as was endorsed by the Long Branch Task Force.

Within this 3-FAR, commercial density allocations should be increased to a minimum of 0.5 FAR. This can make redevelopment more economically feasible, attractive, and ultimately bring about the long-delayed revitalization.

But the sector plan also proposes to require 15-percent MPDUs in connection with residential development and LEED Gold certification for all new building construction. These requirements create significant financial impediments. Fifteen percent is already the maximum percentage of

MPDUs contemplated under the MPDU law, for which the law already provides a corresponding density bonus of 22 percent to offset the financial impacts. Where is that density bonus accommodated in the sector plan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Similarly, the LEED Gold certification is a full two levels of certification above the level otherwise required under the county's green building law. On top of the insufficient total density, even the recommended density must be acquired through the CR incentive density This forces property owners to buy back density they already have, in addition to the new amounts. The incentive density comes with too many strings attached for an area comprised of smaller properties and lesser heights and densities. For years, these areas did not redevelop under the existing zones without those strings. There's little reason to expect that they will do so now, with this new panoply of costs.

The ability to build residential in areas that have been C-1 is not a panacea, and it is not alone overcome the economic reality. As a result, the draft plan becomes a blueprint for the status quo.

These increased MPDU and LEED requirements also interfere with the fundamental premise of the CR zoning, to provide latitude to decide which public benefits will best support a given project.

Rather than increasing regulatory requirements in Long Branch, the sector plan should encourage maximum flexibility under the CRT zone for the town center properties to better encourage reinvestment.

Finally, with regard to implementation, the sectional map amendments don't work. The sector plan doesn't include all the town center properties in that first SMA even though, as Mr. Ruhlen just said, the sector plan calls it the area of the greatest opportunity for positive change.

1	The town center should not be
2	split up. It should be promoted as an
3	integrated whole. All properties within the
4	town center designated on page 14 of the plan
5	should be afforded the opportunity to
6	redevelop under the first sectional map
7	amendment. Allow them all the opportunity to
8	create a critical mass for success.
9	Thank you very much for the
10	opportunity to speak on this.
11	CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Mr.
12	Commoners.
13	I called Ms. Vergagn. Is she here
14	this evening?
15	(No response.)
16	MEMBER DREYFUSS: I just had a
17	quick question on the Gold LEED. Is that
18	unusual in a master plan, or is it just this
19	one?
20	MR. KOMINERS: I think it is. I
21	mean, it could be
22	MEMBER DREYFUSS: I mean have we

	Page 24
1	Brett Rouillier;
2	Rosalba Guzman;
3	Marilyn Piety;
4	Jose Cabrera
5	six.
6	You appear to be the only one of
7	the six who's actually here, Ms. Piety.
8	MS. PIETY: (Off mic comment.)
9	CHAIR CARRIER: No, I'm sorry. I
10	guess I'll come back maybe, to those people.
11	PARTICIPANT: Yeah, they are on
12	their way.
13	CHAIR CARRIER: Okay.
14	Ivan Zacarias;
15	Alvaro Cabrera;
16	Laura Pinto;
17	Carlos Perozo;
18	Lynn Westrope þ
19	Are you Mr. Peroso?
20	MR. PEROSO: Yes.
21	CHAIR CARRIER: William Mentzer,
22	Jr.;

	Page 25
1	Marcie Stickle;
2	George French.
3	(Pause.)
4	CHAIR CARRIER: Did I call up one
5	too many?
6	(Off mic comments.)
7	CHAIR CARRIER: Okay, why don't
8	you take a seat in the audience.
9	Okay, Ms. Piety, go right ahead.
10	MS. PIETY: I'm Marilyn Piety,
11	Vice President of Sligo-Branview Community
12	Association and Chair of our land-use and
13	zoning committee.
14	We have concerns with the
15	appropriateness of the recommendations for
16	zoning and density, streets and roads, traffic
17	and parking, parks, and recreation. I will
18	focus on zoning and density issues, especially
19	as they impact single-family areas that adjoin
20	commercial areas, and other members will
21	address other points.
22	One, the zoning map and other

references to the so-called "Superblock" at the northeast quadrant of Piney Branch and Flower, including both is the east-west and north-south segments of Arliss Street, discussed this area as if it were one parcel of land that was basically flat. It is neither one piece of land nor of a uniform elevation. It comprises seven separate properties at very different heights or typography. The sizes of the different properties vary from a low of 6,700 square feet to 2,000 -- 3,700 square feet.

Along the face of Flower Avenue,
just to give you a picture of what this is -beginning at Piney Branch is the Flower Avenue
Shopping Center, comprising several shops, the
Flower Theater and a small parking lot.
Immediately north are two separate
single-family-size lots with a restaurant and
dental law that provide their own parking.

Immediately north of that, at the intersection of Arliss Street, is

7,250-square-foot vacant lot owned by the Flower Avenue Shopping Center and used for parking.

Along the north face of Piney
Branch are a Midas muffler shop, a
supermarket, and a couple of small shops all
under one ownership, with 112,300 square feet.
A separately owned gas station on 16,000
adjoins the above property at the east end of
the block.

The above properties are long and narrow, with a depth of only about 150 to 160 feet, including the right-of-way, after which they drop sharply, to about 10 to 15 feet behind Piney Branch and behind Flower. At the bottom of these drops is the 237,000-square-foot property that is the Giant Supermarket, bank, and beauty shop. This drop was probably created in the '50s and '60s by scooping out the natural slope that goes to Long Branch Creek.

While the plan intends that there

1 should be a joint development with shared 2 parking, there's no evidence that this is 3 likely to occur in the foreseeable future. The plan does not explain how such disparate 4 5 topography can be developed into one 6 coordinated development. In other words, 7 building facing Flower and Piney Branch will 8 appear much taller and intrusive because they 9 begin on the highest points, while taller 10 buildings facing Arliss can accommodate more 11 height because they begin at a lower point --12 Excuse me. 13 CHAIR CARRIER: It's distracting. 14 Perhaps you could leave the table just, until 15 it's this lady's turn to testify. 16 TRANSLATOR: But how is she 17 supposed to understand what's going on? 18 CHAIR CARRIER: I'm just 19 suggesting that you translate a little bit off 20 to the side if you are distracting other 21 speakers. 22 (Off mic comment.) TRANSLATOR:

	Page 29
1	CHAIR CARRIER: And then you can
2	come back to the table for her to speak, and
3	you can translate at the table.
4	TRANSLATOR: (Off mic comment)
5	should be a translator.
6	CHAIR CARRIER: You know, if we
7	had gotten a request a week ago, we would have
8	had it done out loud so everyone could hear
9	it. We would have had headphones for people.
10	Clearly, it's distracting this
11	speaker. So, perhaps, just while this lady is
12	speaking, maybe the other speakers won't mind.
13	Yes.
14	TRANSLATOR: (Off mic comment.)
15	CHAIR CARRIER: How about right
16	there at that front table? That sounds like
17	a great idea.
18	(Pause.)
19	MS. PIETY: Thank you.
20	Therefore, unless and until there
21	is some coordinated plan, an ownership
22	agreement to merge these disparate properties

CRT zoning with an FAR of 3 is

22

inappropriate for buildings on the east side of Flower, part of which face single-family residences and a small playground.

Four, a sixty-foot building, even with an FAR of 1 on the west side of Flower is also inappropriate because it adjoins the backyards of single-family residences. A zoning category of CRN is more appropriate for both the east and west sides of Flower, including the Superblock p although, if there were another change, some uniform agreement that might be able to be handled.

Five, the proposed commercial apartment building proposed for the north side of Arliss is especially egregious. How can a 50-foot building immediately adjacent to the backyards of single-family homes be a "transition," as the sector plan calls it? There is no shortage of affordable apartment buildings in Long Branch. We have the largest concentration of very old, low-cost buildings, low rental cost buildings, in the county.

They should be redeveloped. We don't need more; we just need better of what's there.

This plan attempts to accomplish too many things on too little land. Our infrastructure and amenities is the infrastructure of the '40s and '50s. We are already one of the densest areas in the county with the least amount of parkland and stream valley protection. Our residential roads are narrow compared to those built by modern times. Our major roads are overcrowded, and traffic is not expected to be eased either by this plan or by the Purple Line.

This area needs redevelopment but it must be at a scale that is sustainable and makes improvements, not one that compounds existing problems and harms single-family neighborhoods. This entire area should be zoned CRN. More parkland and open space should be created, and transitions should be transitions in deed as well as words.

Thank you.

	Page 33
1	CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.
2	I think that Mr. Perozo was next.
3	MR. PEROZO: Hello. My name is
4	Carlos Perozo. I am the Long Branch Business
5	League president. I am also a member of the
6	FDC; that is the Fair Development Council .
7	And I'm an owner of ZPTAX just across the
8	street from the Flower Theater.
9	CHAIR CARRIER: Are you speaking
10	on behalf of an organization?
11	MR. PEROZO: Yes. I'm speaking on
12	behalf of the Long Branch Business League.
13	CHAIR CARRIER: Great. Thank you.
14	MR. PEROZO: I just wanted to
15	introduce myself.
16	I came to the area in 2007. I
17	noticed that the Flower Theater was empty, and
18	I started to investigate what happened with
19	the Flower Theater. I noticed that the last
20	10, 15 years, the area has been going down
21	because the theater is closed. So I started

to talk about that. And because of that, I

22

1 became the Long Branch Business League.

the sector plan looks for plans in the long-term, and it's not looking for short-term solutions on what's going on in the area. In my interviews to the smaller businesses that around the area, we have actual things that need to be addressed, like drainage and the support of businesses, especially in helping work with MHP and helping the area work with the Council.

I had the opportunity to meet with people from Montgomery County, thanks to the Flower Theater. And we need things like, for example, public restrooms, parking -- parking needs to be redeveloped in the area so people have access to the businesses that are there.

Also, in talking about the Flower
Theater, it is urgent to do something with the
Flower Theater so we can redevelop the area.
The designation of a historical building of
the whole commercial center doesn't seem to be
the right thing to do. I will say, the fa‡ade

of the Flower Theater because that's what gives the identity to that commercial center, but not to the whole commercial factor. It would not do that.

Also, business owners are very, very concerned about displacements. Any construction of any kind in that area will mean that the owners are going to have to be displaced. So we would like to see something that will guarantee a partial moving in the meantime so that we can go back and continue doing business in the area.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.

Sir, what was your name, please?

MR. MENTZER: William Mansur.

CHAIR CARRIER: Could you press

the microphone?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MENTZER: William Mentzer.

CHAIR CARRIER: Please go ahead.

MR. MENTZER: I have lived two

blocks north of the Long Branch sector border

since 1964. I am the corresponding secretary of the Sligo-Branview Community Association.

My testimony is my personal position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm commenting on the rezoning of the Arliss Street townhouse tract and a proposed study of the relocation of the recreation center and swimming pool.

Regarding the Arliss Street townhouses, Marilyn has already brought up a major point. My feeling that is the existing townhouses meet your requirement of an appropriate transition from redevelopment to existing single-family neighborhoods by having lower buildings at the age of the redeveloped And today, those 15 townhouses really represent that transition to single-family houses behind them. Whereas, a 50-foot structure suggested in the plan or as zoning would allow, a 60-foot structure would overwhelm that community on Plymouth Street that backs up against it.

My second concern is the proposed

relocation of the recreation center structure and outdoor swimming pool to a site closer to the library. There's nothing in the sector plan about why that that should be considered. Moving the rec center and the pool may free up some play space, but if you look at the site, there's not even enough room if you took the pool and rec center out to have a full-size soccer field, unless you would do serious regrading to that site because of the terrain there.

Expending funds for construction of new facilities when the existing facilities now relatively new would seem to face resistance for various reasons. The plan calls for the development two new urban parks, improvement of two existing neighborhood parks, and major renovation and expansion of a third neighborhood park. In an area of tight budgets, funding priority for Long Branch should be to get those park needs met first.

And also, it would seem that throughout the county, areas with no pools or rec centers would take priority over funding to relocate our rec center and pool.

much.

Also, the land around the library is covered with mature trees, many of which would have to be removed to accommodate the footprint of a rec center in the bowl. And in today's environment of tree canopy preservation in Montgomery County, it would seem you'd get a lot of resistance to trying to cut down trees to relocate the rec center and pool.

And lastly, public access to the library and rec center complex would generate a lot more traffic at the Arliss Street-Walden Road-Garland intersection. And when the Purple Line comes in, that intersection is going to be quite a much busier intersection.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very

Ma'am, would you please press that

<pre>1 large button and start with your n</pre>

MS. WESTROPE: My name is Lynn
Westrope and I'm President of the
Sligo-Branview Community Association, a
neighborhood that includes a substantial part
of the Long Branch sector. I'm speaking for
myself.

We're heartened by the county's attention to the Long Branch and Piney Branch commercial areas and welcome the energy and promise of investment. My comments follow:

One, Flower Theater -- I support preservation of the fa‡ade of the Flower Theater.

Next, the Long Branch Library and community center bridge. I don't support expansion of the current pedestrian bridge.

If it's widened for vehicle passage, that route will become a shortcut for traffic between Piney Branch and Arliss.

Next, transitional building sites already touched on previously -- I don't

support proposed five-story buildings adjacent to single-family houses. The gradual transition to single-family houses in the neighborhood should be no longer than three stories immediately adjacent to the limit.

Next, parking -- today, with available transit service options, even so, parking shortages are constant. The new sector plan proposes a 50-percent increase in commercial ventures and more than a 200-percent increase in residential units. But at the present time, there is insufficient parking for apartment dwellers. Tenant cars, vans, trucks overwhelm parking provided by their landlords.

Adjacent single-family
neighborhoods are absorbing the overflow.

Overflow vehicles parked too close to
intersections and driveways -- this is a
constant irritant to affected homeowners, and
this illegal parking detracts from the
desirability of the neighborhood.

Next, ingress and egress to the development areas -- the map on page 18 shows two points of access to the Superblock. One point is Flower Avenue about midblock between Piney Branch and Arliss. The Flower Avenue entry will funnel a lot of cars in and out of the Superblock. I hope it will be regulated by a three-way stop sign, but specifically, not a circle.

The other is a complicated intersection of Arliss, Walden, and Garland. Now Garland appears in the proposed sector plan to become connecting road behind the Flower Branch Apartments to connect Arliss and Piney Branch. This intersection, the Walden-Arliss-Garland intersection, armed with a traffic signal, will deal with traffic from three different streets, bicycles on both sides of Arliss, and trains from the Purple Line. This will become a choke point that will have a relatively long signal at which traffic is very likely to back up.

To relieve anticipated heavy usage of this complicated three-way intersection, another entry should be provided to siphon off traffic coming from Piney Branch onto Arliss.

And lastly, the Piney Branch
Neighborhood Village -- although slated for
redevelopment in phase one of the amended
sector plan, little detail is offered in the
plan for the Piney Branch Neighborhood
Village, which of course also lies along a
very heavily used intersection.

The issue of parking --

CHAIR CARRIER: Just please, bring your thoughts to a conclusion.

MS. WESTROPE: -- last sentence -the issues of parking, ingress, and egress are
very similar to those of the Flower-Piney
Superblock.

We look forward to hearing more thoughts about this. Thank you.

21 CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very 22 much.

	Page 43
1	We have several chairs here that
2	are not going to be used if anyone would like
3	to come them to put them along the back of the
4	to use.
5	Do I have any staff members who
6	would like to come help with that? We have a
7	bunch of people standing in the back.
8	PARTICIPANT: I help.
9	CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you; very
10	kind.
11	Nobody's going to sit in the ones
12	that have their backs to us.
13	Thank you very much.
14	Okay, I'm going to go back to
15	people who weren't here the first time I
16	called them
17	Tina Slater;
18	Brett
19	PARTICIPANT: It's that lady up
20	front
21	CHAIR CARRIER: Oh, I'm so sorry.
22	You may go first in the next group.

	Page 44
1	Brett Rouillier;
2	Rosalba Guzman;
3	Ivan Zacarias is he here?
4	Alvaro
5	(Pause.)
6	CHAIR CARRIER: Ma'am, please
7	press the big button and start with your name.
8	MS. PINTO: My name is Laura
9	Pinto. I live in 1028 University Boulevard,
10	and I'm Case de Maryland volunteer.
11	What I'd like to say now is that
12	the effect that the Purple Line will bring, I
13	don't believe that only 15 percent of housing
14	should be for low-income people. And the
15	community wants it to be 100 percent.
16	Thank you very much.
17	CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Sepor
18	Pinto.
19	(Applause.)
20	CHAIR CARRIER: No clapping,
21	please. We have a very long evening.
22	Ms. Slater.

MS. SLATER: Sorry that I was late. Good evening, commissioners. I'm Tina Slater, speaking as an individual.

The Long Branch Sector Plan is an exciting pedestrian-oriented concept for mixed-use development with public gathering places. It will take great advantage of the two Purple Line stops, one at each of the planned commercial sectors, to make Long Branch a destination for culture, multiethnic restaurants, and specialty shops. The parks, recreation center athletic amenities, the library and schools will lend strength and support to the feel of this newly redeveloped walkable community. I would support building at a density that would allow MPDUs.

Also, there's a special piece of history here in the Long Branch, the Flower Theater. I watched movies there as a child, and I took our daughter to see her first movie there in 1986 when Disney reissued Lady and the Tramp. I really think that maintaining

the historic theater's fa‡ade, and those of the adjoining shops, is a very good idea and would make a lovely focal point along the pedestrian-friendly Flower Avenue.

In the meantime, before full redevelopment takes place, Dan Reed, urban planner and blogger of just up the pike, has held various design charrettes with local stakeholders and has come up with a variety of potential uses to reactivate the large empty space of the theater. I hope the planning staff and Dan Reed can connect on some of these ideas and encourage the owner to reinvigorate the space in the near term.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.

Mr. Rouillier.

MR. ROUILLIER: Brett Rouillier; I
live at 9302 Ocala Street, Silver Spring,
Maryland. I'm a number of the Sligo-Branview
Community Association. I was president for
several years , and I'm speaking as an

1 individual.

I would like to just touch on some of the transportation issues, if I might, for my couple minutes. It's certainly the intent of the plan, which is said to provide incremental changes is a very good idea.

Our association worked very hard on trying to moderate some of the congestion in our area. Flower Avenue, which goes through the center of our community, we worked very hard with the state to try to get some traffic mitigation on that street and were pretty unsuccessful until we were able to work. The county helped us out and we put some traffic control devices on Flower Avenue. And we worked on Franklin Street, Franklin Avenue, when we were able to work again with the county to put some traffic dividers on Franklin Avenue.

And of course, this was quickly overridden when downtown Silver Spring decided to make Wayne Avenue and Flower Avenue like a

ring road, and Franklin Avenue a ring road to get around Silver Spring and onto the Beltway. So all the traffic just increased right again, much to our displeasure.

Part of the effort of this plan -we have a couple of failing intersections and
a near-failing intersection; Piney Branch and
University Boulevard will always be a failing
intersection. And of course, Sligo Creek and
Piney Branch is almost failing.

the plan talks about the critical lane volumes. And again, at Sligo and Piney Branch, the lane boundary is almost 1,500 critical lane volume. And at 1,600, it's feeling. So I'm not sure if you're aware, but Piney Branch backs all the way up into the Flower Avenue development now. So we are quite concerned about what this increased development is going to do for us.

We're also quite surprised at these road extensions they're building around Piney Branch, mainly because Piney Branch and

CHAIR CARRIER:

Thank you very

22

1 much.

Mr. Cabrera, please press the big button and give us your name to start. Let go of it now.

Is it on?

MR. CABRERA: Yes.

Good evening. My name is Alvaro

Cabrera. I am a CASA de Maryland member. I'm

on 8619 Piney Branch Road. I want to speak

about two things, housing and jobs.

I've been living in this area for 16 years. I don't want to be displaced. I'm now paying \$1,318 per month for my housing.

I really hope, and I'm asking you to not raise those rents, those monthly rents, even more.

With respect to jobs, it would be good for you to consider the local workforce in the development plan, in the construction of Purple Line.

Thank you. Good night.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very

22 much.

	Page 51
1	Okay, thank you all.
2	I'm going to call up
3	Marcy Stickle;
4	George French;
5	Jonathan Halpern;
6	Melinda Ulloa þ
7	excuse me.
8	PARTICIPANT: (off-mic comment.)
9	CHAIR CARRIER: She's working on
10	it
11	Alba Barillas;
12	Uriel Salas;
13	Miriam Lemus.
14	Okay, we've got a winner.
15	(Pause.)
16	CHAIR CARRIER: Ms. Stickle, Mr.
17	French who's speaking first?
18	Go right ahead.
19	MS. STICKLE: Marcy Stickle,
20	Advocacy Chair, Silver Spring Historical
21	Society.
22	The Silver Spring Historical

Society enthusiastically supports designating
the Flower Theater and Shopping Center in its
entirety in the Master Plan for Historic
Preservation. Joining with the unanimous
Historic Preservation Commission, HP staff
expert Clare Kelly and her superlative
research reports and the planning Board staff.

Center, National Register-eligible, was
launched as a vibrant community center and
continues in that tradition. It joins with
architects John Jacob Zink's Art Deco Atlas
theater and Shopping Center in the National
Register of Historic Places as a community
center, now referred to as the Atlas District
in the newly reenergized H Street in DC.
Likewise, Zink's colleague John Eberson's
Silver Theater and Silver Spring Shopping
Center is the same.

These comprehensive
theater-shopping center complexes are the
crown jewels of revitalization. Flower

Theater and Shopping Center includes our
elegant theater with incredible acoustics; the
unique El Golfo Restaurant, now a live music
venue with stage; bustling Laundromat;
optician shop; Bernstein's Bakery, now Mary's
Community Health Center; ever-popular Flower
Avenue Deli; hardware store, now the Cyber Web
Latino Caf,/el Rosal Boutique. Whelans
Pharmacy can restore its sweeping display case
windows and be enlivened by an imaginative,
adaptive reuse.

Importantly, urban renewal should not mean people removal. Long Branch is a vibrant multicultural community. Its vitality is of all the people, all the residents, not only some of the people. Its diverse nature is its heart and soul. Renewal should not be gentrification and loss of current housing and affordability with all its convenient amenities for all its residents.

Good Acre and Pine Ridge, and likely Fox Hall, were specifically designed to

welcome home returning veterans from World War
II. So, too, have they welcomed into their
new homes embracing long-time and arrived
energetic, hard-working immigrants and their
families. The 1946-1950 Veterans Emergency
Housing Act encouraged affordable garden
complexes by providing desirable financing,
FHA approved.

The 1947 Good Acre, Pine Ridge

Apartments were designed by the prestigious

architects Corning and Moore, approved by

James Hewitt, FHA, covering 10 acres. Rents

were \$80 on average for a two-bedroom unit.

Corning and Moore were leaders in designing garden apartments and million-dollar luxury apartments, churches, retail, commercial buildings, including the B'Nai B'rith Building, Watergate, Joseph Harris Store in Silver Spring, the Bradley Boulevard Shopping Center, and many more.

Flower Branch Apartments were originally called Freeman's Americana Flower.

Builder Carl Freeman and his architects

Kronstadtpand Collins were recognized experts
in garden-style apartments commencing 1954,
designed and built the Americana Modernist

Apartment, a model countrywide. They had a
land planning expert. Trendsetters, they
created a new breed of garden apartments.

In 1964, House and Home magazine named Freeman one of the top 12 builders in the United States. His Americana series were designed to fit into the landscape in a park setting. Americana Flower (1956) is designed into the verdant landscape with many mature trees adjacent to the county public pool, community center, library. Americana series features windows, walls, and balconies to bring the outside in. They tout one- to three-bedroom affordable apartments.

Americana Riggs and the University Flower were the first in the series.

MoCo Council and MNCPPC gave

Americana Glenmont a prestigious award.

Please see Kelly's extensive research on

Americana Apartments, and please see Freeman's

accolades, which will soon be showing up on

the screen.

The HPC recently voted Americana Glenmont be added to the Locational Atlas of Historic Properties.

CASA knows firsthand the value of adaptive reuse and the relationship of preservation and conservation and a sense of home. After tireless work to raise funds, CASA's headquarters are located in the restored historic McCormick-Longhart Mansion in the nearby Langley Park.

These ever-affordable garden apartment complex homes deserve further research by HPC staff for a garden apartment historic district, which we enthusiastically request and endorse.

I, too, am a Long Branch garden apartment dweller enjoying all amenities within very easy reach -- shopping, schools,

1 community center, pool, library, churches, pharmacy -- four corners of a lovely, lively Art Deco commercial district -- county parks, 4 playgrounds, myriad buses, Metro and Ride-On. It is imperative that all the vibrant, vital residents of Long Branch are secure and will remain in their truly affordable and beloved homes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Renewal should never be removal of the heart and soul of our community.

(Pause.)

MR. FRENCH: George French.

I also join with the many groups and individuals that support designation of the Flower Theater and Shopping Center on our Montgomery County Master Plan for Historic Preservation.

We actively supported designation during the East Silver Spring Master Plan process over 12 years ago, and testified at the HPC hearing in July 2010 when the Commission voted unanimously that this

resource has historical, cultural, and architectural significance and meets four county criteria for historic designation.

We also testified at the special session of the planning Board on this issue on December 2010, which I hope you will consider. In the intervening years, county historic preservation expert Clare Kelly expanded her scholarly research and analysis, inventory of historic properties report. And on this basis, this nomination was recently declared National Registry eligible. All together, this makes a compelling case for designation.

This same level of research and report needs to be undertaken for the other corners and corridors in Long Branch. The ZigZag Shopping Center across Flower Avenue from the theater is older, and the Morris Miller Center and others are worthy of study. Retaining these structures guarantees affordable space for multicultural independent businesses which are thriving today.

Likewise, the adjacent garden apartments along with the small businesses' centers that contribute to a vibrant community need research to develop a historical context for the 20th century historic resources, as outlined in the 2008 East Silver Spring Master Plan. SSHS has laid a promising groundwork that warrants attention and further study.

The HPC recently recommended adding the Americana Glenmont Apartments to the county locational atlas. The sister, Americana Flower, renamed Flower Branch Apartments, needs the same level of protection.

I reject the notion that rents can only be controlled by constructing new, higher density apartments with their minimal MPD use in the place of already existing affordable apartment communities. This would cause wholesale displacements, as the staff housing analysis predicts, presenting in net loss of 640 affordable units.

Separately, the advent Purple

Line, as staff mentioned, could result in a

30-percent rise in rental rates. Whether or

not the Purple Line is built and/or

development occurs in the Long Branch area,

officials have an obligation to find a

mechanism for keeping residential and business

rentals portable.

This could be in the form of extending to a rent stabilization policy to Long Branch or enforce mandatory rent increase guidelines. I propose a historic district for Long Branch comprised of the garden apartments in commercial portraits, with the Flower Shopping Center as centerpiece. This would have the double benefit of protecting her church properties and rental affordability. Both commercial and residential and thus preserving the character of wild branch community.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very

1 much.

2 Mr. Halpern?

MR. HALPERN: Good evening. My
name is John Halpern. I'm a resident of East
Silver Spring. A live two blocks from the
Long Branch commercial area. I'm active in my
civic association, Sligo-Branview, and a
member of its zoning committee, and the Purple
Line Advisory Committee for the last couple of
years.

CHAIR CARRIER: Are you speaking for the civic association?

MR. HALPERN: No. I was just getting to that. I'm speaking on my behalf. Okay. Go ahead. Also better and its infrastructure economist the last 30 years around the world, so I have a professional interest in helping to develop as well.

I think it's fair to say that I and my immediate support to the plan's vision and the bulk of the measures to revitalize Long Branch. But at this time, I limit my

comments to particular issues of concern. The first is inadequate provision for public parking in the draft sector plan itself, and the second is displacing placing and linkage of the sector plan with the Purple Line.

Regarding parking, the Long Branch commercial area has suffered worsening traffic congestion years. Brett Rouillier spoke about the specifics of that. But it's also suffered from wildly insufficient parking, and in a number of the strip malls, chaotic parking. There is nothing in the draft sector plan or the MPI studies to suggest that these problems would be really rooted by the measures that are currently in the sector. And if the developments envisioned actually materialize, parking congestion will probably get worse.

And the sector plan itself, from
what I understand, actually projected a
decline in public parking capacity. The draft
sector plan has a single bullet point
acknowledging the need for more and better

designed public parking. That doesn't have any specific plans. It would therefore be appropriate for the sector plan to include more specifics on public parking. That's the first point.

The second point is the linkage of the sector plan with the Purple Line. The sector plan is by and large motivated by and built around the future presence of the Purple Line.

The concern is the absence of a plan B in the sector plan. The draft plan proposes a two-phased approach to redevelopment, with Phase 2 commencing after the start of Purple Line operations. It is in a second phase that the lion's share lion's share of public investment in Sligo are slighted, particularly those related to widening our arterials, improving connectivity, pedestrian mobility, and safety. It's basically backloaded.

These measures are needed now

irrespective of when the Purple Line commences. Moreover, the Purple Line has already experience two years of delay and faces uncertain prospects given the lack of dedicated stiffening for capital and ongoing capital costs.

Moreover, the U.S. Congress has repeatedly failed to replenish funding for the the program, which is the primary source of funding construction of the Purple Line, and the prospects of Congress doing so the next few years are limited.

Okay. Thank you.

It would therefore be appropriate and useful for the sector plan to include a plan B in terms of public investment in zoning changes, which could be implemented in the absence of the Purple Line if it doesn't materialize.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Mr.

22 Halpern.

And you are?

MS. ULLOA: My name is Melinda

Ulloa. And let me just say how thrilled I am

that the Montgomery County Planning Board and

the Montgomery County Council are finally

working to improve the Long Branch area. I've

lived in Takoma Park for 13 years, and both of

my children went to school in Long Branch.

The neighborhood definitely needs attention. I do want to say that I also agree that it should be open, whether or not the Purple Line comes through, seeing that the funding may actually not make it.

The neighborhood desperately, desperately needs a helping hand. The vibrant community that inhabits Long Branch needs your help, and the surrounding communities will benefit from a positive transformation of the neighborhood.

In particular, I would like to say that I think the Flower Avenue Shopping Mall is should serve as a focal point for

development. I do not let my children walk
through that part of the neighborhood now
because I think it's too dangerous, the
traffic patterns for crossing the street at
the corners of Piney Branch and Flower Avenue
at any point, to navigate.

The liquor store, including the flower shop, attract and less desirable elements of the neighborhood. The substandard street conditions, potholes, and substandard parking lots adjacent to shopping contribute to the situation. It is in desperate need of a makeover.

I encourage the Council to enter into serious conversation with the owner of the theater and all to come to an agreement as to how to proceed with its development.

Whether or not the entire shopping mall or just the theater, it should be designated historic. It would be lovely to have a working theater and art space with live music or quality restaurants and businesses.

The community welcomes faithfriendly, open space that will contribute to
the well-being of businesses and patrons
frequenting those businesses.

The traffic patterns along Flower,
Piney Branch, University, are a disaster.
Anybody who lives there were has to drive
through or walk is constantly in danger
because it is so congested. The backup on
Piney Branch in the morning, as well as in the
afternoon for the commute, does back up all
the way to the Long Branch Community Center,
and the Planning Board really does need to
address these issues.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you. Ms.

17 Lemus?

MS. LEMUS: My name is Maria

Lemus, and I'm one of the owners of the small

business at Piney Branch, 8515 Piney Branch

Road. I've been there for a long time, like

25 years, and I am just wondering about how

CHAIR CARRIER: Because they -
you're not speaking on behalf of the people in

the Giant Foods. Okay, then you may come up.

They're going to be at the end because they asked for extra time.

(Pause.)

CHAIR CARRIER: Okay, Ms. Perez, whenever you're ready.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Good evening. Pat Harris with Lerch, Early, and Brewer. I wanted to take a moment to address several of our overarching observations regarding the Long Branch Sector Plan. We appreciate the staffps work on the sector plan and applaud the goals and objectives but are concerned that a number of the goals have been established with no consideration given to the economic realities of the Long Branch area.

More so than other areas

Montgomery County, including several that have recently gone through the sector plan amendment process, such as Wheaton and

Kensington, the Long Branch area of the County of struggling economically. Given the lack of commitment to Long Branch over the years, the land values of Long Branch are some of the lowest in the county. This suggests that the reinvestment in Long Branch will inevitably be more challenging than another more prosperous area.

apparent acknowledgment of these factors, the sector plan imposes certain financial burdens on Long Branch property owners in connection with the future development of their properties. Notably, these requirements are not part of other sector plan requirements in other more economically sound areas of the county. I'd like to touch on a few of these.

The draft plan recommends

15-percent MPDU used as a requirement. There is no question that affordable housing is needed through the county, especially in this area. There are existing sites within the

sector plan area which are exclusively commercial, and redevelopment of these sites to mixed-use would only be providing more residential opportunities.

Therefore, we ask the planning board to consider recommending 50 percent requirement only on those sites currently developed with housing, which may redevelop and cause displacement.

The MPD lot recognizes that additional MPDUs are provided at a cost, which is why the law provides for 22-percent bonus density when 15 percent MPD is provided.

Accordingly, to the extent that a 15-percent MPDU requirement is recommended, it should be accompanied by a corresponding 22-percent increase in the overall density, which you should be specifically provided for in the sector plan.

The second requirement, which was touched on previously, is the golf lead requirement for all redevelopment. The county

adopted the goal of the green building law several years ago, requiring most buildings to be LEED certified or the equivalent. As a matter of course, many applicants can commit to achieving Silver LEED during the approval process.

There is a significant cost differential in achieving Silver versus Gold. And while we understand the importance of achieving a sustainable environment, the county must prioritize what is most important and certain areas. If it is determined that affordable housing is most important in this area -- and I would contend that it is -- then affordable housing should be the focus of the sector plan. Requiring LEED Gold imposes yet another financial burden on an area where redevelopment is already questionable.

Finally, the sector plan
recommends the creation of public parking on
the Superblock without, it appears, any real
understanding of the economics. And while

above-grade structure parking is slightly less than below-grade, I think that this issue needs to be readdressed.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Okay, Mr. Ozberk.

MR. OZBERK: For the record, my name is Erkin Ozberk. I'm a planner with the City of Takoma Park. Tonight, I am representing the city, filling in for Jared Smith, who is a council member for Ward 5, which includes the southwest corner of the Long Branch Sector Plan within the City of Takoma Park.

I would first like to thank the

Montgomery County Planning Board and staff for

developing the sector plan to address the

well-being of the existing community and

future redevelopment, growth, and investment

in the Long Branch area.

The southwest corner of Flower
Avenue and Piney Branch Road is both an

important gateway into the city and an integral quadrant of the Long Branch town center and community. City investments in the area include the recent redevelopment of 8435 Piney Branch Road that leveraged \$100,000 of community legacy grant funds from the state, the upcoming installation of a gateway public art piece, as well as pedestrian and storm water improvement included in our Flower Avenue Green Street Project.

supportive of the Long Branch Sector Plan and particularly the recommendations would inclusion of bilingual way-finding signage and increasing the affordable housing provision to 15 percent by the MPDU program. Nevertheless, we're concerned that affordable housing be maintained for existing residents the Piney Branch area and strongly urge the County Executive and County Council to allocate funding and other resources for affordable housing preservation and development in the

1 Long Branch area.

On Monday night, Takoma Park City
Council passed a resolution urging the
adoption of a plan with the following two
conditions:

First, change the designation of Piney Branch Road and Flower Avenue Southwest from a long-term to an interim development site. Situated in the Piney Branch town center at this site includes commercially zoned properties at 8640 Flower Avenue and 8435 Piney Branch Road, identified as number four in Map 17 plan on page 71, and also described in detail on page 73.

Changing the designation will initiate rezoning for the site through the first sectional map amendment upon adoption of the Piney Branch Sector Plan. This recommendation is in keeping with the rationale for interim development sites outlined and the plan. This underutilized site sits at an intersection with existing

high-transit use served by six Ride-On routes and the J4 MetroExtra route. Rezoning this site in the interim can expedite the completion of Winding Hill Way as a public alley for redevelopment, improving mobility and productivity in the Piney Branch town center. Consisting of one- and two-story commercial uses, implementing the recommendation for higher density mixed use at the site in the interim can also contribute to building the reservoir of affordable housing via the MPDU Program in advance of Purple Line construction.

The second condition is to revise the text and the plan as described in Exhibit A appended to the City's resolution, which was transmitted to the Chair earlier this week.

These revisions deal primarily with factual updates to the plan associated with Flower Avenue and the City's Green Street Project.

The City of Takoma Park appreciates the opportunity to address you

Edgar Garcia. I live at 8104 Tahona Drive.

22

Gregory Baker;

22

	Page 79
1	Elizabeth Fall;
2	Perry Berman;
3	Leslie Miles;
4	Gilberto Martinez;
5	Mr. Baker?
6	MR. BAKER: Good evening, Madam
7	Chair and fellow Planning Board members. I'm
8	here representing Montgomery Housing
9	Partnership. I just want to confirm that I
10	have five minutes.
11	CHAIR CARRIER: Yes, you do.
12	MR. BAKER: Okay, thank you.
13	CHAIR CARRIER: (Off-mic comment.)
14	MR. BAKER: I will try to.
15	As I mentioned, I represent
16	Montgomery Housing Partnership. I want to
17	thank you for allowing us to speak before you
18	today. Today regarding the plan.
19	Our mission is basically to
20	preserve and expand affordable housing in
21	Montgomery County. We've done this
22	successfully over the years through three main

things: housing people, empowering families, and strengthening neighborhoods. Over the past 10 years, we have invested over \$40 million in public and private funds in Long Branch and Silver Spring through the acquisition and substantial rehabilitation of 22 apartment buildings.

In these various areas, we have also engaged residents through the formation of resident associations and a business league. And we Road up our sleeves in tandem with them to improve the conditions of the neighborhood.

So, first, I'd like to commend the Planning Department staff on the staff draft, which we believe establishes a solid vision for the future of Long Branch, building on its strengths while also helping them realize its full potential.

But we did want to speak on three items in particular, one of them being affordable housing, the other being commercial

development, and lastly, regarding our own communities.

With respect to affordable
housing, we feel that the plan could propose
a more robust set of policies to bolster and
preserve existing housing as well as
proactively plan for future housing in light
of private market development pressures that
may change the affordable housing landscape
once the Purple Line is built. We feel, for
instance, that the 15 percent MPDU dedication,
pursuant to the optional method, could
actually be higher, 20 percent, for instance,
when near transit lines.

We feel that a dialogue is needed between the public and private sectors to determine the elasticity of the 15-present number, particularly if there are incentives that can be offered to make this more feasible for the development community.

Let's sit down and take a closer look at affordable housing strategies that

have been utilized in other parts of the country. For instance, in-fill development, land trusts, land banking, equity funds, no net loss of affordable housing policies, public-private partnerships, working with faith-based institutions, low- or no-interest loans to property owners for home improvements in exchange for affordable housing set-asides. These are just some of the methods and strategies used by other jurisdictions throughout the country to preserve and protect affordable housing.

MHP, through a project funded by the United Way, had begun engaging residents in Long Branch through a community-wide survey on the topic of the Purple Line and preserving affordable housing. We will be delving further into these discussions with the ultimate goal of producing policies that would have to preserve affordable housing in Long Branch and in other areas within Montgomery County where the transit lines may be

development developed. We welcome a partnership with the planning department and the county, other non-profits, and interested stakeholders in this discussion.

Next, regarding commercial development, we have been working with the small business owners through one of our neighborhood improvement programs, particularly the Long Branch business league. The area is referred to as the Long Branch Town Center and the Piney Branch Village nodes within the plan.

The challenge is this: how do you preserve existing strengths in the diversity of the small business community while also stimulating re investment and new uses that will appeal to a wider market, making Long Branch more of a destination as opposed to a purely convenience/commercial area is the key. This requires a concerted effort at place-making that will take incremental public and private investments over the long-term.

As part of our efforts, we have partnered with arts organizations in the City of Tacoma Park and other stake holders to bring about an interest in arts-based revitalization. We expect a mural, and as was mentioned earlier, a public sculpture to go up within the year; the mural, within the next month.

So we also feel that the Long
Branch theatre is a great asset which could
shore up and jumpstart the art-based
revitalization.

while we do not forward as stance on the theater's historic qualities or the plan's preference for historic historic preservation, we do feel that historic designation of the full complex -- meaning the theater and the strip center -- may impede if not fully stunt long-term or even near-term development.

Rather, we feel that what would be beneficial is a dialogue with the owner and

key stakeholders, such as the county, the
planning department, regarding incentives to
assist redevelopment of the complex in return
for uses that benefit the community and
establish the basis of a destination place.
For instance, we looked at what was done with
the Fillmore Theater and wonder if something
similar could be done in Long Branch.

On that same theme, the Urban Land study recommended a 3.0 FAR for commercial.

We're wondering if that level of FAR could be advanced, for instance, to the complex in an agreement with the county and the owners to stimulate development at that site, again, in exchange for further incentives, such as further affordable housing.

Does that mean I'm --

CHAIR CARRIER: That means your

19 time is up.

MR. BAKER: Okay. Yes.

21 CHAIR CARRIER: And you can bring

it to a close. Do you have one more thought?

1	MR. BAKER: Just the last thought.
2	We're opposed to, we have four properties at
3	Glenville Road. We do not like the idea of
4	connecting Glenville Road to Piney Branch.
5	There's more details in a letter that I'll
6	leave with you about that.
7	CHAIR CARRIER: I would like to
8	suggest to everyone that if you have your
9	testimony in writing, you're welcome to submit
10	it. The staff summarizes all the testimony
11	for us, and it's, I'm sure, easier for them to
12	see it in writing.
13	MEMBER DREYFUSS: I have just a
14	quick question for MHP.
15	Were those projects re-do's or
16	rebuilt, the ones you
17	MR. BAKER: The 22 buildings?
18	MEMBER DREYFUSS: Yes.
19	MR. BAKER: Yeah, they were
20	basically adapted, they were all
21	rehabilitated.
22	MEMBER DREVEUSS: Rehabilitated

1 Okay. Thank you.

2 CHAIR CARRIER: Ms. Fall?

MS. FALL: My name is Lisa Fall,
and I am a resident and Sligo-Branview but I'm
speaking as an individual.

I'm excited about the coming of
the Purple Line and the redevelopment of the
Long Branch business district, but I feel
strongly that the CRT zone that would allow
for buildings up to 60 feet is not appropriate
for the west side of Flower. Site 3 on page
72 in the redevelopment plan draft is adjacent
to a role of about 10 single-family homes that
will cause serious problems for the residents.

The current zoning is much more appropriate, as it caps the height limit at 45 feet and does not allow for additional residents. To add a six-story building immediately behind a street of single-family homes is a very dramatic change, not the transitional that's proposed in the draft. It would negatively impact the single-family

1 residences on Geren Road.

Buildings adjacent to the site for a downward slope the homes adjacent to the site or on a downward slope, so building of any height actually is perceived as much higher from the point of view of the residents residences. An 89 as a proposed unit apartment building is far too dense for this small of an area.

Parking -- as it is now, there's very little parking on Geren Road, which is the road immediately behind Site 3. It is a two-way street that is essentially reduced to one lane because of all the current street parking.

There's already an apartment building at the bottom of Geren Road, and the entire street accommodates much of their overflow.

In addition to this, Geren Road is a very popular road with pedestrians and it will only increasingly so, with the

rejuvenation of the business district.

Creating a situation that could dramatically increase car traffic on such a small road with no sidewalks and no curbs poses a danger to us

5 all.

Adding such a tall building on the site will but most of the homes behind it in perpetual shade. The great backyard spaces that drew us to this neighborhood will be compromised.

As it is now, the commercial buildings behind our homes generate a lot of noise pollution, but there's at least a reprieve at night as the businesses slow down.

The trash for all the residents of the proposed building, I assume, will be in the back of the building, once again causing an imposition on the backyards of the current residence. With the amount of people the proposed zoning allows, I can only imagine the amount of trash that will be produced.

Finally, my family has lived in

this area for only four years. We have tried to become active members of the community by joining the Sligo-Branview Association and are frequent patrons of many of the Long Branch businesses. We appreciate the rich diversity of the area and hope to grow our family here.

As the draft states, there's a goal of protecting the existing community character and the quality of life that both the residents and visitors enjoy today, and I hope you will keep that in mind that you consider the zoning for Section 3.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you.

Mr. Berman.

MR. BERMAN: Good evening. For the record, my name is Perry Berman, and I'm a land-use planning consultant. Today I'm speaking for HOC. HOC has asked me to testifying on a variety of master plans and development issues so that there would be more integrated in your everyday work. They are an

owner of a building, an apartment on 8401

Manchester Road, and I'd like to talk about that.

First, I think I'd like to compliment the staff of the planning for doing this master plan. Clearly, from the testimony you hear tonight, I think this is an excellent time for this kind of discussion as to the direction of the community, and I think the master plan review is a perfect time to do that.

the key goals of sector plan, and I would like to just talk about them that address the needs and desires of a diverse population, to preserve and improve the community's affordable housing, and to provide various housing options that serve all the residents at different life stages.

HOC's position is that's what we do, and would like to do more of that in this area. We'd like to do it at our site, and

we'd would like to do it with other property owners in this area. We feel that this is an excellent location to improve our existing facility and to work with existing property owners to do joint private-public partnerships in that area.

In terms of our Manchester Manor project, it's about an acre of land. We bought it at a distress sale. It's a very old apartment. There are 53 units. Your zoning recommendation or land use recommendation will increase the number of units from 53 to 80. In our judgment, that's not enough to really probably spur a redoing of that project. We have to make a decision shortly. Our tax increment financing limit expires in a couple of years, and we have to make a decision on how to proceed with this kind of project.

We requested an increase in the residential density and height. We would like very much to use this building and this property is a hub for other kinds of social

1 services in the area as it redevelops.

One of the biggest concerns we have about this property is the staging recommendations in the plan, and we're will make that about the Chevy Chase Plan as well. We don't believe that affordable housing should be staged until the opening of the Purple Line, or projects associated with affordable housing.

We feel that the Purple Line is important to a transportation need. But every day, our lists are frozen and we have real needs today, and we'd like to be able to move our projects quicker than waiting for the Purple Line to come.

We are willing to work with the community and your staff to work on the joint development applications and the redesign of our future project.

I thank you very much, and I'll give you back one minute and 30 seconds.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you, Mr.

Berman. You know you get points for brevity.

Ms. Miles?

MS. MILES: Thank you, Madam chair. I am Leslie Miles. I am Chair of the Historic Preservation Commission. On July 14, 2010, the Historic Preservation Commission evaluated the Flower Theater and Shopping Center for historic designation. After holding a public hearing and work session, the commission voted unanimously in favor of designating the theater and shopping center on the Master Plan for Historic Preservation.

The commission finds that this historic resource meets criteria 1A, 2A, 2B, and 2E of the preservation ordinance, having both historic and architectural significance.

This was a post-war park-and-shop complex first designed in 1948 and built to serve as a community center. It was Main Street, and shopkeepers provided a wide range of services for local residents. The shopping center has the only remaining Woolworth's in

the county, and the Woolworth's lettering is preserved in the terrazzo floor entrances.

The Flower Shopping Center also contains the oldest surviving Giant Food store in the county, which opened in January 1950.

environmental setting of the entire 2.4 acre parcel on which the resources located. The commission also requests the Planning Board and the Flower Theater and Shopping Center to the locational atlas and index of historic sites as an interim measure until the Council has taken action on the proposed designation.

The County Council previously identified the Flower Theater as a potential historic resource in the East Silver Spring Master Plan of 2000. This resource is now being evaluated as a historic site in the context of the Long Branch Sector Plan, which will provide for redevelopment and new opportunities in association with the proposed Purple Line.

The commission supports the public hearing draft plan, which identifies the historic Flower Theater and Shopping Center as a public asset that strengthens local character and community identity. Through careful planning and design, this historic site can be an engine of, and not obstacle to, the neighborhood's revitalization. where similar resources have contributed to vibrant communities are all around us, from the Silver Theater and Shopping Center right here to the Atlas Theater and Shops at H Street, Northeast in Washington, to Cary Park and Shop in Richmond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The commission recognizes the need to exercise flexibility in evaluating proposals for reuse of the theater and shopping center. Therefore, we support adoption of design guidelines to guide future redevelopment and rehabilitation.

Thank you -- and I'm returning to minutes 41 seconds.

Adam Perez;

22

	Page 99
1	Silvia Cabrera;
2	Magali Benitez;
3	Luis Gustava Alvarez; and
4	Jose Carballo.
5	(Pause.)
6	CHAIR CARRIER: All those names,
7	and I only got one.
8	(Off mic comments.)
9	CHAIR CARRIER: Oh, he was on the
10	list twice then. Okay.
11	No, there was a Sylvia Cabrera on
12	my list. Maybe she's coming.
13	(Pause.)
14	CHAIR CARRIER: Did you already
15	speak?
16	MR. CABRERA: (Off-mic comment.)
17	I'm sorry.
18	CHAIR CARRIER: That's okay.
19	Is Sylvia here?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIR CARRIER: Okay. Is Zorayda
22	Moreira-Smith here?

	Page 100
1	(No response.)
2	(Pause.)
3	CHAIR CARRIER: I'm going to try a
4	few of the names of people who weren't hear
5	when I called at the beginning, just in case.
6	Rosalba Guzman oh, look, we
7	have one more.
8	(Pause.)
9	CHAIR CARRIER: Ivan Zacarias?
10	I think that's it.
11	Alba Barillas.
12	And last one, Urial Salas.
13	Okay, that's the last
14	MR. MARTINEZ: (Off mic comment.)
15	CHAIR CARRIER: No. I've called
16	everybody oh, there's one.
17	Karina Velasco?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIR CARRIER: Okay.
20	(Pause.)
21	CHAIR CARRIER: Is there someone I
22	didn't call? Oh, I'm sorry. I was on the

	Page 101
1	wrong last page.
2	What's your name, sir?
3	PARTICIPANT: (Off mic comment.)
4	CHAIR CARRIER: Yes, there you
5	are. I beg your pardon. I got a new second
6	page, but I was looking at the wrong one.
7	All right, is there a Mary
8	Reardon?
9	(Pause.)
10	CHAIR CARRIER: No. She's at the
11	bottom. Yes, we are reaching the end, believe
12	it or not.
13	Okay. Sir?
14	MR. CARBALLO: Good evening. My
15	name is Lindolfo Carballo.
16	I live at 9046 Piney Branch Road,
17	Apartment 102, Silver Spring, Maryland. I'm
18	a member and representative of the Fair
19	Development Coalition, a coalition of
20	faith-based organizations, non-profit
21	institutions, small businesses, and residents
22	from both Montgomery and Prince George's

Counties. On Wednesday, January 16, 2013, more than 60 members of the Fair Development Coalition participated in a community meeting organized by the Montgomery County Planning Department staff, where this proposed sector plan for our Long Branch neighborhood was presented.

According to the staff of
Montgomery County Planning Department, the
planning would improve our neighborhood in
ways never seen before, such as enhancing our
community's way of living, our land use,
zoning, and character, our mobility and
sustainability. In short, this is how they
are proposing to do it.

Phase 1 -- build two town centers, the Long Branch Town Center and the Piney
Branch Neighborhood Village. Phase 2 will happen after the light railroad, Purple Line, is built if it's built at all. And that will convert almost the entire area from a commercial zone to a mixed-use zone. That's

1 if the proposed Purple Line is built.

Any building redevelopment will be converted into commercial and residential buildings. Any new construction would be developed as a commercial residential building. Any old or new construction could be as high as 60 feet. If development uses the Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit Program, or MPDU, for any new development, then 15 percent of all units will be affordable to households earning up to 65 percent of the median income.

But what does this mean? In that plan, there is no protection proposed for current tenants, no protection proposed for current small business, very little safe measures to come to both proposed town centers. According to the Montgomery County Planning Department, if private developers decide not to use the MPDU Program after the Purple Line is built, there will be a net loss of market-rate affordable housing, which is

1 going to be about 180 apartments.

Today, our current median rental rates for our four bedrooms that are there, targeted buildings, of those complexes in Long Branch would be impacted by this proposed plan.

What our Fair Development

Coalition is asking for, or a commitment from
this Board, is to implement phase 1 and 2 just
in one phase, whether the Purple Line is built
or not. But with zero displacement of current
residence, preservation and an increase in
affordable housing, zero displacement current
small business, zero net loss of existing
local jobs, and save CASA de Maryland
welcoming center.

As you know, when you develop these two town centers, there is the mart is going to be lost.

So thank you very much.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very

22 much. Ma'am, Ms. Zorayda Smith.

low-income tenants, and domestic workers, among others.

the sector plan's goal is to capture the dreams, hopes, and aspirations for Long Branch. However, and unfortunately, the sector plan, as is, fails. The plan in its current state allows and encourages the displacement of thousands of existing members.

CASA has four main issues to address. One, CASA Silver Spring Welcome Center; two, affordable housing; three, the small business community; and four, the safety measures for pedestrians.

First, CASA strongly opposes the plan's recommendations to acquire CASA Silver Spring Welcome Center. This is unacceptable to be and the plan. CASA Silver Spring Welcome Center opened its doors to the community in 1994, 19 years ago. The recommendation re-acquire the subject is not a viable option for us.

Second, the plan fails to properly

address the affordable housing crisis. The plan states that "redevelopment will result in the losses of 882 existing unit for affordable to low to moderate income households." The only affordable housing recommendation the plan offers is the MPDU program. But more importantly, the MPDU program only helps in circumstances of new construction. There are no protections in place for existing rental units to stop steep rent hikes.

As a solution, CASA offers five recommendations -- one, the creation of an affordable housing preservation plan; two, manage and develop intervention purchase programs for properties in Long Branch, including both single-family and multifamily properties -- the County should commit to target its resources and focus on investing in the Long Branch community to ensure long-term affordability; three, increase the percentage of MPDU units recommended; four, I know this is a hot issue, but rent stabilization; five,

make Long Branch revitalization efforts a model for the County Executive's pledge to ensure no net loss of affordable housing.

Third, the plan fails to protect the testing small local business community.

Long Branch is comprised of over 100 small businesses. The plan calls for the preservation of small businesses. However, the plan fails miserably in protecting the existing small businesses.

As a solution, CASA offers three recommendations. One, focus on the retention and preservation to the existing small businesses; two, the creation of the public market and one of the town centers; three, an MPDU-like program for the existing small businesses to ensure affordable rental space in new development.

Fourth, the plan fails to provide sufficient safety measures for the diverse pedestrians once the Purple Line is constructed. The Long Branch community is a

walking community and is a very high-traffic community without the Purple Line, making it unsafe for walkers.

As a solution, CASA offers the following three recommendations: One, all transportation signs should be multilingual; two, the crosswalks must be multilingual; and three, two walking bridges should be well, one over University Boulevard at the intersection of Piney Branch and University, and two possibly at the second Purple Line station over Piney Branch at Arliss Road.

Lastly, CASA strongly recommends
the Planning Board to ensure that all planning
documents be provided in Spanish and all
Planning Board meetings have an interpreter
available.

The existing Long Branch community is an active one. The community has participated, is participating, and will continue participating throughout this process.

1 In conclusion, CASA opposes the 2 reacquisition of the CASA Silver Spring 3 Welcome Center and recommends more protections for preservation of affordable housing and 4 5 preservation for existing small businesses. In essence, CASA recommends the preservation 6 7 of the existing community. Also, for your review, I am 8 9 submitting a small business report, and also 10 a report on creative ways to deal with 11 affordable housing. 12 Thank you for your time tonight. 13 CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you. 14 Ms. Reardon? 15 MS. REARDON: My name is Mary 16 Reardon and I'm a resident of Silver Spring 17 and a vice president of Montgomery 18 Preservation, Inc. I'm speaking for the 19 organization. 20 Do I get five minutes? 21 CHAIR CARRIER: Yes, you do. 22 MS. REARDON: MPI, Montgomery

Preservation, is a countywide preservation advocacy organization. MPI strongly supports the recommendation of the Long Branch draft Sector Plan to designate the Flower Theater and Shopping Center as an historic resource. The Flower complex should be listed on the county's master plan for historic preservation to ensure that this valuable resource is protected and that nearby development is sensitive to its architectural integrity.

Preservation has long recognized the significance of the Flower complex.

Several preservation organizations, for example, testified for historic designation when the East Silver Spring Master Plan was being considered in 2000. We now have an opportunity to finally designate this resource.

I live in Baltimore, where the Senator Theater, designed by prominent theater architect John J. Zink, is acknowledged as one of the city's architectural jewels. So I was

delighted to learn after moving here that there's also a Zink-designed movie theater in Silver Spring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Senator, which is on the National Register, is currently undergoing renovations with the support of Baltimore civic activists, the city council, and the business community, all of whom saw its historic architectural significance. nearby shopping center, largely one-story buildings, is a vibrant destination for patrons from throughout the city and nearby suburbs. And if you look at the graceful shape of the Senator and the Flower, you will realize that we can't just designate or preserve the fa‡ades. It has to be the entire building, and that goes for the shopping center as well.

The Flower Theater is no less significant than the Senator and, in our estimation, is in the same league aesthetically as any other John J. Zink

creation. Recall that the Silver Theater in downtown Silver Spring and the Art Deco Shopping Center it anchors together created the centerpiece and gateway to a revitalized downtown. The Flower complex can be the anchor of a revitalized Long Branch commercial core also, preserving the area's unique identity while incorporating development.

The story of community, regional, and neighborhood shopping centers is one of the most fascinating chapters in American urban history. The historic designation nomination for the Flower complex provides an excellent overview of the Flower's please in that story. We should never obliterate this physical manifestation of a key period in the evolution of the community-serving shopping center, when movie theaters and shopping centers were combined in the post-war period.

This collection of buildings recalls and preserves our history, and I know that the Preservation Commission staff

envisions preservation combined with development. Moreover, relatively recent changes to the fa‡ade of the stores are reversible, and likely, they left the original features intact.

The structure should be considered as a unit for purposes of designation and treatment. The theater was intended from the beginning as an integral part of the shopping center, with complementary materials and details and consistency of design.

We hope that Long Branch's revitalization does not create an unrecognizable Long Branch. There's much to value and Long Branch. The draft sector plan states, for example, that many Long Branch businesses are quite popular regionally; notably, its ethnic groceries and restaurants. Moreover, it's home to a diverse population, many of whom could conceivably be displaced in this process.

Availability of future MPDUs and

high-rise complexes does little to assuage the sting of displacement, and it's doubtful that the required MPDUs will be sufficient to make of all units lost in redevelopment. In a market economy, it's sometimes difficult to prevent this, but it's very possible that a case could be made for the significance of Flower Branch, Piney Branch, and Fox Hall Apartments to Long Branch's history. If that case can be successfully made for historic designation, a bonus result could be a chance for the prevention of displacement.

Revitalization should never mean massive rent increases or destruction of affordable housing. I think there's enough evidence uncovered by Historic Preservation staff in the Silver Spring Historical Society that these four properties, these four apartment complexes, warrant future study.

And we need a diversity of housing types. We need to keep a lot of these garden apartments.

There was a time in history when these would

be considered dense development. This is not an inefficient use of land.

that Montgomery Preservation urges the Board to recommend that the Flower Theater and Shopping Center as an integrated unit be added to the County's Master Plan for Historic Preservation and that this recommendation be reflected in the final sector plan. Also, in the interim, I hope you will put this complex on the locational atlas to protect it while the revitalization is proceeding.

Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very much.

Mr. Flores?

MR. FLORES: Flores?

CHAIR CARRIER: Yes.

MR. FLORES: Good evening. My
name is Robinson Flores. I am a member of
CASA de Maryland. I am speaking as an
individual. I have a small business in 8723

Flower Avenue, which is next to the Flower

Theater. So it looks more likely I will be

affected directly in my business but we try to

come up with this master plan.

I've been there for almost 18

years in that location. What can happen to

me, the owner, in that particular place, I'd

be displaced. I'd have to moving to

somewhere, I'd be more likely in limbo. I

don't know what can happen like me, like a

small business in the area.

So what is the solution -
MEMBER ANDERSON: What is your
business, sir?

MR. FLORES: We provide eye exams, contact lenses and fittings. I am an optician. We have a doctor there in the office who works for us, and we've provided services for almost 18 years, as I say, for our community in that particular area.

So that's more likely my concern, you know, what will be the renovations coming

And just one more thing.

I don't

22

want them to take away CASA de Maryland
because it helps so many of us live close to
there.

Instead of building the Purple

Line, why don't they redevelop our apartments,

make them nicer, and keep them at low enough

rents for us?

And that's it. Thank you.

CHAIR CARRIER: Okay. Thank you very much.

I'd like to call Stacy Silber.

(Pause.)

MS. SILBER: Good evening. For the record, my name is Stacy Silber. I'm with the law firm of Lerch, Early, and Brewer, and I'm here today on behalf of the owner of the Flower Avenue Shopping Center property and theater.

The ownership owns both the Flower Shopping Center and Theater as well as a parking lot that's on the corner of Arliss and Flower Avenue. With me here today is Mr. Greg

Fernabach, who's sitting to my right, who represents the owner, Mr. Robert Sponseller, an architect with Shalom Baranes Associates, and Dr. David Rotenstein, an architectural historian.

We respectfully suggest that staff has done a great job of beginning the conversation among Long Branch. But the sector plan needs revision to ensure that the enterprise zone, which is Long Branch, constitutes and has the opportunities of other areas of the county.

We object to full preservation of the shopping center and theater or placement of the property in the locational atlas, as recommended, for the reasons we will discuss today.

With the Flower Avenue Shopping

Center property, all of the recommendations

are driven by the singly focused presumption

that the property will be designated. And

frankly, this designation fails to balance all

of the many public policies that must be
weighed in the sector plan process -- policies
such as increasing housing, and affordable
housing, as recommended by the county's
housing policy, encouraging revitalization in
an enterprise zone, and ensuring that
regulations do not discourage reinvestment.

Avenue properties and how to encourage reinvestment, including ULI and the Long Branch Task Force. None of these have recommended preservation of the shopping center and theater in total. All have concluded that revitalization is essential and that a spark is needed to encourage redevelopment. The sector plan and the possibility of a Purple Line present that opportunity for a spark that has been accomplished elsewhere in the county.

The pictures that you are seeing are pictures of the shopping center today, and it's a tired center. What you may not know is

that it provides an economic return. And unless there are real incentives to encourage revitalization here, and reinvestment, it's not going to happen.

The other item that you may not know is that the county has actually funded fa‡ade modifications over time. Ironically, we're now discussing returning or restoring to whence it once was.

I wanted to just comment one thing we've heard today. There have been multiple efforts to bring back the theater, to release the space. It's obviously in the owner's interest to have that space leased. The issue has been that he's had really no ability to attract anyone at this time.

One of the issues has been that the space has been, there's nothing there anymore. There's no interior in terms of a theater. There's nothing to preserve because it's no longer there. And to bring it back up to an ADA-accessible level is upwards of a

half a million dollars. So, if there's an interest in trying to do something, we're going to need some county funding, as happened with Fillmore where there was a \$4 million contribution.

The experts are going to get into specifically the various items that we've discussed. The one thing that I wanted to discuss is that Mr. Sponseller is going to show one of our schematics, and it shows actually a designation we were proposing, of the fa‡ade of the theater and the two shoulders.

But it does not suggest and we do not support preservation of an environmental setting at the entire shopping center and theater. We believe that will willfully thwart redevelopment, and we believe there are other ways to ensure that the theater fa‡ade and shoulder remain the prominent feature. And we will be suggesting some sector plan design guidelines that will ensure that the

Planning Board, not the HPC, retains control over guiding redevelopment of the property while at the same time ensuring that the fa‡ade remains the focal point.

At this time, I'd like to turn over our presentation over to Dr. Rotenstein.

DR. ROTENSTEIN: Thank you. For the record, my name is David Rotenstein, and I'm a historian and architectural historian.

Briefly, my credentials exceed the Secretary of the Interior's professional qualification standards in history and architectural history. I have 29 years of experience in historic preservation, and I have served two full terms of the Montgomery County HPC, including one year as its chair.

I was retained after the July 2010
HPC hearing. The commission recommended
designating the Flower Theater and Shopping
Center in the Montgomery County Master Plan
for Historic Preservation and placing the
property in the locational atlas and index of

1 historic sites.

My first task was to evaluate the research Historic Preservation staff provided to the HPC. The result of that evaluation was presented in a report I prepared for the property owner. That report subsequently was submitted to planning department staff.

I found that the research upon which the HPC based its recommendations to designate was incomplete and not defensible.

Information introduced in my report included the fact that at least three architects -- not one, as suggested by Historic Preservation staff in its first report to the HPC -- were responsible for designing the theater and shopping center.

My 2010 report also contained considerable new information about the property owners' business history and the context within which the theater and shopping center were conceived and executed.

This slide illustrates ownership

and control over time and encapsulates the substantial amount of research that we have conducted. My comments this evening relate mainly to the Flower Shopping Center portion of the property. It is still my professional opinion, despite revised staff research presented in a substantially rewritten research form completed in 2011, that the entire property does not merit designation in the Master Plan for Historic Preservation.

As this slide shows, the property developed in three phases and four parts between 1950 and 1954. The New Jersey architecture firm Frank Grad and Sons designed the shopping center's southern portion and presumably the space first occupied by Giant Foods, now Algolfo, and a Laundromat.

The Flower Theater was designed by Baltimore architect John Zink. The Giant, the theater, and the stores at the southern end of the shopping center opened in 190. A retail base south of the theater block remained

unbuilt until 1954 when a Woolworth's store designed by Washington architect Edwin Weihe was completed.

The Flower Shopping Center is a common and unremarkable example of post-war commercial architecture. The park-and-shop concept introduced in the 1930s was nearly two decades old by the time the Flower Center was built. Notable examples of this property type include Silver Spring Shopping Center, which was completed in 1938 and Washington's Connecticut Avenue Park-and-Shop, which was built in 1930.

The property in its entirety is not notable and is a ubiquitous, almost mass-produced property type found throughout the United States by the early years of the Cold War when the Flower Center opened for business. By the time the Flower Shopping Center was a decade old, urban sprawl critics were writing about how suburban shopping centers were blighting landscapes. Peter

Blake wrote in his 1964 landmark book God's
Own Junkyard, "Suburbia's other symbolic"
buildings "are those of the shopping center,
which is certainly symbolic of something,
though perhaps not of anything which we would
particularly want to symbolize."

In its 63-year life, the Flower
Shopping Center has undergone significant
changes, including the removal of
character-defining architectural elements in
both of the shopping center's anchor stores.
The former Giant store with its missing
original display windows and the former Whelan
Drug Store, which has also had its original
windows removed and both streetside fa‡ades
significantly altered.

The Historic Preservation staff
has, in my professional opinion, not made a
compelling reason for designating the entire
property, and their preservation
recommendations are highly subjective,
relative to the portions of the property

1 identified as historically significant.

2 Despite comprehensive historical work

documenting shopping centers, staff failed to

4 consistently apply the lessons learned in

5 these studies by recommending redevelopment in

6 the character-defining north parking lot. The

7 Board must consider the prudence of

8 designating a parking area that covers more

9 surface area in the property than the

10 buildings.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Surfacing parking lots, as this
Board well knows, present planners with many
challenges. According to the 2000 Code studio
document outlining Montgomery County's future
land use goals, "Future redevelopment will
require creative reuse of underutilized areas,
such as the approximately 8,000 acres of
surface parking lots and strip shopping
centers that currently exist in the county."

Historic Preservation staff's recommendations for the parking lots and buildings do not appear to reflect a balanced

evaluation of the entire property within its historic setting and the social and economic context within which it developed. Architect

Robert Sponseller will now discuss options for redevelopment of the property, including alternatives for preserving the Flower Theater building block's fa‡ade, flanking retail bays, and retaining significant views of the theater's fa‡ade and marquis from Flower Avenue corridor.

I would be pleased to answer any questions you may have once my colleagues have completed their presentations.

Thank you.

MR. SPONSELLER: Good evening.

I'm Robert Sponseller. I'm an architect

practicing in Washington, DC, and I was asked

by the owner to analyze this site in terms of

the potential it had for future redevelopment,

and in specific light, focused on the

preservation idea that have been talked about

tonight.

1 My presentation is in two parts.

The first part is a sort of logistical,
logical redevelopment scenario that compares
preservation of the theater itself on this
site versus a larger preservation, as
recommended by HPC staff. So you can see the
two extremes, those two extremes, and how it
might impact development. And the second part
of the presentation is the series of case
studies on preservation that reflect our
collective experience as an office and include
a site in Silver Spring that you recently, I
think, reviewed recently, the Canada Dry site,
as well.

just by restating briefly the opportunity that the site provides in terms of being well serviced by mass transit, in terms of the Purple Line and bus stations. It's an incredible opportunity, I think, for the community to accommodate smart growth and considerable housing in an area within a

three-minute walk to a Metro station, to a

Purple Line station. Many residents would not

even need a car, perhaps, to live here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And our site that we're talking about, the existing buildings are along the Flower Avenue and Piney Branch side on the southwest corner of the site, located here, within this three-minute walking radius that I mentioned. And when you consider how the site might develop with the mass transit provided, and future developments scenarios, we've looked at introducing some of the neighboring streets connecting through the block to introduce smaller parcels. And it's also very important to consider what's around this central area in terms of future development.

So, on the eastern, northern, and western sides of the site, you really have single-family houses and lower-scale development. Whereas, on the southwestern corner of the site here, along Flower and

Piney Branch, we have existing commercial uses that happen to be the most compatible, make this corner the most compatible for future development because it has adjacent uses that are already in place. And so we think it is a very important prime site for this type of redevelopment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As I mentioned, there are two schemes that I'm going to be showing you in terms of the amount of preservation. we'll look at what impact preserving all of the fa‡ades that line Flower Avenue would This is the outline suggested by HPC staff. And a second option that we have proposed involves just saving the Flower Theater fa‡ade, as shown here in the image on the lower right. This is the, I think, most noteworthy building on the site. It has a very strong frontal characteristic with two characteristic side shoulders, if you will, in Art Deco. And in our scenario of redevelopment, we think it's very important to keep this volumetric view of the building in place.

Okay, so the first slide here compares on the upper image the full preservation scenario where all of the building buildings are preserved, and the lower image shows preservation of just the theater building itself with development around it to the east and to the south.

As you can see, what we've done is we've put residential buildings on the site for this scenario, up to about 60 feet in height, which is, I think, the current recommended zoning.

And the question is, on the upper image, if you preserve all these fa‡ades, what kind of development would occur behind it?

And to us, that's sort of a question in this redevelopment scenario.

If this approach is taken on the top, the FAR achieved on the site is about 0.75 FAR, well below the suggested 2.5 to 3.0

1 FAR.

And in the lower image, if we place heights up to 60 feet, set back from the theater so that it remained very prominent on the street, the FAR achieved is right around 2.0 FAR. It's a little bit less than 2.0 FAR.

We then analyzed what would happen if we increased the height allowable up to 75 to 80 feet. This is a very logical height to consider for construction site considerations as well. If somebody comes in and redevelops this site, they'll want to do it in a very efficient manner, and the 75- to 80-foot height would allow kind of the maximum benefit from a light-gauge construction type, which is prevalent in this kind of a neighborhood.

So, if we do development up to 75 to 80 feet behind the theater, in the lower image, we could achieve closer to 2.5 to 3.0 FAR total on the site.

CHAIR CARRIER: Isn't there also an option of preserving the fa‡ades all the

1 | way across but not whole buildings?

MR. SPONSELLER: Yes and so what we're showing here is the fa‡ades preserved, the theater preserved and then behind those fa‡ades, two to three stories in height could be achieved behind there, and that would give you an FAR of between 1.0 and 1.5 total, so, again, well below the target of 2.5. But I think the issues related to the preservation are important to consider in terms of the cost and the entry fee for such an approach.

In these two images, we've looked at the ground-level plan, which indicates the amount of preservation in red patched tones over the ground floor plan. So this upper image here shows the preserved fa‡ade areas, as recommended by HPC in what we call the "strict preservation plan," and the lower image is what I would call the more viable redevelopment option that preserves the theater frontispiece and allows redevelopment of the ground level adjacent to it.

The issues that relate to this are first the inherent complexities involved in preserving existing fa‡ades. I have an image to show you what that involves.

Secondly, you can see, there's a very strong geometric interruption on the floor plan that occurs along Flower Avenue.

The retail storefronts are set back from the street 50 to 60 feet from Flower Avenue, and this would greatly impact the viability of the retail tenants and the flexibility.

Finally, the servicing and parking areas that can be provided in that plan are greatly restricted, as you can see in the upper slide. They are greatly reduced, versus what a new development plan would provide.

I also want to just talk about the sections in our proposal. So, in looking at the placement of the density on the site, what we've done in order to keep the theater as the most prominent element, is provide low-scale development of up to 30 feet along Flower

Avenue, as we can see here in section.

and behind it, set back on the eastern edge of the block away from the street, we've gone up to either the 60 or 75 feet in height. And what happens with that scheme in terms of massing is that the rear volumes are essentially invisible from the street. You can see the site lines here from Flower Avenue. The lower volumes will Flower Avenue essentially must be density from the street as well. So I think that's an important point.

So as I mentioned and the plan,
whenever you're preserving a fa‡ade, and these
areas of preservation are over 500 feet in
length on this strict preservation scheme,
what's involved in terms of architecturally in
terms of construction is a very intensive
bracing scenario, exterior bracing scenario
and preservation scenario, during
construction.

This is an image from a project

that we're doing downtown currently at the

City Market at O Street. And this upper image

reflects what is involved with the

preservation of a fa‡ade during

reconstruction. What it does essentially is

a just very much increases the initial costs

of redevelopment, and therefore, the expected

return that would happen during redevelopment.

I think that is important to consider.

What we're recommending in terms of an approach is to develop these guidelines that could be attached and approved along with the sector plan that would instill these goals as part of the redevelopment effort. And what they, in a sense, say is -- and I'll be brief -- is, in general, to provide, to allow this site to develop to maximize potential for smart-growth location, such as it is, with additional housing and mixture of uses adjacent to Metro, and architecturally, in terms of preservation, to balance the preservation goals with the smart growth goals

perspective, the Canada Dry site, which I think is a recent example that you're all familiar with, preserved about, I would say, 15 percent of the site area. The retained, preserved area is this corner of the site, and the amount that was allowed to be removed for new development is the majority of site, so about 85 percent of the site. And you can see that in this slide.

That ratio of 10 to 15 percent per total site area is very consistent, ironically, with two recent sites that I've been involved in. The first is the Georgetown Incinerator site -- which I spent way too much time with my career involved in -- where the existing heating plant, which is now the Ritz-Carlton's main entry and lobby, was preserved. But all the surrounding areas were essentially redeveloped. And that leveraged a lot of the developers to finally redevelop that plan. And the O Street Market has a

1 similar ratio.

2 It's all yours.

MS. SILBER: In conclusion, we believe that the shopping center has lots of opportunities for revitalization, incorporating, as a lot of the members have indicated, the existing tenancy and reincorporating. But the important portion of this is that unless there are incentives to do something with the shopping center, it's going to stay exactly as it is, with no changes to the fatade or anything else.

We believe that the proposal that Mr. Sponseller has developed will ensure that theater can be preserved, remains a key feature along that street, it can be redeveloped, ensuring that that theater remains the primary focus.

We believe that the entire shopping center should not and does not merit and should not be designated, and that should not be the environmental setting.

1 And we believe that the design 2 guidelines will ensure that the Planning Board 3 will retain control over this very important site and be able to balance all the public 4 5 policies. Thank you very much. 6 7 Okay. Thank you, CHAIR CARRIER: folks. 8 9 And our very last speaker of the 10 night is Robert Elliott. 11 (Pause.) 12 CHAIR CARRIER: We'll just look on 13 the screen. 14 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. Great. Thank 15 you --16 CHAIR CARRIER: You need to turn 17 on your microphone, and start with your name. 18 MR. ELLIOTT: Good evening. 19 name is Bob Elliott. I'm Director of 20 Development for Washington Real Estate 21 Investment Trust, or REIT. I'm pleased to be

before you this evening to talk about the

22

county sector plan and our property at 8750 Arliss Street.

This is a property that REIT has owned since 1963, 49 years. We've been in business since 1960. We are a long-term owner/developer/manager of real estate. And Ipm pleased to tell you tonight about how we believe that we will continue to investment in this project and this property for many years to come.

Over the last several years, REIT has been working with the Maryland Transit Authority as well as the county's planning staff to discuss how the Superblock could be redeveloped and the impact of future Purple Line Arliss Street Station. Overall, we support the sector plan but question whether it has gone far enough to incentivize future redevelopment.

As you can see from the map, the Superblock here is roughly 12 acres, and our site, which comprises roughly 46 percent of

that, is 5.4 acres. The southeast corner of our property is actually directly in front of the proposed Arliss Street station. The site today has 55,000 square feet with three commercial tenants, the current Giant Center represents roughly 45- to 50,000 feet of that center. We have no residential uses on our property.

It's also important to note that the site has a very steep slope from this part of Arliss all the way down to Piney Branch of roughly 30 feet. I mention this because what we would propose would be higher heights for this site, and think that it is actually plausible given the fact that the site actually slopes away from the neighborhood down towards this intersection.

With this in mind, there's our site; here's the slope. We begin to think about light rail precedents -- Salt Lake City, Portland, Minneapolis, and San Jose. This important to us because Arliss Street, where

the Purple Line is expected run, fronts almost the entirety of our property, effectively controlling how we're going to be viewing the retail of this site, not just now but once the Purple Line arrives and in the future.

We also begin to think about new urbanism, transit in-fill, mixed use, and how we would ultimately bring a residential component to this property, but also further amenitize this with a strong retail base which would effectively serve as a large community amenity, provide generous sidewalks and minimal street parking, and in particular, provide structured parking that would be hidden from normal public view.

With that in mind, we hired nationally renowned architect Torti Gallas to do an initial study for us, based on what we anticipated the sector plan might say. This test plan effectively shows what our vision for the site was, which is a 2.5 FAR with the 70 to 75 feet, not too dissimilar from what

you just heard at the 75 to 80 feet from the prior presentation. With this, it effectively implied 475 units of residential, a 950-space parking garage buried in the middle of the block that could be accessed from two locations, and 117,000 feet of ground-floor commercial space.

One thing it's important point out here is, today, this is the site of the existing Giant Center. We are encumbered by a long-term lease with that tenant. It will cost a significant amount of money to renegotiate that and relocate them on that property. In order to incentivize development, it has to be significant enough to move them off the property, to build a second store while they're in operation and ultimately come back and back-fill that with the parking garage -- not an insignificant cost at \$20,000 a parking space above grade.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Can I ask you if those parking assumptions are based on what

you think that you need to the market the project, or is that your understanding of what the code currently requires?

MR. ELLIOTT: This is not the current code. This is four per thousand for retail, five for grocery. It's pretty consistent with what we believe; 0.9 per residential unit is what would occur once the Purple Line arrived.

Today, in order to park, we would expect 1.3 to 1.6, based on our expectation.

So the 0.9 parking is a significant reduction, but that assumes that the Purple Line actually comes into play.

MEMBER ANDERSON: I think the zoning rewrite's going to be lower.

MR. ELLIOTT: I'm sorry; what?

MEMBER ANDERSON: Well, we're rewriting the zoning code. I think you're going to find it's lower, which is why I asked, is this your assumption, where you need to do it, or is this --

assumption about regulation that it requires you to do it?

MR. ELLIOTT: Yes. This is partially need and partially regulation.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. ELLIOTT: Today, the challenge is that, without the significant development of other parcels adjacent to us, it's going to be harder to make this a destination. People are actually still, regardless of what you think, going to get in their car until you get enough scale in the density retail.

So we tested this. We tested the theory. Obviously, the first challenge is the fact that the sector plan caps the height at 60 Feet, which effectively lops off one entire floor. I'll go through the numbers here in just a second.

The second thing that's actually occurring on our property which is somewhat

unique is the Maryland Transit Authority is proposing anywhere from 40 to 60 feet of my property be taken, effectively an encumbrance on the future development, and in particular, to this particular parcel, which actually begins to limit how I could actually develop that. I mention this because this is roughly 0.9 acres, or roughly somewhere between 17 and 18 percent of my overall property area.

With this in mind, we ran our test case. I ran something based on the sector plan, and I ran what the sector plan would allow, including the MTA encroachment. And in effect, I was able to come up with a 2.5.

With the sector plan, I effectively lost my debate units, 23,000 square feet -- I'm sorry -- 95,000 units, and I got to a 2.1 FAR. When I went to the sector plan, I actually lost an additional 23,000 feet of retail on the ground floor. I was down 173 units over what I proposed.

MEMBER ANDERSON: But you said

1 "base case." Are you talking about under the 2 current zoning and master plan?

MR. ELLIOTT: If you were to approve a 2.5 FAR, 70, 75 feet, and MTA to none of my property, that's effectively what I could do.

CHAIR CARRIER: That's not what the sector plan recommended.

MR. ELLIOTT: The sector plan is recommending 60.

CHAIR CARRIER: That's what REIT suggests would be appropriate.

MR. ELLIOTT: The sector plan is proposing this, but I'm telling you that based on what MTA is about to do as well, this is where I end up.

The challenge here is the fact that you see that there's a significant delta between what could be done and what will actually get done.

The second piece that I started to do is to try to figure out how to make this

neutral from a value creation perspective.

The challenge here is that what we actually shorten my site, shrink my site, and try to get back to the 2.5 FAR and add those units back, we find that I actually probably need somewhere between six and seven floors in order to complete this development.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Unfortunately, this leaves me in a bit of a no-man's land because this pushes the construction methodology type stick-built construction to concrete. This is important because you see that stick-built construction today is roughly \$90,000 to \$110,000 per unit, whereas concrete is roughly \$170,000 \$290,000.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Are you talking about hard costs?

MR. ELLIOTT: Hard costs; just the construction.

So this is --

CHAIR CARRIER: But what is it that's pushed you out of --

MR. ELLIOTT: In order to try to

site as well with long-term leases and other things, you need to find ways to incentivize the development.

And lastly, looking at how you make this value-neutral, taking into account that if I had to build 50 percent of my site as concrete, the 175-percent premium effectively requires that I would build 415 units out of concrete, 237 out of stick, putting in a normal 12.5-percent MPDU requirement, that's an additional 22 units, or 675 units, a delta of roughly 200 units strictly by shrinking the size of my site and trying to go vertical.

MEMBER ANDERSON: What you didn't throw in is the actual the price to you'd have to charge because of the cost of construction.

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, I'm --

MEMBER ANDERSON: You're doing a

20 density --

MR. ELLIOTT: I'm doing a density swap based on the value of construction to

1 have additional units in order to do that

MEMBER ANDERSON: But those 600 units would cost you significantly more per unit than the --

MR. ELLIOTT: 415 --

MEMBER ANDERSON: -- right.

MR. ELLIOTT: -- would cost me significantly more. And by building 175 percent of that as opposed to one-to-one --

MEMBER ANDERSON: Right. So --

MR. ELLIOTT: -- in theory, I'm creating more revenue, but I am basically offsetting the loss of having to build more expensive units.

MEMBER ANDERSON: But that revenue would require higher rents or higher sales price, whatever --

MR. ELLIOTT: I hope is that by doing the value neutralization of the 175 percent or added units, in theory, I'm charging the same rate.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Oh, okay.

MR. ELLIOTT: That's my assumption
here is that instead of asking for a
one-to-one unit of a cheaper unit or a more
expensive unit and then having the charge more

--

MEMBER ANDERSON: I see.

MR. ELLIOTT: I would suggesting, build 75 percent more units and effectively have the same rate.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. So the less equivalency you get, the higher the rent or sales price, whatever you decide to do, which would be probably not be marketable in that market.

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, first, just to be clear, REIT is a long-term owner of real estate. We don't build condominiums. We'd be strictly looking at an apartment type product.

MEMBER ANDERSON: Higher rents, you would have to try to get, which are probably difficult in this market.

MR. ELLIOTT: Today. We are,

however, believers that ultimately, with the Purple Line station right in front of our property, that if you look at what the County has actually proposed for 2030, 2050 in its growth strategies and the expectation that the larger transit corridors at Metro Center locations are ultimately going to be where this county grows longer term. It's not going to be in the suburbs; it's going to have to be at these sorts of locations.

I realize we're dealing with a lot of challenging issues tonight with regard to dislocation and other things, but this is effectively Ground Zero for MPAs -- it's not Metro, but it's effectively the same thing -- it's public transit at your doorstep.

We have to be bigger believers.

We have to be bigger visionaries into the future. Capping this at 60 feet today is not incentive enough. You have to be willing to go higher.

The precedents are obviously

things like White Flint. I'm not suggesting we go to 300 feet. I think what this plan does show, however, is the fact that we need to be thinking about the fact that there have to be reasonable steps. You have a step away from that. When you go to density, within a quarter-mile of the Metro station, that's when people use it most. You have to be willing to let people walk out the door and get on the track.

And with that, our suggestion
would be more the idea that 150 to 90 to 60 to
30, to be honest, or even 30-foot increments.
But you could be doing that in a tiered way to
create the intersection here where it's
potentially very commercial at the station and
stepping into it so it blends into the
community. We think that that's not an
unreasonable suggestion, given what we've seen
in other locations. And it's probably more
forward thinking if you want to be thinking
longer-term about the opportunity.

The other recommendation, the next recommendation we would have is obviously to encourage MTA to relocate its right-of-way more off of our property and move it to the north and move it to the east.

The challenge with the current MTA section is the fact that they are leaving an eight-foot parking lane on the street. We don't see the need to actually encourage parking on a street that's going to widen in order to have trains running through the middle of it. By doing that, they could actually move it eight to 10 feet at a minimum off of our property. We think that there's a realistically they could be done further.

The sector plan also proposes that we locate an entrance from the existing library, bifurcating our site and cutting through the Superblock. Obviously, the challenge with that is we own 5.4 acres; we could do a significant independent development.

But by bifurcating the site and requiring that we have to work with the adjacent development owners, the challenge will ultimately be, it's going to eat up density, it's going to require other people to move at one time, whereas today we have 55,000 feet under our direct control. And as you can see, we could do something fairly significant on our own, independently.

We'd also suggest that the access to our site occur on the far western edge, also that it occur right below this sort of little finger of land so that ultimately, when and if decay properties ever redeveloped, the access here, the control point before the Purple Line turned North, would actually connect back down into Garland, therefore allowing you to bifurcate this larger block, which is roughly 10 acres, twice as large as our property, and create sort of that access.

This is effectively where the Purple Line is going to turn on to Piney

Branch off of Arliss. This is going to be a very difficult intersection to move through, and looking for the secondary points where you could actually allow the cars to move around rather than having the train move through the intersection, are the sort of next-round, next-level thinking that needs to occur with this site.

Parking is obviously another big challenge. The plan discusses this notion, the fact that we should be providing public parking. At \$20,000 a space per above-grade or \$40,000 per below-grade, this disincentivizes public parking. It also encourages people to continue using cars to get to the station as opposed to actually using other transit like walking, biking, or what have you.

The MPDU requirement is obviously higher than what you find in the county typically. It's 12.5 today; the 15 percent typically comes with some form of bonus

1 density.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And of course, LEED Gold -- LEED Gold is a baseline that's extremely atypical.

Almost every jurisdiction in the area supports certification as a baseline with Silver and Gold for additional density.

The other thing to point out here is that when we talk about Gold, LEED gold as a construction methodology for stick-built construction that is not plausible. problem with the systems that you use for that are stand-alone, package-unit HVAC systems. And LEED Gold requires heavy uses of energy. And the problem is, only a central building system realistically can achieve LEED Gold. And if you're going to try to use that sort of six-story and below cheaper construction methodology, you're not going to be able to achieve that with LEED Gold. So, in effect, you're creating another encumbrance to the overall plan.

With that in mind, we came up with

a plan that we thought achieved that, but we wanted to show you what the idea was. You may not agree with it, but it's stepped down from 15 to 10 to six stories. It actually comes -- at 95,000 feet of commercial space, we lose 23,000 feet of commercial space, 692 units, and roughly 1,100 parking spaces, again using the same ratios, whether or not those are ultimately the ratios that would be utilized.

But this shows you one vision, using the recommendations we proposed, of how this could actually be a standalone, viable development that we would be in a position to do with the Purple Line.

So, again, we support the plan, we support the Purple Line coming here, the sector plan's vision. We'd encourage you to be more progressive and more proactive in thinking about that as a 2030 or 2050 solution.

We believe that the Superblock should have higher density, such as a 4.0 FAR,

which is completely supported and allowed under the CRT zoning; that you consider using steps as high as 150 feet, stepping down in 30-foot increments; that we encourage the MTA to move the right-of-way and not have parking in its current proposed section, and we relocate the connection off of our property so that we can actually encourage the development on a standalone basis and remove, obviously, the public parking, the LEED, and the affordable housing requirements and move them back towards minimums, as would be expected with the rest of the county.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR CARRIER: Thank you very much. That completes our public hearing.

We're going to have a series of work sessions which will also be public. Those are typically the Board discussing the plan with our staff.

We will focus a great deal on the comments we have received from you this

A	acre 53:21 54:9	adopted 4:2 17:12	Alba 51:11 100:11	Amharic 8:14
	92:8 95:7	72:1	Alberto 2:16 97:9	amount 32:8 89:19
ability 21:1 123:15	acres 54:12 130:17	adoption 16:2 75:4	Algolfo 127:17	89:21 127:2
able 31:12 47:13,17	145:21 146:1	75:17 96:19	alley 49:12 76:5	134:10 137:14
93:13 144:4	151:8 160:20	advance 76:12	allocate 74:20	142:7 148:12
151:14 163:18	161:19	advanced 85:12	allocations 19:11	amounts 20:15
above-entitled	Act 54:6	advantage 45:7	allow 14:10 16:12	118:19
167:16	action 11:1 95:13	advantage 43.7	16:18 22:7 30:4	AMY 1:18
above-grade 73:1	active 6:16 61:6	advertised 3:21	36:19 45:16 87:9	analysis 58:9 59:21
162:12	90:2 109:19	advisory 16:7,16	87:17 136:14	analyze 131:18
absence 63:11	actively 57:18	61:9	140:16 151:13	analyzed 136:7
64:18	activists 112:7	advocacy 51:20	162:4	anchor 10:14 113:6
absorbing 40:17	actual 34:7 155:16	111:2	allowable 136:8	129:11
absurd 49:8	Adam 98:22	aesthetically	allowed 142:7	anchors 113:3
access 6:20 34:16	adapted 86:20	112:22	165:1	ANDERSON 1:17
38:14 41:3 141:21	adaptive 53:11	affect 30:18 68:1,5	allowing 12:1	117:13 148:21
161:10,15,20	56:9	68:7	30:19 79:17	149:15,18 150:2,7
accessed 148:5	ADA-accessible	affordability 53:19	161:18	151:22 153:15
accolades 56:3	123:22	60:17 107:20	allows 89:20 106:7	151.22 155.15
accommodate	add 87:18 153:4	affordable 7:1,7	137:21	154.5,15 155.15
28:10 38:7 132:21	added 56:6 116:6	31:19 54:6 55:18	altered 129:16	156:15,22 157:6
accommodated	156:20	57:7 58:21 59:18	alternatives 131:6	157:10,19
20:5	adding 59:10 89:6	59:22 70:20 72:13	Alvarez 99:3	and/or 60:4
accommodates	addition 20:14	72:15 74:15,17,21	Alvaro 2:9 24:15	and/01 00.4 answer 131:11
88:18	88:20	76:11 79:20 80:22	44:4 50:7	anticipated 17:12
accompanied 71:16	additional 16:12,18	81:3,9,22 82:4,8	Alyssa 6:8	42:1 147:19
accompanying	23:8 71:11 87:17	82:12,17,20 85:16	Amador 2:13 78:10	Anybody 67:7
4:14	140:19 151:19	91:17 93:6,9	78:11,12	anymore 123:19
accomplish 32:3	155:11 156:1	103:10,22 104:13	Amanda 2:3 5:11	apartment 31:14
accomplished	163:6	105.10,22 104.15	8:10	31:19 40:13 55:5
122:19	Additionally 4:21	107:13 108:3,17	amended 4:4,8,9	56:16,17,21 59:19
account 155:5	97:21	110:4,11 115:15	4:10,11,13 42:7	77:13 80:7 88:8
achieve 136:19	address 6:11 7:12	122:3 165:11	amendment 4:1	88:16 91:1 92:10
163:15,19	8:3 25:21 67:14	afforded 18:3 22:5	17:19 18:5 22:7	101:17 115:19
achieved 135:21	69:11 73:17 76:22	aforementioned	69:22 75:17	157:18
136:5 137:6 164:1	91:14 106:10	13:22	amendments 17:11	apartments 41:14
achieving 72:5,8,10	107:1	afternoon 67:11	21:17	54:10,15,16,21
acknowledged	addressed 34:7	age 36:14	amenities 32:5	55:3,7,18 56:2
15:11 111:21	adjacent 6:2 31:16	aging 9:3	45:12 53:20 56:21	59:2,10,13,17
acknowledges 77:2	40:1,5,16 55:14	aging 9.3 ago 7:14 29:7 57:20	amenitize 147:10	60:13 104:1 115:9
acknowledging	59:1 66:11 87:12	72:2 106:19	amenity 147:12	115:21 120:5
62:22	88:2,3 134:4		America 68:12	
acknowledgment	137:22 140:20	agree 65:10 164:3 agreement 14:5	American 113:11	apparent 70:10 appeal 83:17
70:10	150:10 161:3	29:22 30:12 31:11	American 113:11 Americana 54:22	appear 83:17 appear 24:6 28:8
acoustics 53:2	adjoin 25:19	66:16 85:13 98:14		130:22
acquire 106:15	•	ahead 25:9 30:10	55:22 56:2,5	
acquired 20:12	adjoining 46:2 adjoins 27:9 31:6	35:20 51:18 61:15	1	appears 41:12 72:21
acquisition 80:6	aujums 27.9 31.0	33.20 31:18 01:13	59:10,12	12.21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1.15
appended 76:16
appendices 4:15
applaud 69:15
Applause 44:19
applicants 72:4
applications 93:18
apply 130:4
appreciate 6:4
69:14 90:5
appreciates 76:22
approach 63:13
135:20 137:11
140:11
appropriate 17:4
19:6 31:8 36:12
63:3 64:14 87:10
87:16 152:12
0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
appropriateness
25:15
approval 16:15
72:5
approve 152:4
approved 4:2 6:5
15:9 54:8,11
140:12
approximately
130:17
architect 111:21
121:3 127:19
128:2 131:3,16
147:17
architects 52:12
54:11 55:1 126:12
architectural 58:2
94:16 111:10,22
112:9 121:4 125:9
125:13 129:10
141:2
architecturally
139:17 140:20
architecture 10:7
127:14 128:6
area 9:4 13:13 17:5
20:16 21:21 26:5
32:14,18 33:16,20
34:5,6,10,15,19
35:7,12 36:15
, , , , ,

27.10.47.0.50.11
37:19 47:9 50:11
60:5 61:6 62:7
65:6 69:18 70:1,8
70:22 71:1 72:14
72:17 73:20 74:4
74:19 75:1 77:15
83:10,19 88:9
90:1,6 91:22 92:2
92:6 93:1 102:21
117:11,20 118:1,3
130:8,9 132:22
133:16 141:18,20
142:5,6,12 151:9
163:4
areas 6:17 20:18
21:2 25:19,20
32:7 38:2 39:10
41:2 69:19 70:16
72:12 80:8 82:21
121:12 130:16
137:16 138:13
139:15 142:19
area's 113:7
argue 154:20
Arliss 26:4,22
28:10 31:15 36:5
36:8 38:16 39:20
41:5,11,14,19
42:4 109:12
120:21 145:2,16
146:3,11,22 162:1
armed 41:16
arrived 54:3 149:9
arrives 147:5
art 10:7 52:12 57:3
66:21 74:8 113:2
134:21
arterial 49:8,9
arterials 63:19
arts 84:2
arts-based 84:4
art-based 84:11
asked 69:5 90:19
131:17 149:21
asking 50:14 77:16
78:14 104:8 157:2
aspirations 106:4

=
asset 10:5 84:10
96:4
assist 85:3
assistance 105:22
associated 76:19
93:8
Associates 121:3
association 6:2
25:12 36:2 39:4
46:21 47:7 61:7
61:12 90:3 95:21
associations 80:10
assuage 115:1
assume 89:16
assumes 149:13
assumption 149:21
150:3 157:1
assumptions
148:22
assure 14:17 166:4
athletic 45:12
atlas 52:12,15 56:6
59:11 95:11 96:12
116:11 121:15
125:22
attached 20:16
140:12
attempts 32:3
attend 15:5 166:5
attention 39:9 59:8
65:10
attorney 105:13
attract 16:4 66:8 123:16
attractive 19:14
atypical 163:3
audience 25:8
auditorium 1:12
166:16
Authority 145:13
151:1
Availability 114:22
available 7:10 40:7
109:17
Ave 49:4
Avenue 1:13 9:17
15:22 26:13,15
,

27:2 41:4,5 46:4 47:9,15,17,19,22 47:22 48:1,17 49:4 53:7 58:17 65:21 66:5 73:22 74:10 75:7,11 76:20 78:13 117:1
120:17,22 121:18 122:9 128:12 131:10 133:6 134:12 138:7,9
139:1,9,10 166:16 average 54:13
award 55:22
aware 48:15
В
B 63:12 64:16
back 12:16 20:14
24:10 29:2 35:11
41:22 43:3,7,14
67:11 89:17 93:21
123:12,21 136:3
138:8 139:2
148:18 153:4,5 161:17 165:12
backloaded 63:21
backs 36:21 43:12
48:16
backup 67:9
backyard 89:8
backyards 31:7,17
89:18
back-fill 148:18
Baker 2:14 78:22
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4 balanced 130:22
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4 balanced 130:22 balconies 55:16
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4 balanced 130:22 balconies 55:16 Baltimore 105:19
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4 balanced 130:22 balconies 55:16
Baker 2:14 78:22 79:5,6,12,14 85:20 86:1,17,19 Bakery 53:5 balance 121:22 140:21 144:4 balanced 130:22 balconies 55:16 Baltimore 105:19 111:19 112:6

	i
	Baranes 121:3
	Barillas 51:11
	100:11
	base 127:22 147:10
	152:1
	based 126:9 147:18
	148:22 149:11
	151:11 152:14
	154:18 155:22
	baseline 163:3,5
	basically 26:6
	63:21 78:16 79:19
'	
	86:20 154:17
	156:12
	basis 58:11 85:5
_	165:9
	bays 131:8
-	
	beauty 27:18
	bedrooms 104:3
	beg 101:5
	beginning 26:15
	100:5 114:9 121:7
	begins 151:6
	C
	begun 82:14
	behalf 33:10,12
	61:14 69:2 78:7
	105:14 120:16
	believe 12:17 14:8
	44:13 80:16 93:6
	101:11 124:17,18
	143:4,13,19 144:1
	145:8 149:7
	164:21
	believers 158:1,17
	belong 10:16
	beloved 10:7 57:7
	below-grade 73:2
	162:13
	Beltway 48:2
	•
	beneficial 10:17
	beneficial 10:17 84:22
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16
	beneficial 10:17 84:22
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16 65:18 85:4 136:14 benefits 21:10
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16 65:18 85:4 136:14 benefits 21:10 Benitez 99:2
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16 65:18 85:4 136:14 benefits 21:10 Benitez 99:2 Berman 2:15 79:2
	beneficial 10:17 84:22 benefit 7:5 60:16 65:18 85:4 136:14 benefits 21:10 Benitez 99:2

Downstoin's 52.5	hook 120.1	106.5 107.15 10	07.10 00.4 0 17	66,00 67,0 4
Bernstein's 53:5	book 129:1	106:5 107:15,19	87:18 88:4,8,17	66:22 67:3,4
best 7:9 9:15 21:10	border 35:22	108:1,6,22 109:10	89:6,16,17 91:1	78:15,17,19 89:14
154:21	bottom 27:16 88:17	109:12,18 111:3	92:21 103:2,6	90:5 97:16 101:21
better 3:13 21:14	101:11	113:6 114:14,15	112:17 120:4	108:7,8,10,14,17
32:2 61:15 62:22	bought 92:9	114:16 115:8,8	131:7 134:18	110:5 114:17
105:11	Boulevard 44:9	118:10 121:8,10	135:1,6,8 156:8	118:3
bicycles 41:18	48:8 54:19 109:9	122:11 133:6	163:14	bustling 53:4
bifurcate 161:18	119:11	134:1 146:11	buildings 9:3 28:10	button 39:1 44:7
bifurcating 160:18	boundary 48:13	162:1 166:12	31:1,20,21,22	50:3 97:7
161:1	Boutique 53:8	Branch's 11:18	36:14 40:1 54:17	buy 20:13 97:17
big 6:22,22 44:7	bowl 38:8	13:12 114:12	72:2 80:7 86:17	B'Nai 54:17
50:2 162:9	bracing 139:19,19	115:9	87:10 88:2 89:12	B'rith 54:18
bigger 158:17,18	Bradley 54:19	breed 55:7	103:4 104:4	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
biggest 93:2 118:13	branch 1:5 3:4,9,18	Brett 2:9 24:1	112:11 113:20	Cabrera 2:9 24:4
bike 11:21	3:20 6:2 7:5 8:11	43:18 44:1 46:18	129:3 130:10,22	24:15 50:2,6,8
Bikeways 4:10	8:19 9:1,9,14,17	62:8	133:5 135:6,11	99:1,11,16
biking 162:17	9:20,21 10:4,20	brevity 94:1	137:1	Caf 53:8
bilingual 74:14	11:5,15,19 12:11	Brewer 15:2 18:11	built 32:10 55:4	call 5:10 23:22 25:4
bill 2:5 5:12 6:18	13:1 14:7,13,15	69:11 120:15	60:4 63:9 78:4	51:2 98:21 100:22
18:10	15:7,12 16:1,5,22	bridge 39:16,17	81:10 94:18	120:11 137:17,19
bit 28:19 136:6	17:17 18:13 19:9	bridges 109:8	102:20,20 103:1	called 22:13 43:16
153:9	21:12 26:2,15	brief 140:15	103:21 104:10	54:22 100:5,15
Blake 129:1	27:5,15,21 28:7	briefly 125:10	128:9,13	119:4
bleak 9:2	31:20 33:4,12	132:16	bulk 61:21	calls 9:20 21:21
blends 159:17	34:1 35:22 37:21	bring 3:2,12 12:16	bullet 62:21	31:18 37:16 108:7
blighting 128:22	39:6,9,9,15,20	16:21 19:14 23:20	bunch 43:7	Canada 132:13
block 27:10 127:22	41:5,14,15 42:4,5	42:13 44:12 49:16	burden 72:17	141:15 142:2
133:14 139:3 148:5 161:18	42:9 45:4,10,18	55:17 84:4 85:21	burdens 70:11	canopy 38:9
	48:7,10,13,16,22 48:22 49:14 50:9	105:9 123:12,21 147:8	buried 148:4	capacity 62:20
blocks 9:16 35:22 61:5		* * *	bus 8:15 119:16 132:19	capital 1:12 64:5,6
block's 131:7	53:13 54:21 56:20	bringing 13:13	buses 57:4	Capping 158:19
	57:6 58:16 59:12	17:6	busier 38:19	caps 87:16 150:17
bluoprint 21:5	60:5,11,13,19 61:6,22 62:6 65:6	Brooklyn 9:5	business 12:2 13:1	capture 106:4
blueprint 21:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	brought 36:9	13:5 33:4,12 34:1	car 9:10,13 11:22
board 1:3,12 4:19 5:22 6:5 16:15	65:8,16 66:5 67:6 67:10,12,20,20	budgets 37:20 build 21:1 102:16	35:5,12 60:7	89:3 133:3 150:13
18:10 52:7 58:5	69:13,18 70:1,3,4	119:13,14,19	67:20 68:1,5,11	Carballo 2:17 99:4
65:4 67:13 71:6	70:6,12 73:13,20	148:16 155:6,8	80:10 83:7,9,15	101:14,15
73:16 79:7 95:9	73:22 74:2,5,12	156:13 157:8,17	87:8 89:1 103:16	care 5:18 118:18
104:9 109:14,16	74:19 75:1,7,9,12	Builder 55:1	104:14 106:12	career 142:16
116:4 125:1 130:7	75:18 76:6 77:6	builders 55:9	104:14 106:12	careful 96:6
130:12 144:2	80:5,17 82:15,21	building 16:10,13	116:22 117:3,11	Carl 55:1
165:19 167:2,11	83:9,10,11,18	19:19 20:9 28:7	110.22 117.3,11	Carlos 2:6 24:17
Bob 144:19	84:10 85:8 86:4	31:4,14,16 34:20	128:19 145:5	33:4
bolster 81:5	87:8 90:4 95:19	39:21 45:15 48:21	businesses 8:1 9:10	Carrier 1:14,16 3:2
bonus 20:3,5 71:12	101:16 102:6,17	49:2 54:18 72:1	12:3 16:22 34:6,8	3:11,14 5:5,9,15
115:11 162:22	101:10 102:0,17	76:11 78:2 80:17	34:16 58:22 59:2	8:7 11:10 14:19
113.11 102.22	102.10 104.3	/0.11 /0.2 00.1/	J 1 .10 J0.22 J7.2	3., 11.10 1 11.17
	<u> </u>			

18:7 22:11 23:10	CASEY 1:17	104:18 108:15	154.5 11 165.15	Citizens 6:1
	catalyst 12:19	113:10,19 128:22	154:5,11 165:15	
23:15 24:9,13,21	_	,	166:19 167:2,14	city 7:22 73:9,10,13
25:4,7 28:13,18	catalyze 10:5	130:3,19	chairs 43:1	74:1,3,11 75:2
29:1,6,15 30:3,7	category 31:8	center's 127:15	challenge 83:13	76:21 84:2 112:7
33:1,9,13 35:14	cater 9:13	129:11	150:8,16 152:17	112:12 140:2
35:17,20 38:20	cause 59:19 71:9	central 133:16	153:2 160:6,20	146:20
42:13,21 43:9,21	87:14 97:15	163:14	161:3 162:10	city's 76:16,20
44:6,17,20 46:16	causing 89:17	century 59:5	challenges 130:13	111:22
49:15,22 50:21	celebrate 14:10	certain 15:21 70:11	challenging 70:7	civic 61:7,12 112:7
51:9,16 60:22	celebrating 13:12	72:12	158:12	clapping 44:20
61:11 64:21 67:16	center 6:20 10:19	certainly 47:4	chance 115:11	Clare 52:6 58:8
68:9,15,18 69:1,7	13:18 14:4 15:12	129:4	change 17:16 21:22	clear 157:16
73:4,6 77:8 78:8	15:18 16:6 17:5	certification 19:19	31:11 75:6 81:9	Clearly 29:10 91:6
78:21 79:11,13	17:15,18,21 18:3	20:7,8 163:5	87:20	close 40:18 49:17
85:18,21 86:7	18:21 19:7 21:14	certified 72:3	changes 11:4 47:6	85:22 119:15
87:2 90:14 93:22	21:19 22:1,4	chair 1:14,16,17	64:17 77:17 114:3	120:2
97:1,6 98:19 99:6	26:16 27:2 34:21	3:2,11,14 5:5,9,15	129:9 143:11	closed 10:11 33:21
99:9,14,18,21	35:2 36:7 37:1,5,8	5:21 8:7 11:10	Changing 75:15	closer 3:12 37:2
100:3,9,15,19,21	38:4,8,12,15	14:19 18:7,10	chaotic 62:11	81:21 105:9,10
101:4,10 104:21	39:16 45:12 47:10	22:11 23:10,15	chapters 113:11	136:19
105:3,8 110:13,21	52:2,9,10,13,15	24:9,13,21 25:4,7	character 13:12	coalition 101:19,19
116:14,18 118:5	52:19,21 53:1,6	25:12 28:13,18	60:19 90:9 96:5	102:3 104:8
118:21 120:9	54:20 55:15 57:1	29:1,6,15 30:3,7	102:13	code 130:13 149:3
136:21 141:7	57:15 58:17,19	33:1,9,13 35:14	characteristic	149:5,19
144:7,12,16 152:7	60:15 67:12 74:3	35:17,20 38:20	134:19,20	cofounded 12:14
152:11 153:20	75:10 76:7 83:11	42:13,21 43:9,21	character-defining	Cold 128:18
154:5,11 165:15	84:18 94:8,11,19	44:6,17,20 46:16	129:10 130:6	colleague 52:17
166:19 167:2,14	94:22 95:3,10	49:15,22 50:21	charge 155:17	colleagues 131:12
Carroll 78:13	96:3,11,18 98:5	51:9,16,20 60:22	157:4	collection 113:20
cars 40:13 41:6	102:17 104:16	61:11 64:21 67:16	charging 156:21	collective 132:11
162:4,15	106:11,16,18	68:9,15,18 69:1,7	charrettes 46:8	Collins 55:2
Cary 96:13	110:3 111:5	73:4,6 76:17 77:8	Chase 93:5	combined 113:19
CASA 50:8 56:8	112:10,18 113:3	78:8,21 79:7,11	cheaper 157:3	114:1
77:14 78:7 97:22	113:18 114:10	79:13 85:18,21	163:17	come 7:20 10:22
98:1,2,6 104:15	116:6 120:17,20	86:7 87:2 90:14	Chevy 93:5	24:10 29:2 43:3,6
105:13,16 106:9	121:14,19 122:13	93:22 94:4,4 97:1	child 45:19	46:9 66:16 69:3
106:10,14,15,17	122:21,22 124:16	97:6 98:19 99:6,9	children 65:8 66:1	93:15 103:17
107:11 108:11	125:20 126:16,21	99:14,18,21 100:3	118:14	117:4 145:10
109:4,13 110:1,2	127:4,21 128:4,8	100:9,15,19,21	choke 41:20	148:18 151:14
110:6 116:21	128:10,18,20	101:4,10 104:21	chosen 14:2	167:5,8
120:1	129:3,8 143:4,10	105:3,8 110:13,21	Chris 2:4 5:12 15:1	comes 20:15 38:18
CASA's 56:12	143:20 146:5,7	116:14,18 118:5	church 60:17 98:13	65:12 136:11
case 44:10 53:9	148:10 158:6	118:21 119:7	churches 54:16	149:14 162:22
58:13 100:5 115:7	centerpiece 60:15	120:9 125:16	57:1	164:4
115:10 132:9	113:4	136:21 141:7	circle 41:9	coming 11:21 42:4
141:5 151:11	centers 38:3 59:3	144:7,12,16 152:7	circumstances	87:6 99:12 117:22
152:1	102:16 103:18	152:11 153:20	107:8	164:16
104.1	102.10 103.10	102.11 100.20	107.0	101.10
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

commences 64:2	Commoners 2:5	completely 165:1	110:1 143:3	constructing 59:16
commencing 55:3	5:12 18:8,9,11	completes 165:16	concrete 153:11,14	construction 8:2,5
63:14	22:12	completes 103.10	155:7,9	11:3 17:13 19:20
commend 6:13	communities 59:19	complex 38:15	condition 76:14	35:7 37:12 50:18
80:14	65:17 81:2 96:10	56:16 84:17 85:3	condition 76.14 conditions 66:10	64:10 68:2,3,7
comment 24:8		85:12 94:18 111:6	75:5 80:12	76:13 78:15 103:4
	community 6:6,6			
28:22 29:4,14	6:20 7:6 10:14,16	111:12 113:5,13	condominiums	103:6 107:8
51:8 68:22 79:13	13:2 15:13 17:1	116:10	157:17	136:10,15 139:18
99:16 100:14	25:11 36:2,20	complexes 52:21	conducted 127:3	139:21 153:10,11
101:3 123:10	39:4,16 44:15	54:7 104:4 115:1	confirm 79:9	153:12,18 155:17
commenting 36:4	45:15 46:21 47:10	115:19	congested 67:9	155:22 163:9,10
comments 25:6	49:10 52:10,14	complexities 138:2	congestion 47:8	163:17
39:11 62:1 99:8	53:6,14 55:15	complicate 14:6	62:8,17	consultant 90:18
127:3 165:22	57:1,10 59:3	complicated 41:10	Congress 64:7,11	contact 117:16
166:1,9	60:20 65:16 67:1	42:2	connect 41:14	contained 126:17
commercial 15:12	67:12 73:18 74:3	compliment 91:5	46:12 161:17	contains 95:3
15:13,15 16:5,13	74:6 77:3 78:19	component 147:9	Connecticut	contemplated 20:1
17:2,10 18:15	81:20 83:15 85:4	compounds 32:16	128:12	contend 72:14
19:8,10 25:20	90:2,8 91:9 93:17	comprehensive	connecting 41:13	context 59:4 95:19
31:13 34:21 35:2	94:19 96:5 98:17	52:20 130:2	86:4 133:13	126:20 131:3
35:3 39:10 40:10	102:3 105:20	comprise 15:18	connection 19:17	continue 35:11
45:9 54:17 57:3	106:12,19 107:19	comprised 20:17	70:12 165:7	97:19 109:21
60:14,18 61:6	108:5,22 109:1,2	60:13 108:6	connectivity 63:20	145:8 162:15
62:7 71:2 76:8	109:18,19 110:7	comprises 26:8	conservation 56:10	continued 11:2
80:22 83:5 85:10	112:8 113:9	145:22	consider 50:17	continues 52:11
89:11 102:22	117:20 132:21	comprising 26:16	58:6 71:6 90:12	contradictory
103:3,5 113:6	147:11 159:18	compromised	130:7 133:9,15	13:10
128:6 134:1 146:5	community's 91:16	89:10	136:10 137:10	contribute 59:3
148:7 159:16	102:12	conceivably 114:20	140:9 165:2 166:4	66:11 67:2 76:10
164:5,6	community-servi	conceived 126:21	considerable	contributed 96:9
commercially	113:17	concentration	126:18 132:22	contribution 124:5
75:10	community-wide	31:21	consideration	control 47:15 125:1
commission 1:13	82:15	concept 45:5 128:7	13:22 69:17	127:1 144:3 161:7
52:5 57:22 94:5,6	commute 67:11	concern 6:17,19,22	considerations	161:15
94:10,13 95:6,9	compared 32:10	36:22 62:1 63:11	136:10	controlled 59:16
96:1,15 113:22	154:4	117:21 118:13	considered 37:4	controlling 147:3
125:18	compares 132:3	concerned 13:16	111:16 114:6	convened 16:6
commissioners	135:4	35:6 48:18 69:16	116:1	convenience 9:12
45:2	compatible 134:2,3	74:17	consistency 114:11	convenience/com
commit 72:4	compelling 58:13	concerns 13:11	consistent 142:12	83:19
107:17	129:19	18:12 25:14 93:2	149:7	convenient 53:19
commitment 70:3	complementary	concerted 83:20	consistently 130:4	conversation 66:15
104:8	114:10	conclude 5:6	Consisting 76:7	121:8
committed 13:1	complete 11:19	concluded 16:9	constant 40:8,20	conversations 8:14
committee 25:13	153:7	122:14	constantly 67:8	conversing 12:22
61:8,9	completed 127:8	concludes 5:7	constitutes 121:11	convert 102:21
common 128:5	128:3,11 131:13	conclusion 42:14	constructed 108:22	converted 103:3
	,			
	·		·	1

11 4 120 6	124 2 125 1 6 20	45.10	1660	1 175 14
coordinated 28:6	124:3 125:16,20	45:10	dear 166:8	described 75:14
29:21	130:19 145:1	curbs 89:4	debate 151:16	76:15
copy 5:18 core 15:15 17:2	158:3,8 162:20	curious 23:4	decade 128:20	describes 17:14
19:8 113:7	165:13	current 7:7,10 9:11	decades 128:8	deserve 56:16
	countywide 111:1	39:17 53:18 87:15	decay 161:14 December 3:22 5:1	design 46:8 68:12
corner 73:12,21 120:21 133:7,22	county's 20:9 39:8 111:7 116:7 122:4	88:14 89:18	15:9 58:6	96:6,19 114:11 124:22 144:1
134:3 142:6 146:1	130:14 145:13	103:15,16 104:2 104:11,13 106:7	decide 21:9 103:20	designate 111:4,17
corners 57:2 58:16	couple 15:6 27:6	135:13 146:5	157:12	112:15 126:10
66:5	47:4 48:6 61:9	149:5 152:2	decided 47:21	designated 22:4
Corning 54:11,14	92:16	154:18 160:6	decision 92:15,17	66:19 121:21
corresponding	course 42:10 47:20	165:6	declared 58:11	143:21
20:2 36:1 71:16	48:9 72:4 163:2	currently 4:20	decline 62:20	designating 52:1
corridor 131:10	covered 38:6	12:10 15:8 17:9	deco 10:7 52:12	94:11 125:19
corridors 4:5 58:16	covering 54:12	62:15 71:7 112:5	57:3 113:2 134:21	129:19 130:8
158:6	covers 130:8	130:19 140:1	dedicated 64:5	designation 13:20
cosseted 105:15	CR 19:1 20:12 21:8	149:3	dedication 81:11	14:3 34:20 57:14
cost 31:22 71:11	create 14:12 16:21	custody 98:4	deed 32:21	57:18 58:3,13
72:7 137:10	19:20 22:8 78:19	customers 12:4	defensible 126:10	75:6,15 84:17
148:12,20 155:17	114:13 159:15	cut 38:12	definitely 65:9	94:8 95:13 111:14
156:3,7	161:20	cutting 160:18	delay 14:6 64:3	113:12 114:7
costs 20:22 64:6	created 27:19	Cyber 53:7	Deli 53:7	115:11 121:22
140:6 153:16,17	32:20 55:7 113:3	C-1 21:2	delighted 112:1	124:11 127:9
council 15:9 33:6	creating 89:2		delivering 8:13	designed 9:9,19
34:10 55:21 65:5	156:12 163:20	D	delta 152:18	53:22 54:10 55:4
66:14 73:11 74:11	creation 72:20	D 98:2	155:12	55:11,12 63:1
74:20 75:3 95:12	107:12 108:14	Dan 2:4 5:12 11:11	delving 82:17	94:18 111:20
95:14 112:7	113:1 153:1	46:6,12	dense 88:8 116:1	127:14,18 128:2
counties 4:7,9	creative 110:10	danger 67:8 89:4	densest 32:7	141:3
102:1	130:16	119:20	densities 20:18	designing 54:15
country 82:2,11	credentials 125:10	dangerous 66:3	density 9:5 16:10	126:15
countrywide 55:5	Creek 27:21 48:9	daughter 45:20	16:12,19 17:1	desirability 40:22
county 1:1 4:13	crisis 107:1	David 2:21 121:4	18:17,21 19:11	desirable 54:7 66:8
7:11,20 10:8 15:9	criteria 58:3 94:14	125:8	20:3,4,11,11,12	desire 23:6
31:22 32:7 34:12	critical 16:21 17:22	day 93:12 167:7,10	20:14,15 25:16,18	desires 91:15
38:2,10 47:14,18	22:8 48:11,14	days 8:18 day-laborers	45:16 59:17 71:13	desperate 66:12
55:14 57:3,16	critics 128:20	105:22	71:17 76:9 92:20	desperately 65:14
58:3,7 59:11 65:4	CRN 31:8 32:19	DC 52:16 131:17	138:19 139:10	65:15
65:5 69:20 70:1,5	crossing 66:4	de 44:10 50:8 77:14	150:14 155:20,21	despite 127:6 130:2
70:17,21 71:22	crosswalks 109:7	78:7 97:22 98:1,6	159:6 161:5 163:1	destination 12:19
72:11 73:16 74:19	crown 52:22	104:15 105:14	163:6 164:22	45:10 83:18 85:5
74:20 79:21 82:22	CROZ 15:16 16:4	116:21 120:1	dental 26:20	112:11 150:11
83:3 85:1,13 95:1	16:9,9,12,18 CRT 21:13 30:22	deal 6:7 41:17	department 80:15	destruction 115:14 detail 42:8 75:14
95:5,14 102:4,9 103:18 107:17	87:9 165:2	76:18 110:10	83:2 85:2 102:5,9 103:19 126:7	details 86:5 114:11
103.18 107.17	cultural 8:20 58:1	165:21	depend 98:5	determine 81:17
122:19 123:6	culture 14:11,12	dealing 158:11	depth 27:12	determined 72:12
122.17 123.0	Carare 17.11,12	9	ucpui 27.12	acterimited /2.12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

detracts 40:21	difficult 115:5	91:15 108:20	driven 121:20	152:5 155:8 157:8
develop 7:11 59:4	157:21 162:2	114:19	driven 121.20 driveways 40:19	158:14,15 161:21
61:18 104:17	direct 161:7	diversity 8:17	drop 27:14,18	efficient 136:13
107:14 133:10	direction 91:9	83:14 90:5 115:20	drops 27:16	effort 48:5 83:20
140:11,17 151:6	directly 117:3	dividers 47:18	Drug 129:14	140:14
developed 28:5	146:2	doctor 117:17	Dry 132:13 141:15	efforts 84:1 108:1
71:8 83:1 103:5	Director 144:19	document 130:14	142:2	123:12
127:12 131:3	disaster 67:6	documenting 130:3	due 9:11	egregious 31:15
143:14	discourage 122:7	documents 4:15	dweller 56:21	egress 41:1 42:16
developer 141:20	discuss 23:19	109:15	dwellers 40:13	eight 160:13
developers 103:19	121:16 124:9	doing 35:12 64:11	Dwelling 103:8	eight-foot 160:8
142:21	131:4 145:14	91:5 140:1 155:19	Dweiling 103.6	either 32:12 139:4
developing 73:17	discussed 26:5	155:21 156:19	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	el 53:3,8
development 4:5	124:8	159:14 160:12	earlier 5:20 76:17	elasticity 81:17
12:2 16:14 17:10	discusses 162:10	dollars 124:1	84:6 154:6	elegant 53:2
19:18 28:1,6	discussing 123:8	domestic 106:1	early 15:2 18:11	elegant 33.2 element 138:21
30:20 33:6 37:16	165:19	door 159:9	69:10 120:15	141:2
41:2 45:6 48:17	discussion 13:21	doors 106:18	128:17	elements 66:9
48:19 50:18 60:5	83:4 91:8	doorstep 158:16	earning 103:11	129:10
66:1,17 70:13	discussions 82:18	double 60:16	eased 32:12	elevation 26:8
74:22 75:8,20	disincentivizes	doubtful 19:1	easier 86:11	eligible 58:12
81:1,8,20 82:2	162:14	115:2	east 10:8 16:3 27:9	Elizabeth 2:14 79:1
83:1,6 84:20	dislocation 158:13	downtown 12:6	31:1,9 57:19 59:6	Elliott 2:22 144:10
85:14 90:21 93:18	Disney 45:21	47:21 113:2,5	61:4 95:16 111:15	144:14,18,19
98:9,16 101:19	disparate 28:4	140:1	135:9 160:5	149:4,17 150:1,5
102:2 103:7,9	29:22 30:12	downward 88:3,4	eastern 133:18	150:8 152:3,9,13
104:7 108:18	displace 77:16	Dr 2:21 121:4	139:3	153:17,22 154:9
111:9 113:8 114:2	displaced 7:15 35:9	125:6,7	east-west 26:3	154:13,16 155:18
116:1 132:8	50:12 98:11,14	draft 3:20 15:20	easy 9:1 56:22	155:21 156:5,7,11
133:17,21 134:4	114:20 117:8	17:9 18:12,16,19	eat 161:4	156:18 157:1,7,15
135:8,17 136:17	displacement 71:9	21:4 62:3,12,20	Eberson's 52:17	157:22
138:16,22 142:8	97:16,22 98:10,17	63:12 70:18 77:1	economic 8:20 21:3	email 5:19
144:20 148:15	104:11,13 106:8	80:15 87:12,21	69:18 123:1 131:2	emails 4:22 5:2
150:9 151:4 153:7	115:2,12 118:13	90:7 96:2 111:3	economically 17:6	embracing 54:3
155:3 160:22	displacements 35:6	114:15	19:13 70:2,16	Emergency 54:5
161:3 164:13	59:20	drafted 15:8	economics 72:22	employment 98:5
165:8	displacing 62:4	drainage 34:8	economist 61:16	empowering 80:1
developments	display 53:9 129:13	dramatic 87:20	economy 115:5	empty 10:10 33:17
62:16 97:13	displeasure 48:4	dramatically 89:2	Edgar 2:13 77:22	46:10
133:11 141:19	dissimilar 147:22	dreams 106:4	edge 139:3 161:11	encapsulates 127:1
devices 47:15	distracting 28:13	drew 89:9	Edson 77:12	encourage 21:13
dialog 23:11	28:20 29:10	Dreyfuss 1:18	Edwin 128:2	21:15 46:13 66:14
dialogue 81:15	distress 92:9	22:16,22 23:3,12	effect 44:12 151:14	122:9,15 123:2
84:22	district 4:6 12:2	23:13 68:10,13	163:19	160:3,9 164:17
different 23:7 26:9	52:15 56:18 57:3	86:13,18,22	effectively 6:10	165:4,8
26:10 41:18 91:19	60:12 87:8 89:1	drive 9:18 67:7	147:2,11,20 148:2	encouraged 54:6
differential 72:8	diverse 8:20 53:16	77:22	150:18 151:3,15	encourages 106:7
	ı	ı	ı	1

162:15	entrances 95:2	everyday 90:22	expansion 37:18	failing 48:6,8,10
encouraging 122:5	entry 41:6 42:3	ever-affordable	39:17	49:1
encroachment	137:11 142:18	56:15	expect 20:21 84:5	fails 106:6,22 108:4
151:13	environment 6:12	ever-popular 53:6	149:11	108:9,19 121:22
encumbered	38:9 72:10	evidence 28:2	expectation 149:11	fair 33:6 61:19
141:21 148:10	environmental	115:16	158:5	101:18 102:2
encumbrance	95:7 124:15	evolution 113:17	expected 32:12	101.18 102.2
151:3 163:20	143:22	exactly 143:11	140:7 147:1	fairly 161:8
encumbrances	envisioned 62:16	exactly 143.11 examine 16:7	165:12	faith 67:1
154:22	envisions 114:1	example 10:7 34:14	expedite 76:3	faith-based 82:6
= '		111:14 114:16	_	101:20
endorse 56:19	equity 82:3		Expending 37:12	
endorsed 19:9	equivalency 157:11	128:5 142:3	expensive 156:14 157:4	Fall 2:14 79:1 87:2
energetic 54:4	equivalent 72:3 Erkin 2:12 73:8	examples 96:8		87:3,3 familiar 142:4
energy 39:10		128:9	experience 64:3	
163:13	especially 25:18	exams 117:15	125:14 132:11	families 7:5,16 54:5
enforce 60:11	31:15 34:9 70:21	exceed 125:10	expert 52:6 55:6	77:17 80:1 98:5
engaged 80:9	essence 110:6	excellent 6:8 91:7	58:8	98:10
engaging 82:14	essential 122:14	92:3 113:14	experts 55:2 124:6	family 89:22 90:6
engine 96:7	essentially 88:13	exchange 82:8	expires 92:16	98:12
English 97:9	139:7,10 140:5	85:15	explain 28:4	far 17:3,4 18:20,22
enhancing 102:11	142:20	excited 12:6 78:1	extending 60:10	19:1,5,12 30:22
enjoy 90:10	establish 85:5	78:13 87:6	extensions 48:21	31:5 85:10,11
enjoying 56:21	established 8:3	exciting 11:4 45:5	extensive 56:1	88:8 135:21,22
enlivened 53:10	69:17	exclusively 71:1	extent 71:14	136:1,5,6,6,20
enrich 12:3	establishes 80:16	excuse 28:12 30:3	exterior 139:19	137:7 145:18
ensure 107:19	estate 144:20 145:6	51:7	extra 69:5	147:21 151:17
108:3,17 109:14	157:17	executed 126:21	extremely 163:3	152:4 153:4 154:1
111:8 121:9	estimation 112:21	Executive 74:20	166:2	154:18 161:11
124:19,22 143:14	ethnic 8:20 114:18	Executive's 108:2	extremes 132:7,7	164:22
144:2	evaluate 126:2	exercise 96:16	eye 117:15	fascinating 113:11
ensuring 122:6	evaluated 30:1,14	Exhibit 76:15		166:7
125:3 143:17	94:7 95:18	exist 130:19	<u>F</u>	favor 94:10
enter 66:14	evaluating 96:16	existing 19:3 20:19	face 26:13 27:4	fa‡ade 34:22 39:13
enterprise 121:10	evaluation 126:4	32:17 36:10,13	31:2 37:14	46:1 114:3 123:7
122:6	131:1	37:13,17 59:18	faces 64:4	124:12,19 125:4
enthusiastically	evening 3:7 14:22	70:22 73:18 74:18	facilities 37:13,13	131:7,9 134:16
52:1 56:18	18:13 22:14 44:21	75:22 81:6 83:14	facility 92:4	137:16 139:14
entire 13:17,18	45:2 50:7 61:3	90:8 92:3,4	facing 28:7,10	140:4 143:12
32:18 66:18 88:18	69:10 77:11 78:11	104:14 106:8	fact 126:12 146:15	fa‡ades 112:16
95:7 102:21	79:6 90:16 97:4	107:3,9 108:10,13	150:17 152:17	129:15 134:12
112:16 124:16	101:14 105:6	108:16 109:18	159:3,4 160:7	135:16 136:22
127:9 129:19	116:19 118:8	110:5,7 133:5	162:11	137:3,5 138:3
131:1 143:19	120:13 127:3	134:1 138:3	factor 35:3	FDC 33:6
150:18	131:15 144:18,22	142:17 143:7	factors 70:10	feasible 16:11 17:6
entirety 19:6 52:3	166:1	148:10 160:17	factual 76:18	18:19 19:13 81:19
128:14 147:2	everybody 3:5	expand 79:20	failed 16:4 64:8	feature 124:20
entrance 160:17	100:16 167:15	expanded 58:8	130:3	143:16
		•		
	Į		Į	1

footunes 55,16	17.11 10 10.4	60.14 65.21 66.5	166.2	funnal 41.6
features 55:16	17:11,18 18:4 21:19 22:6 37:22	60:14 65:21 66:5 66:8 67:5 73:21	166:3 found 13:9 126:8	funnel 41:6
114:5			128:16	further 56:16 59:8
February 166:13	43:15,22 45:20	74:9 75:7,11		82:18 85:15,16
fee 137:11	51:17 55:20 62:2	76:19 87:11 94:7	four 31:4 57:2 58:2 75:13 86:2 90:1	147:9 160:15 future 11:3 17:4
feel 6:9 12:1 13:19	63:5 73:15 75:6	95:3,10,15 96:3		
45:14 81:4,10,15	75:17 80:14 91:4	111:4,6,12 112:14	104:3 106:9,12	19:7 28:3 63:9
84:9,16,21 87:8	94:18 105:4	112:19 113:5,13	107:21 115:18,18	70:13 73:19 77:6
92:2 93:10 6 -2 1 -23:10 49:15	106:14 126:2,14	115:8 116:5 117:1	127:12 149:5	80:17 81:7 93:19
feeling 36:10 48:15	127:16 132:2	117:1 120:17,19	Fourth 108:19	96:19 114:22
feet 16:14 26:12,12	135:3 138:2	120:22 121:18	Fox 53:22 115:8	115:19 130:14,15
27:7,13,14 49:13	141:15 142:14	122:8 125:19	Francisco 9:6	131:19 133:11,16
87:10,17 103:7	150:16 157:15	127:4,18 128:4,8	Francoise 1:14,16	134:3 145:15,18
135:12 136:3,9,18	firsthand 56:8	128:18,19 129:7	Frank 127:14	147:5 151:4
138:9,22 139:5,15	fit 55:11	131:7,10 133:6,22	Franklin 47:16,16	158:19
146:4,6,12 147:22	fittings 117:16	134:12,15 138:7,9	47:19 48:1	G
148:1,6 150:18	five 31:13 79:10	138:22 139:9,9	frankly 121:22	Gallas 147:17
151:2,16,19 152:4	107:11,22 110:20	Flower's 113:14	free 37:5	garage 148:4,19
158:19 159:2	149:6	Flower-Piney	Freeman 55:1,9	Garcia 2:13 77:20
160:13 161:7	five-story 40:1	42:17	Freeman's 54:22	77:21,22
164:5,6 165:3	flanking 131:8	focal 46:3 65:22	56:2	garden 54:6,15
fellow 79:7	flat 26:6	125:4	French 2:10 25:2	55:7 56:15,17,20
Fernabach 121:1	flexibility 21:13	focus 25:18 72:15	51:4,17 57:12,12	59:1 60:13 115:21
FHA 54:8,12	96:16 138:11	107:18 108:12	frequent 90:4	
field 37:9	Flint 159:1	143:18 165:21	frequenting 67:4	garden-style 55:3
Fifteen 19:21	floor 95:2 137:15	focused 121:20	friendly 67:2	Garland 41:11,12 161:17
figure 152:22	138:7 150:19	131:20	friends 98:13	
filling 73:10	151:20	folks 3:10 23:21	front 29:16 43:20	gas 27:8 gateway 74:1,7
Fillmore 85:7	floors 153:6	144:8	146:2 158:2	113:4
124:4	Flor 2:19 119:10	follow 39:11	frontal 134:19	gathering 12:7
final 116:9	Flores 2:18 116:16	following 75:4	frontispiece 137:21	45:6
finally 21:16 65:5	116:17,17,19,20	109:5	fronts 147:1	Gazette 3:21
72:19 89:22	117:15	follows 16:20	frozen 93:12	general 4:4 140:16
111:17 138:12	flower 9:16 10:6,15		full 20:7 46:5 80:19	generally 16:4
142:21	11:18 12:12,15,17	foods 10:19 69:3	84:17 121:13	generate 38:15
financial 19:21	13:8,18 14:3,14	127:17	125:15 135:4	89:12
20:4 70:11 72:17	15:22 26:3,13,15	foot 11:21	fully 84:19	generous 147:12
financing 54:7	26:17 27:2,15	footprint 38:8	full-size 37:8	generous 147:12 gentrification
92:16	28:7 31:2,5,9 33:8	Force 19:9 122:11	fun 10:9 166:7	53:18
find 12:15 60:6	33:17,19 34:13,17	forces 20:13	Functional 4:11	geometric 138:6
98:3 149:20 153:5	34:19 35:1 39:12	foreseeable 28:3	fundamental 21:8	George 2:10 25:2
155:2 162:20	39:13 41:4,5,14	form 60:9 127:8	funded 82:13 123:6	51:4 57:12
finds 94:13	45:18 46:4 47:9	162:22	funding 17:20 18:1	Georgetown
finger 161:13	47:15,22 48:17	formation 80:9	37:20 38:3 64:8	142:14
Finmarc 15:3	49:14 52:2,8,22	former 129:12,13	64:10 65:13 74:21	
firm 15:1 120:15	53:6 54:21,22	Fortunately 10:4	124:3	George's 4:7 101:22
127:14	55:12,19 57:15	forward 42:19 77:4	funds 37:12 56:11	
first 5:10,16 6:19	58:17 59:12,12	84:13 159:21	74:6 80:4 82:3	Georgia 1:13

166.16	141.4 142.10	11.1 <i>.</i> 1	hand 47.7 11	holma 107.7 120.2
166:16	141:4 143:10	grew 11:14	hard 47:7,11	helps 107:7 120:2
Geren 88:1,11,17	147:3 149:16,20	groceries 114:18	153:16,17	Hewitt 54:12
88:20	150:10,13 154:19	grocery 149:6	harder 150:11 hardware 53:7	Hi 11:11 14:22
getting 61:14 Giant 27:17 68:21	158:7,8,9 160:10	ground 137:15,22		119:9
	161:4,5,22 162:1	151:19 158:14	hard-working 54:4	hidden 147:15
69:3 95:4 127:16	163:16,18 165:17	groundwork 59:7	harms 32:17 harm's 78:5	high 7:3 103:7
127:19 129:12 146:5 148:10	gold 19:18 20:6 22:17 72:8,16	ground-floor 148:6	Harris 2:12 54:18	118:18 165:3
Gilbert 77:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ground-level 137:13		higher 59:16 76:9 81:13 88:6 146:13
Gilberto 79:4 97:5	163:2,3,6,8,8,13 163:15,19		68:20 69:9,10 73:5	154:12,14 156:16
give 26:14 50:3	golf 71:21	group 5:10 10:16 43:22	Hausner 2:2 5:11	154.12,14 130.10
93:21 137:6	Golfo 53:3	groups 57:13	5:15,17,21 8:8	158:21 162:20
given 21:10 64:4	good 3:7 10:20	groups 37.13 grow 90:6	3.13,17,21 8.8 hazardous 9:15	164:22
69:17 70:2 146:15	14:22 45:2 46:2	grows 158:8	headphones 29:9	highest 28:9
159:19	47:6 50:7,17,20	growth 11:2 73:19	headquarters	highly 129:21
gives 35:2	53:21 54:9 61:3	132:21 140:22	56:12	Highways 4:8
Glenmont 55:22	69:9 77:11 78:11	152.21 140.22	health 6:14 14:13	high-rise 115:1
56:6 59:10	79:6 90:16 97:4	guarantee 35:10	53:6	high-traffic 109:1
Glenville 49:3,4	101:14 116:19	guarantees 58:20	hear 3:10 29:8 91:7	high-transit 76:1
86:3,4	118:8 120:13	guess 9:6 24:10	100:4 166:8	hikes 107:10
glibly 8:18	131:15 144:18	154:8	heard 10:18,20	Hill 49:11 76:4
go 18:20 25:9 30:10	goods 97:18	guide 96:19	123:11 148:1	hired 147:16
35:11,20 43:14,22	gotten 29:7	guidelines 60:12	hearing 1:5 3:4,19	historian 121:5
50:3 51:18 61:15	graceful 112:13	96:19 124:22	3:20 15:20 17:9	125:9,9
84:6 97:17 150:19	gracias 167:15	140:11 144:2	42:19 57:21 94:9	historic 4:12 13:3
154:2,7,10,12,13	graciously 13:4	guiding 125:2	96:2 125:18	13:19,20 46:1
154:15 155:14	Grad 127:14	Gustava 99:3	165:16	52:3,5,14 56:7,13
158:21 159:2,6	grade 148:20	Guzman 2:19 24:2	heart 53:17 57:10	56:18 57:16 58:3
166:3	gradual 40:2	44:2 100:6 118:8	heartened 39:8	58:7,10 59:5
goal 23:6 72:1	graduate 11:12	118:9	heating 142:17	60:12 66:20 84:14
82:19 90:8 106:3	grant 74:6		heavily 42:11	84:15,15,16 94:5
goals 13:12 69:15	great 6:7 7:6 9:10	H	heavy 42:1 163:13	94:6,8,12,14,16
69:16 91:13	10:18 17:20 29:17	H 52:16 96:12	height 18:18 28:11	95:11,16,18 96:3
130:15 140:13,22	33:13 45:7 84:10	Hair 68:12	87:16 88:5 92:20	96:6 111:5,7,14
140:22	89:8 121:7 144:14	half 124:1	135:13 136:8,9,14	112:9 113:12
God's 129:1	165:21	Hall 53:22 115:8	137:5 139:5	115:10,16 116:7
goes 27:20 47:9	greater 16:19	Halpern 2:11 51:5	150:17 154:2	125:14,21 126:1,3
112:17	greatest 17:15	61:2,3,4,13 64:22	heights 16:10,13,19	126:13 127:10
going 23:11,22	21:21	hand 65:15	20:18 26:9 136:3	129:17 130:20
28:17 33:20 34:4	greatly 6:4 138:10	handled 31:12	146:13 154:18	131:2
35:8 38:19 43:2	138:14,15	happen 97:14	held 46:8	historical 34:20
43:11,14 48:19	green 6:13 20:9	102:19 117:6,10	Hello 33:3	51:20,22 58:1
49:5 51:2 68:1,5,6	72:1 74:10 76:20	123:4 134:2 136:7	help 43:6,8 65:17	59:4 98:10 115:17
69:4 77:18 97:13	greener 11:7	140:8	98:7 119:21	130:2
100:3 104:1,19	Greg 120:22	happened 33:18	helped 47:14	historically 130:1
118:2,15 123:4	Gregory 2:14	124:3	helping 34:9,10	history 14:10,12
124:3,6,9 134:9	78:22	happens 139:5	61:18 65:15 80:18	45:18 113:12,21

115.0 22 125.12	50.0 125.1 16 19	implementation	including 6.14 0.4	inavitably 70.6
115:9,22 125:12 125:13 126:19	59:9 125:1,16,18	implementation 17:8 21:17	including 6:14 8:4 26:3 27:13 31:10	inevitably 70:6 information 126:11
	126:4,9,14 132:6 134:13 137:17			126:18
HOC 90:19,19	hub 92:22	implemented 64:17	54:17 66:7 69:20	infrastructure 32:5
91:12		implementing 76:8	107:16 122:10	32:6 61:16
HOC's 91:20	Hurley 2:3 5:11 8:9	implied 148:3	125:16 129:9	
holders 84:3	8:10,11	importance 72:9	131:6 151:13	ingress 41:1 42:16
holding 94:9	HVAC 163:12	important 14:13	inclusion 74:14	inhabits 65:16
home 54:1 55:8	T	72:11,13 74:1	income 9:7 103:12 107:4	inherent 138:2
56:11 82:7 114:19	idea 29:17 46:2	93:11 133:15		initial 140:6 147:18
homeowners 40:20	47:6 86:3 131:21	134:6,22 137:10	income-producing	initiate 75:16
homes 31:17 54:3	159:12 164:2	139:12 140:9	19:3	input 166:2
56:16 57:8 87:13	ideas 7:19,20 10:18	141:16 143:8	incomplete 126:10	inquired 119:7
87:20 88:3 89:7	10:21 46:13	144:3 146:9,22	incorporating	insignificant
89:12	identified 75:12	148:8 153:11	113:8 143:6	148:19
honest 159:13	95:15 130:1	166:2	increase 7:2 40:9	installation 74:7
hope 41:7 46:11	identifies 96:2	importantly 53:12	40:11 60:11 71:17	instance 81:11,13
49:18 50:14 58:6	identity 12:18 35:2	107:7	89:3 92:12,19	82:2 85:6,12
90:6,11 114:12	96:5 113:8	imposes 70:11	104:12 107:20	instill 140:13
116:10 156:18	II 54:2	72:16	increased 17:3	Institute 16:6
hopes 106:4	illegal 40:21	imposition 89:18	19:11 21:6 48:3	institutions 82:6
horizon 11:5	illustrates 126:22	improve 65:6 80:12	48:18 136:8	101:21
hot 107:22		91:16 92:3 102:10	increases 7:8	insufficient 18:17
House 55:8	image 134:16 135:4	improvement	115:14 140:6	18:18 20:10 40:12
household 9:7	135:7,16 136:2,19	37:17 74:9 83:8	increasing 21:11	62:10
households 103:11	137:16,19 138:3 139:22 140:2	improvements 12:9	74:15 122:3	intact 114:5
107:4		32:16 82:7	increasingly 88:22	integral 12:17 74:2
houses 36:17 40:2,3	images 137:12	improving 63:19	incredible 53:2	114:9
133:20	imaginative 53:10 imagine 89:20	76:5	132:20	integrated 22:3
housing 6:12 7:1,8	immediate 61:20	inadequate 62:2	increment 92:16	90:22 116:6
44:13 49:6 50:10		inappropriate	incremental 47:6	integrity 111:10
50:13 53:18 54:6	immediately 26:18	30:21 31:1,6	83:21	intended 114:8
59:20 70:20 71:8	26:21 31:16 40:5	incentive 19:2	increments 159:13	intends 27:22
72:13,15 74:15,17	87:19 88:12	20:12,15 158:20	165:4	intensive 139:18
74:22 76:11 79:8	immigrant 7:5	incentives 81:18	independent 58:21	intent 47:4
79:16,20 80:1,22	105:17,20	85:2,15 123:2	160:21	interest 12:11
81:4,6,7,9,22 82:4	immigrants 54:4	143:9	independently	61:18 84:4 123:14
82:8,12,17,20	impact 6:18 13:6	incentivize 145:18	161:9	124:2
85:16 91:17,18	25:19 87:22 132:8	148:14 155:2	index 95:11 125:22	interested 83:3
93:6,9 103:22	134:11 138:10	Incinerator 142:15	Indian 6:1	interests 13:11
104:13 106:11	145:15	include 15:20	indicated 143:7	interfere 21:7
107:1,5,13 108:3	impacted 104:5	17:17 21:18 63:3	indicates 49:15	interim 75:8,20
110:4,11 115:15	impacts 8:5 20:4	64:15 74:4 128:10	137:13	76:3,10 95:12
115:20 122:3,4,5	impede 84:18	132:11	individual 23:18,18	116:10
132:22 140:19	impediments 19:21	included 5:2 7:18	45:3 47:1 87:5	interior 123:19
165:11	imperative 10:13	74:9 126:11	116:22	Interior's 125:11
HP 52:5	57:5	includes 39:5 53:1	individuals 57:14	interpreter 109:16
HPC 56:5,17 57:21	implement 104:9	73:12 75:10	inefficient 116:2	interruption 138:6

Intersection (6.77	62:1 67:14 90:21	Karina 68:16,18	landscape 55:11,13	72:16 163:2,2,8
intersection 26:22 38:17,18,19 41:11	106:9 123:17	100:17	81:9	163:13,15,19
41:15,16 42:2,11	137:9 138:1	keep 90:11 115:21	landscapes 128:22	165:10
48:7,9 49:1,3	158:12	120:6 135:1	land-use 25:12	left 114:4 141:8,12
	item 123:5	138:20	90:18	legacy 74:6
	items 80:21 124:7	keeping 60:7 75:19	lane 48:12,13,14	legal 105:21
	Ivan 24:14 44:3	Kelly 52:6 58:8	49:12 88:14 160:8	Lemus 51:13 67:17
intersections 40:19	100:9	Kelly's 56:1	49.12 88.14 100.8 Langley 56:14	67:18,19 68:12,14
II	Ibm 145:7	Keny \$ 30.1 Kensington 70:1	105:18	lend 45:13
intervening 58:7	1pm 143.7	key 83:19 85:1	large 30:17 39:1	length 139:16
intervention		91:13 113:16	46:10 63:8 147:11	lenses 117:16
	J 111:21 112:22	143:15	161:19	Lerch 15:2 18:11
107.11	Jacob 52:12	kids 118:18	largely 112:10	69:10 120:15
	James 54:12	kind 35:7 43:10	larger 132:5 158:6	Leslie 2:15 79:3
militioaacc 33.13	January 1:8 95:5	91:8 92:18 135:17	161:18	94:4
introduced 126:11	102:1	136:14,16	largest 31:20	lesser 20:17
	Jared 73:10	kinds 92:22	105:16,19	lessons 130:4
120.7	Jersey 127:13	know 9:4 17:19	lastly 38:14 42:5	letter 86:5
11101 0 010101115 100111	jewels 52:22	23:14 29:6 68:6	81:1 109:13 155:4	lettering 95:1
inventory 58:9	111:22	94:1 104:17	late 45:2	let's 68:15 81:21
invested 80:3	job 7:9 121:7	107:21 113:21	Latin 10:19	level 7:7 20:8 58:14
111 (0500 0 00.5	jobs 50:10,16 78:19	117:10,22 122:22	Latino 53:8 97:16	59:13 85:11
	104:15	123:6	Latinos 97:17	123:22 137:22
investing 107:18 investment 10:3	John 2:11 52:12,17			
m vestment 1018	61:4 111:21	knowing 5:20	latitude 21:9	levels 20:7
13:13 14:7 39:11	112:22 127:19	knows 56:8 130:12	launched 52:10	leveraged 74:5 142:20
63:17 64:16 73:19	join 57:13	KOMINERS 22:20	Laundromat 53:4	
00.101=1	joining 52:4 90:3	23:2,5 Kronstadthand	127:17 Laura 2:8 24:16	library 37:3 38:5 38:15 39:15 45:13
	joins 52:11	Kronstadtþand 55:2	44:8	55:15 57:1 160:18
	joint 28:1 92:5	33.2	law 15:1 20:1,2,10	lies 42:10
invisible 139:7	93:17	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	26:20 71:12 72:1	life 12:17 90:9
	Jonathan 51:5	lack 64:4 70:2	120:15	91:19 129:7
111 (100 10 / 10	Jos 2:13 78:12	lady 29:11 43:19	lead 71:21	light 7:14,15 81:7
111,01,04,150.2	Jose 24:4 99:4	45:21	leaders 13:2 54:14	102:19 131:20
141:6 142:14,16	146:21	lady's 28:15	league 33:5,12 34:1	146:20
	Joseph 54:18	laid 59:7	80:11 83:9 112:21	light-gauge 136:15
111 01 05 10 1110	Jr 24:22	Lake 146:20	learn 112:1	Likewise 52:17
150.1	judging 12:4	land 6:11 16:6 26:6	learned 6:7 130:4	59:1
	judgment 92:13	26:7 32:4 38:5	lease 148:11	117:9
11 011100011, 1 1 2 0 1 7	July 57:21 94:5	55:6 70:4 82:3,3	leased 123:14	limit 18:21 40:5
1.2.10	125:17	85:9 92:8,11	leases 155:1	61:22 87:16 92:16
irrespective 64:1 irritant 40:20	jumpstart 84:11	102:12 116:2		
	Junkyard 129:2	130:15 153:9	leave 28:14 30:5 86:6	151:6 limited 64:12
25544 51	jurisdiction 163:4	161:13	leaves 153:8	limits 16:13
78.2 107.22	jurisdictions 82:10	landlords 40:15	leaving 160:7	Lindolfo 2:17
120.1.1.1.1,	J4 76:2	landmark 10:8	LEED 19:18 20:6	101:15
25:18 42:16 47:3		129:1	21:6 22:17 72:3,5	line 4:10 6:1,16,18
23.10 42:10 47:3	K	147.1	21.0 22.17 72.3,3	mie 4.10 0.1,10,18

	l	l .a . a	I . . .	10-11
11:4,21 17:13,21	living 50:11 77:15	107:15,19 108:1,6	lots 26:19 66:11	manage 107:14
18:1 30:19 32:13	97:19 102:12	108:22 109:18	130:11,18,21	Management 15:4
38:18 41:20 44:12	loans 8:1 82:7	111:3,11 113:6	143:4	manager 1:22 3:9
45:8 50:19 60:2,4	lobby 142:18	114:12,14,15,16	loud 29:8	3:18 166:11
61:9 62:5 63:7,10	local 10:8 12:3 46:8	115:9 121:8,10	love 8:12 97:19	Manchester 91:2
63:15 64:1,2,10	50:17 94:21 96:4	122:10 166:12	lovely 46:3 57:2	92:7
64:18 65:12 76:12	104:15 108:5	longer 40:4 123:21	66:20	mandatory 60:11
78:2,14 81:10	locate 160:17	158:8	low 26:11 31:22	manifestation
82:16 87:7 93:8	located 56:12 95:8	longer-term 159:22	82:6 107:4 120:6	113:16
93:10,15 95:22	133:7	long-delayed 17:7	lower 28:11 36:14	manner 136:13
97:14 102:19	location 30:15 92:3	19:15	134:17 135:7	Manor 92:7
103:1,21 104:10	117:6 140:18	long-shuttered	136:2,18 137:18	Mansion 56:13
108:21 109:2,11	166:17	12:16	139:9 141:2	Mansur 35:16
119:13,15,19	locational 56:6	long-term 17:22	149:16,20	map 17:11 18:5
120:5 122:17	59:11 95:11	34:3 75:8 83:22	lower-scale 133:20	21:17 22:6 25:22
132:19 133:2	116:11 121:15	84:19 107:19	lowest 70:5	41:2 75:13,17
134:12 145:16	125:22	145:5 148:11	low-and 7:4	145:20
147:1,5 149:9,13	locations 148:6	155:1 157:16	low-cost 31:21	March 4:20 166:14
158:2 161:16,22	158:7,10 159:20	long-time 54:3	low-income 7:16	Marcie 25:1
164:14,16	logical 132:3 136:9	look 37:6 42:19	44:14 98:12 106:1	Marcy 2:10 51:3,19
lines 81:14 82:22	logistical 132:2	49:19,19 77:4	low-rise 9:3	Maria 67:18
139:8	long 1:5 3:4,9,18,20	81:22 100:6	low-scale 138:21	Marilyn 2:5 24:3
linkage 62:4 63:6	6:2,15 7:5 8:11,19	112:13 134:11	Luis 99:3	25:10 36:9
lion's 63:16,16	9:1,9,14,20,21	144:12 158:3	luxury 54:16	Mark 15:3
liquor 66:7	10:4,20 11:5,6,15	looked 85:6 133:12	Lynn 2:7 24:18	market 10:19 81:8
Lisa 87:3	11:18 12:11 13:1	137:12	39:2	83:17 108:15
list 99:10,12	13:12 14:7,13,15	looking 34:3 101:6		115:5 140:2
listed 111:6	15:7,12 16:5,22	138:18 155:4	M	142:22 149:1
listening 97:12	17:16 18:12 19:9	157:18 162:3	M 1:14,16	157:14,21
lists 93:12	21:12 27:11,21	looks 34:2 117:2	Madam 18:9 79:6	marketable 157:13
little 12:13 20:20	31:20 33:4,12	lops 150:18	94:3	market-rate
28:19 32:4 42:8	34:1 35:22 37:20	lose 164:5	Magali 99:2	103:22
49:2 88:11 103:16	39:6,9,15 41:21	loss 53:18 59:21	magazine 55:8	marquis 13:5
105:9 115:1 136:6	44:21 45:4,9,18	82:4 103:21	main 9:3 11:18	131:10
161:13	53:13 56:20 57:6	104:14 108:3	79:22 94:19 106:9	mart 104:18
live 9:2,16,22 12:10	58:16 60:5,11,13	156:13	142:18	Martinez 2:16 79:4
44:9 46:19 53:3	61:6,22 62:6 65:6	losses 107:3	maintained 74:18	97:3,4,5,8,9
61:5 66:21 77:12	65:8,16 67:12,21	lost 10:12 104:19	maintaining 45:22	100:14
77:22 78:12	69:13,18 70:1,3,4	115:4 151:15,18	major 32:11 36:10	Mary 2:18 101:7
101:16 111:19	70:6,12 73:13,20	lot 8:18 10:20	37:18	110:15
118:9 119:10	74:2,12 75:1 77:6	26:17 27:1 38:11	majority 142:8	MARYE 1:17
120:2 133:3	80:4,17 82:15,20	38:16 41:6 71:10	makeover 66:13	Maryland 1:1,13
lived 8:11 35:21	83:9,10,17 84:9	89:12 97:15,17	making 9:21 11:7	4:13 44:10 46:20
65:7 89:22 97:18	85:8 87:8 90:4	98:3,3,5 115:21	17:5 49:11 83:17	50:8 77:14 78:7
livelier 9:21	95:19 97:12,18	120:21 130:6	109:2	97:22 98:1,2,4,6
lively 57:2	98:3 102:6,17	142:21 143:6	mall 65:21 66:18	101:17 104:15
lives 67:7	104:4 106:5	158:11	malls 62:11	105:14,15 116:21
	-			,
	1			

120:1 145:12	108:20	153:10 163:9,18	mitigation 47:12	move 93:13 148:16
151:1	mechanism 60:7	methods 82:9	mix 14:9	160:4,5,13 161:6
_ :	median 9:7 103:12	Metro 57:4 133:1	mix 14.9 mixed 76:9 105:3	* *
Maryland's 105:16	104:2		147:7	162:2,4,5 165:5
Maryland-Natio 1:12	meet 34:11 36:11	140:20 158:6,15 159:7	mixed-use 10:1	165:11 movie 45:20 112:2
Maryland-Washi	meeting 3:3 6:6,7 102:3	MetroExtra 76:2	45:6 71:3 102:22	113:18
4:6		MHP 34:9 82:13	mixture 140:19	movies 10:9 12:13
Mary's 53:5	meetings 109:16	86:14	MNCPPC 55:21	45:19
mass 16:21 22:8	166:20	mic 3:12 24:8 25:6	mobility 63:20 76:5	moving 35:10 37:5
132:18 133:10	meets 58:2 94:14	28:22 29:4,14	102:13	112:1 117:8 118:1
massing 139:6	Melinda 51:6 65:2	99:8 100:14 101:3	MoCo 55:21	MPAs 158:14
massive 115:14	Melissa 1:22 2:2	166:9	model 55:5 108:2	MPD 59:17 71:10
mass-produced	3:8,17 166:11	microphone 35:18	moderate 47:8	71:13
128:16	member 1:17,18,18	144:17	107:4	MPDU 20:1 21:6
master 4:3,8,9,11	5:22 22:16,22	Midas 27:5	Moderately 103:8	70:19 71:15 74:16
15:10,10 16:3	23:3,13 33:5 50:8	midblock 41:4	moderate-income	76:12 81:11 103:9
22:18 52:3 57:16	61:8 68:10,13	middle 148:4	7:4	103:20 107:6,7,21
57:19 59:6 90:20	73:11 77:14 86:13	160:12	modern 32:10	155:10 162:19
91:6,10 94:12	86:18,22 98:2	mile 9:7	Modernist 55:4	MPDUs 19:17 20:1
95:17 111:7,15	101:18 116:20	Miles 2:15 79:3	modifications	45:16 71:11
116:7 117:4	117:13 148:21	94:2,3,4	123:7	114:22 115:3
125:20 127:10	149:15,18 150:2,7	Miller 58:19	moment 69:11	MPDU-like 108:16
152:2	151:22 153:15	million 80:4 124:1	Monday 75:2	MPI 62:13 110:22
materialize 62:16	154:3,15 155:15	124:4	money 118:17	111:2
64:19	155:19 156:2,6,10	million-dollar	148:12	MRO 1:12
materials 114:10	156:15,22 157:6	54:15	Montgomery 1:1	MTA 151:13 152:4
matter 72:4 167:17	157:10,19	mind 29:12 90:11	4:7,9,12 34:12	152:15 160:3,6
mature 38:6 55:13	members 18:10	146:18 147:16	38:10 57:16 65:4	165:4
maximize 140:17	25:20 43:5 79:7	151:10 163:22	65:5 69:20 73:16	Muchas 167:15
154:1	90:2 102:2 106:8	minimal 59:17	79:8,16,21 82:21	much-needed 10:3
maximum 16:14	143:6	147:13	101:22 102:4,9	muffler 27:5
19:22 21:13	memories 10:9	minimize 8:4	103:18 110:17,22	multicultural
136:14	mention 146:12	minimum 17:3	116:4 125:15,20	53:14 58:21
Ma'am 38:22 44:6	151:7	19:5,12 160:13	130:14	multiethnic 45:10
104:22	mentioned 60:2	minimums 165:12	month 10:11 50:13	multifamily 107:16
McCormick-Lon	79:15 84:6 133:9	Minneapolis	84:8	multilingual 109:6
56:13	134:8 139:13	146:21	monthly 50:15	109:7
mean 22:21,22	Mentzer 2:6 24:21	minority 7:16	months 12:21	multiple 123:11
23:12 35:8 53:13	35:16,19,19,21	minute 15:5 93:21	Moore 54:11,14	mural 84:5,7
85:17 103:13	merge 29:22 30:12	141:11,14	Moreira 105:1	music 53:3 66:21
115:13	merit 13:19 127:9	minutes 23:16 47:4	Moreira-Smith	myriad 57:4
meaning 84:17	143:20	79:10 96:22	2:17 99:22 105:1	
means 85:18	merits 30:14	110:20 141:8	105:5,10,13	N
measure 95:12	met 1:12 7:13	Miriam 51:13	morning 67:10	name 3:8,17 8:10
measures 61:21	37:21	miserably 108:9	Morris 58:18	11:11 15:1 18:10
62:14 63:22	method 81:12	missing 129:12	mother 118:14	33:3 35:15 39:1,2
103:17 106:13	methodology	mission 79:19	motivated 63:8	44:7,8 50:3,7 61:4
	·		·	1

			1	1
65:2 67:18 73:8	13:7 37:17,19	nodes 83:11	obviously 123:13	166:10
77:12,21 78:12	39:5 40:4,22 42:6	noise 89:13	150:16 158:22	ones 23:1 43:11
87:3 90:17 97:5,8	42:9 49:5 65:9,14	nomination 58:11	160:2,19 162:9,19	86:16
101:2,15 105:4,7	65:19 66:2,9	113:13	165:9	one-story 112:10
105:12 110:15	80:13 83:8 89:9	nonprofit 105:17	Ocala 46:19	one-to-one 156:9
116:20 118:9	97:11 102:6,10,18	non-profit 101:20	occupied 127:16	157:3
119:9 120:14	113:10 136:16	non-profits 83:3	occur 12:2 28:3	ongoing 64:5
125:8 144:17,19	146:16	normal 147:15	135:17 149:8	online 166:6
named 55:9	neighborhoods	155:10	161:11,12 162:7	open 4:18 32:19
names 99:6 100:4	32:18 36:13 40:17	normally 166:19	occurred 14:1	65:11 67:2
narrow 27:12	80:2	166:21 167:10	occurring 150:22	opened 95:5 106:18
32:10	neighborhood's	NORMAN 1:18	occurs 60:5 138:7	127:21 128:18
National 52:9,13	12:18 96:8	north 26:18,21	offer 8:22	opening 5:1 93:7
58:12 112:5	neighboring	27:4 31:14 35:22	offered 42:8 81:19	operation 148:17
nationally 147:17	133:13	130:6 160:5	offers 107:6,11	operations 63:15
natural 27:20	neighbors 8:12	161:16	108:11 109:4	opinion 127:6
nature 53:16	neither 26:7	northeast 26:2	office 8:3 117:18	129:18
navigate 66:6	net 59:21 82:4	96:13	132:11	opportunities
near 46:14 81:14	103:21 104:14	northern 133:18	offices 105:17	14:11 17:16 71:4
nearby 56:14 111:9	108:3	north-south 26:4	officials 60:6	77:5 95:21 121:11
112:10,12	neutral 153:1	notable 128:9,15	offset 20:3	143:5
nearly 128:7	neutralization	notably 70:14	offsetting 156:13	opportunity 10:12
near-failing 48:7	156:19	114:18	off-mic 51:8 68:22	18:4 21:22 22:5,7
near-term 84:19	never 9:4,6 57:9	note 17:9 146:9	79:13 99:16	22:10 34:11 76:22
need 7:7,11 11:6	102:11 113:15	noted 18:14	oh 43:21 68:13 99:9	111:17 122:18
15:11 23:19 32:1	115:13	noteworthy 134:18	100:6,16,22	132:16,20 159:22
32:2 34:7,13	Nevertheless 74:16	noticed 33:17,19	154:11 156:22	opposed 83:18 86:2
49:16 59:4 62:22	new 7:11 10:15	notion 59:15	okay 3:15 5:9,15,17	156:9 162:16
66:12 67:13 78:3	12:1,7 14:9,11,12	162:10	23:3,21 24:13	opposes 106:14
93:11 96:15 105:8	19:19 20:15,22	no-interest 82:6	25:7,9 43:14 51:1	110:1
115:20,21 124:3	37:13,14,16 40:8	no-man's 153:9	51:14 61:15 64:13	optician 53:5
133:3 144:16	54:3 55:7 59:16	number 7:1 46:20	68:15 69:3,7 73:6	117:17
149:1,21 150:6	77:17 83:16 95:20	62:11 69:16 75:12	79:12 85:20 87:1	option 106:21
153:5 154:11,13	101:5 103:4,6,9	81:18 92:12	97:3 99:10,18,21	134:14 136:22
155:2 159:3 160:9	107:8 108:18	numbers 150:19	100:13,19 101:13	137:20
needed 5:20 63:22	126:18 127:13	numerous 4:22	120:9 135:3 141:4	optional 81:12
70:21 81:15	138:16 141:19		141:10,14 144:7	options 40:7 91:18
122:15	142:8 147:6	0	144:14 150:7	131:5
needs 13:20 14:7	newly 45:14 52:16	O 140:2 142:22	156:22 157:10	order 3:3 16:21
17:2 32:14 34:15	next-level 162:7	object 121:13	old 14:9 31:21 92:9	138:20 148:14
37:21 58:15 59:13	next-round 162:6	objectives 17:22	103:6 128:8,20	149:10 153:7,22
65:9,15,16 73:3	nice 68:3 118:12	69:15	older 58:18	154:16 156:1
91:14 93:13 121:9	nicer 120:6	obligation 60:6	oldest 95:4	160:11
162:7	night 13:5 50:20	obliterate 113:15	once 3:16 81:10	ordinance 94:15
negatively 87:22	75:2 89:14 144:10	observations 15:7	89:17 108:21	Orellana 77:10,11
neighborhood 8:19	nobody's 43:11	69:12	123:9 131:12	77:12
9:11 10:2 11:7	154:19	obstacle 96:7	147:4 149:8	organization 33:10
	•	•	•	•

105:17 110:19	owns 120:19	30:17 31:2 39:5	pay 118:18	pharmacy 53:9
111:2	Ozberk 2:12 73:6,7	48:5 66:2 70:15	paying 50:13	57:2
organizations 84:2	73:8	84:1 114:9 132:2	pedestrian 39:17	phase 17:10 30:17
101:20 111:13		132:8 140:14	63:20 74:8	42:7 63:14,16
organized 102:4	P	146:10	pedestrians 78:4	102:16,18 104:9
original 114:4	package-unit	partial 35:10	88:21 106:13	104:10
129:13,14	163:12	partially 150:6,6	108:21	phases 127:12
originally 54:22	page 15:19 22:4	PARTICIPANT	pedestrian-frien	physical 4:5 113:16
outcome 13:20	41:2 75:13,14	24:11 43:8,19	46:4	picture 26:14
outdoor 37:2	87:11 101:1,6	51:8 101:3 166:22	pedestrian-orien	pictures 122:20,21
outline 134:13	panacea 21:2	167:12	45:5	piece 26:7 45:17
outlined 59:6 75:21	panel 16:7,17	participated 102:3	Pennsylvania	74:8 152:21
outlining 130:14	panoply 20:22	109:20	11:13	Piety 2:5 24:3,7,8
outside 55:17	parcel 26:5 30:1,13	participating	people 13:2 23:20	25:9,10,10 29:19
overall 71:17	95:8 151:5	109:20,21	24:10 29:9 34:12	30:11
145:16 151:9	parcels 133:14	participation	34:15 43:7,15	pike 46:7
163:21	150:10	166:20	44:14 53:13,15,16	Pine 53:21 54:9
overarching 69:12	pardon 101:5	particular 62:1	69:2 80:1 89:19	Piney 9:17 11:18
overcome 21:3	park 1:13 4:3 37:19	65:20 80:21 117:7	98:3,12 100:4	16:1 26:2,15 27:4
overcrowded 32:11	37:21 55:11 56:14	117:20 147:13	150:11 159:8,9	27:15 28:7 39:9
overflow 40:17,18	65:7 73:9,14 75:2	151:4,5 167:3,7	161:5 162:15	39:20 41:5,15
88:19	76:21 77:13 84:3	particularly 6:13	perceived 88:5	42:4,5,9 48:7,10
overhead 78:3	96:13 105:18	63:18 74:13 81:18	percent 19:22 20:3	48:12,16,22,22
overlay 15:14	149:10	83:9 129:6	44:13,15 71:6,13	49:14 50:9 66:5
18:15	parked 40:18	parties 13:10	74:16 81:11,13	67:6,10,20,20
overridden 47:21	parking 25:17	partnered 84:2	103:10,11 142:5,9	73:22 74:5,18
overview 113:14	26:17,20 27:3	partnership 79:9	142:11 145:22	75:7,9,12,18 76:6
overwhelm 36:20	28:2 34:14,14	79:16 83:2	151:9 155:6 156:9	83:11 86:4 101:16
40:14	40:6,8,13,14,21	partnerships 82:5	156:20 157:8	102:17 109:10,12
owned 27:1,8 145:4	42:12,16 62:3,6	92:5	162:21	115:8 118:9 133:6
owner 13:4 33:7	62:10,11,17,20	parts 82:1 127:12	percentage 7:4	134:1 146:11
46:13 66:15 84:22	63:1,4 66:11	132:1	19:22 107:20	161:22
91:1 117:7 120:16	72:20 73:1 88:10	passage 39:18	Perez 69:7 98:22	Pinto 2:8 24:16
121:2 126:6	88:11,15 120:21	passed 75:3	perfect 91:10	44:8,9,18
131:18 157:16	130:6,8,11,18,21	passing 9:13	period 113:16,19	place 9:1,22 15:3
167:4	138:12 147:13,14	Pat 2:12 69:10	permitted 30:9	46:6 59:18 77:18
owners 13:5 20:13	148:4,19,20,22	patched 137:14	Peroso 24:19,20	85:5 97:19 107:9
23:18 35:5,8	149:12 160:8,10	patrons 67:3 90:4	Perozo 2:6 24:17	117:7 134:5 135:2
67:19 70:12 82:7	162:9,12,14 164:7	112:12	33:2,3,4,11,14	136:3 166:15
83:7 85:13 92:2,5	165:5,10	patterns 66:4 67:5	perpetual 89:8	placement 121:14
126:19 161:3	parkland 32:8,19	Pause 5:14 25:3	Perry 2:15 79:2	138:19
ownership 27:7	parks 6:13 25:17	29:18 30:6 44:5	90:17	places 14:9 45:7
29:21 30:12	37:16,18 45:11	51:15 57:11 68:17	personal 12:11	52:14
120:19 126:22	57:3	69:6 99:5,13	36:3	place-making
owner's 123:13	park-and-shop	100:2,8,20 101:9	perspective 142:2	83:21
owner/developer	94:17 128:6,12	119:6 120:12	153:1	placing 62:4
145:6	part 4:16 13:15	144:11 166:18	Peter 128:22	125:21

159:2 160:16
162:10 163:21
164:1,15 165:19
166:3,12
planned 45:9 98:15
planner 46:7 73:8
planners 130:12
planning 1:3,13
4:19 6:5 11:14
16:15 46:11 52:7
55:6 58:5 65:4
67:13 71:5 73:16
77:4 79:7 80:15
83:2 85:2 90:18
91:5 95:9 96:6
102:4,9,10 103:19
109:14,14,16
125:1 126:7 144:2
145:13
plans 10:22 34:2
63:2 90:20 97:15
97:15
plant 142:17
plan's 61:20 84:15
106:3,15 164:17
plausible 146:15
163:10
play 37:6 149:14
playground 8:16
31:3
playgrounds 57:4
Plaza 12:5
please 30:8 35:15
35:20 38:22 42:13
44:6,21 50:2 56:1
56:2 97:6 113:14
pleased 131:11
144:21 145:7
pledge 108:2
Plymouth 36:20
point 28:11 36:10
41:4,20 46:3
62:21 63:5,6
65:22 66:6 88:6
125:4 139:12
148:8 154:17
161:15 163:7

•
points 25:21 28:9
41:3 94:1 162:3
policies 7:11 81:5
82:4,19 122:1,2
144:5
policy 60:10 122:5
pollution 89:13
pool 6:21 36:7 37:2
37:5,8 38:4,13
55:14 57:1
pools 38:2
popcorn 166:7
popular 88:21
114:17
population 9:5
91:15 114:19
portable 60:8
portion 127:4,15
143:8
portions 129:22
Portland 7:13,15
7:22 146:21
portraits 60:14
poses 89:4
position 14:3 36:3
91:20 164:13
positive 13:6 17:16
21:22 65:18 97:2
possibility 122:17
possible 6:21 7:9
7:19 115:6
possibly 109:11
post-war 94:17 113:19 128:5
potential 12:7
46:10 80:19 95:15
131:19 140:17
potentially 159:16
potholes 66:10
practicing 131:17
precedents 146:20
158:22
predicts 59:21
preference 84:15
premise 21:8
premium 155:7
prepared 126:5

preponderance
9:12
prescription 10:2
presence 63:9
present 1:15,21
40:12 122:17 130:12
presentation 5:6,8
6:8 125:6 132:1,9
148:2
presentations
131:13
presented 102:7
126:5 127:7
presenting 59:21
preservation 4:12 13:3 38:10 39:13
52:4,5 56:10
57:17 58:8 74:22
84:16 94:5,6,12
94:15 104:12
107:13 108:8,13
110:4,5,6,18
111:1,1,7,11,13
113:22 114:1 115:16 116:4,8
121:13 122:12
124:15 125:14,21
126:3,13 127:10
129:17,20 130:20
131:21 132:4,5,10
134:10 135:5,7
137:9,14,18
139:15,16,20 140:4,21,22
141:18,19,22
preserve 13:17
79:20 81:6 82:11
82:20 83:14 91:16
112:16 123:20
135:16 141:1
preserved 10:13
95:2 135:6 137:3 137:4,16 142:4,6
142:19 143:15
preserves 113:21
137:20

preserving 60:19
82:16 113:7 131:6
134:11 136:22
138:3 139:14
president 25:11
33:5 39:3 46:21
110:17
presiding 1:14
PRESLEY 1:18
press 35:17 38:22
44:7 50:2 97:6
pressures 81:8
prestigious 54:10
2
55:22
presumably 127:16
presumption
121:20
pretty 47:13 149:6
prevalent 136:16
_
prevent 115:6
prevention 115:12
previously 39:22
71:21 95:14
price 155:16
156:17 157:12
Priced 103:8
primarily 76:18
primary 64:9
143:18
prime 134:6
Prince 4:7 101:22
prior 17:12 148:2
prioritize 72:11
priority 37:20 38:3
private 16:8 80:4
81:8,16 83:22
103:19
private-public 92:5
privilege 12:22
proactive 164:18
proactively 81:7
probably 27:19
62:17 92:14 153:5
157:13,21 159:20
problem 163:11,14
problems 32:17
62:13 87:14 98:7

nnogood 66:17
proceed 66:17 92:18
- · · · -
proceeding 116:12
process 20:13
57:20 69:22 72:6
77:4 109:22
114:21 122:2
produced 89:21
producing 82:19
product 157:18
productivity 76:6
professional 61:17
125:11 127:5
129:18
program 64:9
74:16 76:12 103:8
103:20 107:6,7
103.20 107.0,7
programs 83:8
107:15
progressive 164:18
project 1:22 3:9,17
10:15 12:15 13:9
21:10 68:4 74:10
76:20 82:13 92:8
92:14,18 93:19
139:22 141:16
145:9 149:2
166:11
projected 62:19
projects 86:15 93:8
93:14
project's 77:2
prominent 111:20
124:20 136:4
138:21
promise 39:11
promising 59:7
promoted 22:2
properly 106:22
properties 15:17
15:20,22 17:18
18:2,22 19:4
20:17 21:14,19
22:3 26:9,11
27:11 29:22 30:13
56:7 58:10 60:17
30.7 30.10 00.17

70:14 75:11 86:2 107:15,17 115:18 122:9 161:14 property 13:19 20:13 23:18 27:9 27:17 30:17 70:12 82:7 92:1,4,22 93:3 120:17 121:15,19,21 125:2,22 126:6,19 127:5,9,11 128:9 128:14,16 129:20 129:22 130:9 131:1,5 145:1,3,9 146:2,8 147:2,9 148:14,16 150:22 151:3,9 152:5 158:3 160:4,14 161:20 165:7 167:4,5 proposal 138:18 143:13 proposals 96:17
propose 60:12 81:4
146:13
proposed 15:17 30:20 31:13,14 36:6,22 40:1 41:12 87:21 88:7 89:16,20 95:13,21 102:5 103:1,14,15 103:17 104:5 118:12 134:15 146:3 151:21 158:4 164:11 165:6
proposes 17:10 18:21 19:16 40:9
63:13 160:16
proposing 102:15 119:13,14,19 124:11 151:2 152:14 154:19 prospect 78:1 prospects 64:4,11
prosperous 70:7 protect 82:11 108:4

11 < 11
116:11
protected 111:9
protecting 60:16 90:8 108:9
protection 32:9
59:14 103:14,15
protections 107:9
110:3
provide 16:10 19:2
21:9 26:20 47:5
91:17 95:20 98:6
108:19 117:15
138:16,21 140:16
147:12,14
provided 7:22
40:14 42:3 71:11
71:13,18 94:20
109:15 117:18
126:3 133:11
138:13
provider 105:20
provides 20:2
71:12 113:13 123:1 132:17
providing 12:3
14:11 54:7 71:3
105:21 162:11
provision 62:2
74:15
prudence 130:7
public 1:5 3:3,19
3:19 4:18 5:1,3
6:14 10:17 15:19
17:9 21:9 34:14
38:14 45:6 55:14
62:2,20 63:1,4,17
64:16 72:20 74:7
76:4 80:4 81:16
83:21 84:6 94:9
96:1,4 108:14
122:1 144:4
147:15 158:16 162:11,14 165:10
165:16,18 166:20
166:21
public-private 82:5
purchase 107:14

quicker 93:14 quickly 15:6 47:20 quite 38:19 48:18 48:20 114:17 quo 21:5

R **radius** 133:8 rail 7:14,15 146:20 railroad 102:19 raise 18:22 50:14 56:11 raises 118:16 ran 151:10,11,12 range 94:20 rate 156:21 157:9 rates 60:3 104:3 ratio 142:11 143:1 rationale 75:20 ratios 164:8.9 **Raul** 2:13 78:12 reach 56:22 reaching 101:11 reacquisition 110:2 reactivate 46:10 **read** 5:18 readdressed 73:3 ready 69:8 real 72:21 93:12 123:2 144:20 145:6 157:16 realistically 160:15 163:15 realities 69:18 reality 21:4 **realize** 14:12 80:18 112:15 158:11 really 23:11 36:15 45:22 50:14 62:14 67:13 78:2 92:13 118:17 119:20 123:15 133:19 166:6 rear 139:6 Reardon 2:18 101:8 110:14,15

110:16,22

reason 20:20	5:1,3 73:7 90:17	reflected 116:9	relieve 42:1	representative
129:19	105:6,12 120:14	reflects 140:3	relocate 38:4,12	101:18
reasonable 159:5	125:8 166:11	regard 17:8 21:16	148:13 160:3	representing 17:15
reasons 37:15	167:17	158:12	165:7	73:10 79:8
121:16	recreation 25:17	regarding 15:7	relocation 36:6	represents 77:6
rebuilt 86:16	36:7 37:1 45:12	36:8 62:6 69:13	37:1 98:1	121:2 146:6
rec 37:5,8 38:3,4,8	red 137:14	77:1 79:18 81:1	remain 4:18 57:7	reprieve 89:14
38:12,15	redesign 93:18	83:5 85:2	124:20	request 6:5 29:7
Recall 113:1	redevelop 18:4	regardless 150:12	remained 127:22	56:19
recalls 113:21	20:19 22:6 34:19	regional 4:6 113:9	136:4	requested 92:19
receive 166:1	71:8 120:5 142:21	regionally 114:17	remaining 94:22	requesting 4:17
received 4:21	redeveloped 32:1	Register 52:14	119:8	requests 95:9
165:22	34:15 36:14 45:14	112:5	remains 10:11	require 19:17
recognized 55:2	142:20 143:17	registered 15:4	125:4 143:15,18	130:16 156:16
111:11	145:15 161:14	Register-eligible	removal 53:13 57:9	161:5
recognizes 71:10	redevelopment	52:9	129:9	required 20:9
96:15	12:20 16:11 17:5	Registry 58:12	remove 165:9	115:3
recommend 116:5	18:18 19:3,13	regrading 37:10	removed 38:7	requirement 23:6
recommendation	32:14 36:12 42:7	regret 7:17	129:15 142:7	36:11 70:19 71:7
13:17 75:19 76:9	46:6 63:14 71:2	regulated 41:7	renamed 59:12	71:15,20,22
92:11,11 106:20	71:22 72:18 73:19	regulation 150:3,6	renegotiate 148:13	155:11 162:19
107:5 111:3 116:8	74:4 76:5 85:3	regulations 122:7	renewal 10:6 53:12	requirements
160:1,2	87:7,12 95:20	regulatory 21:11	53:17 57:9	19:20 21:7,11
recommendations	96:20 103:2 107:2	rehabilitated 86:21	renovation 37:18	70:14,15 165:11
11:1 25:15 74:13	115:4 122:16	86:22	renovations 112:6	requires 83:20
93:4 106:15	124:18 125:2	rehabilitation 80:6	117:22	97:21 149:3 150:3
107:12 108:12	130:5,15 131:5,19	96:20	renowned 147:17	155:8 163:13
109:5 121:19	132:3 134:7,22	reincorporating	rent 7:2,9 60:10,11	requiring 72:2,16
126:9 129:21	135:19 137:20,21	143:8	107:10,22 115:14	161:2
130:21 164:11	140:7,8,14 145:19	reinvestment 15:15	118:15,19 157:11	research 52:7 56:1
recommended	redevelops 93:1	16:5 21:15 70:6	rental 31:22 60:3	56:17 58:9,14
15:13,16 16:17	136:11	122:7,10 123:3	60:17 104:2 107:9	59:4 126:3,8
19:7 20:11 59:9	redoing 92:14	reinvigorate 46:14	108:17	127:2,6,8
71:15 85:10	reduced 88:13	reissued 45:21	rentals 60:8	reservoir 76:11
107:21 121:16	138:15	REIT 144:21 145:3	rents 50:15,15	residence 89:19
122:4,12 125:18	reduction 7:1	145:11 152:11	54:12 59:15 78:18	104:12
132:6 135:14	149:12	157:16	120:7 156:16	residences 31:3,7
137:17 152:8	Reed 2:4 5:12	reiterating 116:3	157:19	88:1,7
recommending	11:10,11,12 14:20	reject 59:15	repeatedly 64:8	resident 61:4 80:10
71:6 130:5 140:10	46:6,12	rejuvenation 89:1	replenish 64:8	87:4 110:16
152:10	reenergized 52:16	relate 127:3 138:1	report 16:17,19	residential 19:18
recommends 70:18	refer 4:15	related 63:18 137:9	19:8 58:10,15	21:1 32:9 40:11
72:20 95:6 109:13	references 26:1	relationship 56:9	110:9,10 126:5,6	49:6 60:7,18 71:4
110:3,6	referred 52:15	relative 129:22	126:11,14,17	92:20 103:3,5
reconstruction	83:10	relatively 7:3 37:14	reports 52:7	135:11 146:7
140:5	reflect 130:22	41:21 114:2	represent 36:16	147:8 148:3 149:8
record 3:8,16 4:18	132:10	release 123:12	79:15	residents 10:8,20

12.1 52.15 20		161:12 167:12		152.21
13:1 53:15,20	retaining 58:20		ruled 9:10	152:21
57:6 74:18 77:3	131:8	right-of-way 27:13	run 147:1	secondary 162:3
80:9 82:14 87:14	retains 125:1	160:3 165:5	rundown 9:2	Secondly 138:5
87:18 88:6 89:15	retention 108:12	ring 48:1,1 49:2 rise 60:3	running 160:11	seconds 93:21
90:10 91:18 94:21	return 10:16 85:3		S	96:22
101:21 133:2	123:1 140:8	Ritz-Carlton's	Sadly 10:10	secretary 36:1 125:11
resistance 37:15 38:11	returning 54:1 96:21 123:8	142:18 road 16:1 41:13	safe 103:16	section 90:12 139:1
resolution 75:3	reuse 53:11 56:9	48:1,1,21 49:9	safer 9:21	160:7 165:6
76:16	96:17 130:16	50:9 67:21 73:22	safety 6:15 63:20	sectional 17:11,19
resource 58:1	revenue 156:12,15	74:5 75:7,12	106:12 108:20	18:5 21:17 22:6
94:14 95:16,17	reversible 114:4	80:11 86:3,4 88:1	Salas 51:12 100:12	75:17
111:5,8,18	review 91:10 110:8	88:11,12,17,20,21	sale 92:9	sections 6:14
resources 59:5	reviewed 132:13	89:3 91:2 101:16	sales 156:16 157:12	138:18
74:21 95:8 96:9	revise 76:14	109:12 118:10	Salt 146:20	sector 1:5 3:4,10,18
107:18	revised 16:18 127:6	roads 25:16 30:18	San 9:5 146:21	3:20 4:1,16 9:20
respect 50:16 81:3	revision 121:9	32:9,11 49:2	sat 10:10	11:16 13:15 14:15
respect 30.16 81.3	revisions 76:18	Road-Garland	save 5:21 104:15	15:8,18 16:8
respectfully 121:6	revitalization	38:17	saving 134:15	17:14,17 18:13,19
respects 119:21	10:12 15:11,14	Robert 2:21,22	saw 112:8	19:16 20:5 21:12
response 22:15	17:7 18:15 19:15	121:2 131:4,16	scale 30:20 32:15	21:18,21 31:18
99:20 100:1,18	52:22 84:5,12	144:10	150:14	34:2 35:22 37:3
119:5	96:8 108:1 114:13	Robinson 2:18	scenario 132:3	39:6 40:9 41:12
responsible 126:15	115:13 116:12	116:20	134:21 135:5,12	42:8 45:4 62:3,5
rest 165:13	122:5,14 123:3	robust 81:5	135:19 139:19,19	62:12,15,18,21
restating 132:16	143:5	Rockville 105:19	139:20	63:3,7,8,12 64:15
restaurant 26:19	revitalize 61:21	role 87:13	scenarios 133:11	69:13,14,21 70:11
53:3	revitalized 113:4,6	room 37:7	schedule 167:8	70:15 71:1,19
restaurants 45:11	reviving 12:12	rooted 62:14	scheduled 4:19	72:16,19 73:13,17
66:22 114:18	rewrite's 149:16	Rosal 53:8	166:13	74:12 75:18 77:1
restore 53:9	rewriting 149:19	Rosalba 2:19 24:2	schematics 124:10	91:13 95:19 102:5
restored 10:14	rewritten 127:7	44:2 100:6 118:9	scheme 139:6,16	106:3,6 111:4
56:13		Rotenstein 2:21	schemes 134:9	114:15 116:9
restoring 123:8	75:16 76:2	121:4 125:6,7,8	scholarly 58:9	121:9 122:2,16
restricted 6:19	re-acquire 106:20	roughly 15:17	school 65:8 98:13	124:21 140:13
138:14	re-do's 86:15	145:21,22 146:6	schools 45:13 56:22	145:1,17 147:19
restrooms 34:14	rich 90:5	146:12 151:7,8	119:16	150:17 151:11,12
result 14:2 21:4	Richmond 96:14	153:13,14 155:12	scooping 27:20	151:15,18 152:8,9
60:2 107:2 115:11	Ride-On 57:4 76:1	161:19 164:7	screen 56:4 144:13	152:13 160:16
126:4	Ridge 53:21 54:9	Rouillier 2:9 24:1	sculpture 84:6	164:17 166:12
retail 54:16 127:21	Riggs 55:19	44:1 46:17,18,18	seat 25:8	sectors 45:9 81:16
131:8 138:8,11	right 10:1 25:9	49:18 62:8	second 36:22 62:4	secure 57:6
147:4,10 149:6	29:15 34:22 48:3	route 39:19 76:2	63:6,16 71:20	see 35:9 45:20 56:1
150:14 151:19	49:5 51:18 96:11	routes 76:1	76:14 101:5	56:2 68:15 86:12
retain 7:7 144:3	97:10 101:7 121:1	Ruhlen 2:4 5:12	106:22 109:11	132:6 135:10
retained 125:17	134:17 136:5	14:21,22 15:1	132:8 134:14	138:5,14 139:1,8
142:5	156:6,10 158:2	18:14 21:20 23:8	148:17 150:20,21	142:9 145:20
	•	•	•	•

	l]	İ
152:18 153:12	Se¤ora 118:7	showing 56:3 134:9	106:10,15,17	95:12 98:11 126:1
157:6 160:9 161:8	shade 89:8	137:3	110:2,16 111:15	142:13
seeing 10:9 65:12	Shalom 121:3	shown 15:19	112:3 113:1,2	sits 75:22
122:20	shape 112:14	134:16	115:17 128:10	sitting 121:1
seeking 17:1	share 13:11 18:12	shows 41:2 124:10	132:12 163:5	Situated 75:9
seeks 12:15	63:16,17 141:4	127:11 135:7	Silvia 99:1	situation 66:12
seen 23:1 102:11	shared 6:21 28:1	137:16 147:20	similar 42:17 85:8	89:2
159:19	sharply 27:14	164:10	96:9 143:1	six 12:21 24:5,7
segments 26:4	shop 27:5,18 53:5	shrink 153:3	Similarly 20:6	76:1 153:6 164:4
Senator 111:20	66:8 96:14	shrinking 155:13	simply 11:6	sixty-foot 31:4
112:4,14,20	shopkeepers 94:20	side 28:20 31:1,5	simulate 15:15	six-story 87:18
send 118:15	shopping 13:18	31:14 87:11 133:6	single 62:21 118:13	163:17
sense 7:6 56:10	14:4 26:16 27:2	134:20	single-family 25:19	size 155:13
140:15	52:2,8,13,18 53:1	sides 15:22 31:9	31:2,7,17 32:17	sizes 26:10
sensitive 111:10	54:20 56:22 57:15	41:19 133:19	36:13,16 40:2,3	skirt 49:13
sensitivity 77:2	58:17 60:15 65:21	sidewalks 89:4	40:16 87:13,19,22	slated 42:6
sentence 42:15	66:11,18 94:7,11	147:12	107:16 133:20	Slater 2:8 23:22
separate 26:8,18	94:21 95:3,10	sign 41:8	single-family-size	43:17 44:22 45:1
separately 27:8	96:3,11,18 111:5	signage 74:14	26:19	45:3
60:1	112:10,17 113:3	signal 41:17,21	singly 121:20	sleeves 80:11
series 55:10,15,20	113:10,17,18	signed 68:18 119:3	siphon 42:3	slide 126:22 127:11
132:9 165:17	114:9 116:6	significance 58:2	sir 35:15 98:19	135:3 138:15
serious 37:9 66:15	120:17,20 121:14	94:16 111:12	101:2,13 117:14	142:10
87:14	121:18 122:12,21	112:9 115:7	sister 59:11	slighted 63:18
serve 65:22 91:18	124:16 125:19	significant 7:8	sit 43:11 81:21	slightly 73:1
94:19 147:11	126:16,20 127:4	19:21 72:7 112:20	site 37:2,6,10 75:9	Sligo 48:9,12 63:17
served 76:1 125:15	127:15,21 128:4	129:8 130:1 131:9	75:10,16,22 76:3	Sligo-Branview
service 40:7 105:20	128:10,19,21	141:1 148:12,15	76:10 85:14 87:11	25:11 36:2 39:4
serviced 132:18	129:3,8,11 130:3	149:12 150:9	88:2,4,12 89:7	46:20 61:7 87:4
services 16:22 93:1	130:18 143:4,10	152:18 160:21	91:22 95:18 96:7	90:3
94:21 97:18	143:20	161:8	131:18 132:5,12	slope 27:20 88:3,4
105:22 117:19	shops 26:16 27:6	significantly	132:13,17 133:4,7	146:10,19
servicing 138:12	45:11 46:2 96:12	129:16 156:3,8	133:10,19,22	slopes 146:16
session 4:19 58:5	shore 84:11	signs 30:4,4,8	134:6,18 135:11	slow 89:14
94:9	short 102:14	109:6	135:21 136:10,12	SMA 21:20
sessions 23:17	shortage 31:19	Silber 2:20 120:11	136:20 138:19	small 8:1 26:17
165:17 166:5,13	shortages 40:8	120:13,14 141:7	139:8 140:17	27:6 31:3 49:4
167:1	shortcut 39:19	141:11 143:3	141:18 142:1,2,5	59:2 67:19 68:1,5
set 81:5 136:3	shorten 153:3	Silver 1:13 4:3 5:22	142:6,8,9,12,15	78:15,16 83:7,15
138:8 139:2	shortly 92:15	11:3,14 12:6	144:4 145:22	88:9 89:3 101:21
setting 55:12 95:7	short-term 34:4	15:10 16:3 46:19	146:3,10,14,15,19	103:16 104:14
124:16 131:2	shoulder 124:20	47:21 48:2 51:20	147:4,21 148:9	106:12 108:5,6,8
143:22	shoulders 124:13	51:22 52:18,18	153:3,3 155:1,6	108:10,13,16
set-asides 82:8	134:20	54:19 57:19 59:6	155:13 160:18	110:5,9 116:22
seven 8:12 26:8	show 124:10 138:4	61:5 72:5,8 80:5	161:1,11 162:8	117:11 118:3
153:6	141:17 159:3	95:16 96:11	sites 39:21 70:22	smaller 20:17 34:5
Se¤or 44:17	164:2	101:17 105:18	71:2,7 75:20	133:14
				l

			1	
smart 132:21	133:21	51:22 52:18 54:19	44:7 50:3 63:15	164:4
140:22	so-called 26:1	57:19 59:6 61:5	144:17	storm 74:8
smart-growth	space 6:13 12:7	80:5 95:16 101:17	started 11:7 33:18	story 113:9,15
140:18	32:19 37:6 46:11	105:18 106:10,16	33:21 152:21	strategies 81:22
Smith 73:11 104:22	46:14 58:21 66:21	106:17 110:2,16	state 47:11 74:6	82:10 158:5
105:2	67:2 108:17	111:15 112:3	105:21 106:7	stream 32:8
soccer 37:9	123:13,14,18	113:2 115:17	stated 16:20	street 26:4,22 33:8
social 92:22 98:7	127:16 148:7,20	128:10 132:12	states 55:10 90:7	36:5,8,20 46:19
105:21 131:2	162:12 164:5,6	Springs 6:1	107:2 114:16	47:12,16 49:5,8,9
Society 51:21 52:1	spaces 89:8 164:7	spur 10:3 92:14	128:17	52:16 66:4,10
115:17	Spanish 8:14 97:10	square 26:11,12	station 27:8 109:11	74:10 76:20 77:13
solid 80:16	109:15 119:8	27:7 146:4 151:16	133:1,2 145:16	87:19 88:13,14,18
Solomon 15:3	spark 122:15,18	Sr 77:20 78:10	146:3 158:2 159:7	94:20 96:13 136:5
solution 107:11	speak 15:4 22:10	SSHS 59:7	159:16 162:16	138:9 139:4,8,11
108:11 109:4	29:2 50:9 79:17	stabilization 60:10	stations 132:19	140:2 142:22
117:12 118:2	80:20 99:15 119:3	107:22	status 21:5	143:16 145:2,16
164:20	speaker 29:11	Stacy 2:20 120:11	stay 78:17,18 97:12	146:3,22 147:13
solutions 6:21 34:4	144:9	120:14	143:11 154:10	160:8,10
solve 18:20	speakers 2:1 5:11	staff 4:17 6:22 7:14	steep 107:10	streets 9:3 11:18,20
somebody 30:7	23:16 28:21 29:12	7:14,17 13:3,17	146:10	25:16 41:18
136:11 154:6	119:1,8	43:5 46:12 52:5,7	step 159:5	133:13
someone's 7:13	speaking 6:3 29:12	56:17 59:20 60:2	stepped 164:3	streetside 129:15
somewhat 150:22	33:9,11 39:6 45:3	73:16 80:15,15	stepping 159:17	Street-Walden
son 8:15	46:22 51:17 61:11	86:10 91:5 93:17	165:3	38:16
Sons 127:14	61:14 69:2 87:5	102:5,8 105:13	steps 8:4 159:5	strength 45:13
soon 56:3	90:19 110:18	113:22 115:17	165:3	strengthening 80:2
sooner 13:13	116:21	121:6 126:3,7,14	stick 155:9	strengthens 96:4
sorely 14:7	special 45:17 58:4	127:6 129:17	Stickle 2:10 25:1	strengths 80:18
sorry 9:17 23:10	specialty 45:11	130:3 132:6	51:3,16,19,19	83:14
24:9 43:21 45:1	specific 63:2	134:14 145:14	stick-built 153:10	strict 137:18
97:8 99:17 100:22	131:20	165:20 167:3,11	153:12 154:8,10	139:16
105:3 149:17	specifically 16:17	staff's 130:20	163:9	strictly 155:13
151:16	16:20 41:8 53:22	staffþs 69:14	stiffening 64:5	157:18
sort 132:2 135:18	71:18 124:7	stage 53:4	stimulate 19:2	strings 20:16,20
161:12,20 162:6	specifics 62:9 63:4	staged 93:7	85:14	strip 62:11 84:18
163:16	spent 142:15	stages 91:19	stimulating 83:16	130:18
sorts 158:10	split 22:2	staging 93:3	sting 115:2	strong 134:19
soul 53:17 57:10	spoke 62:8	stake 84:3	stop 41:8 107:10	138:6 147:10
sound 70:16	Sponseller 2:21	stakeholders 46:9	stops 45:8 119:16	strongly 74:19 87:9
sounds 29:16	121:2 124:9 131:4	83:4 85:1	store 53:7 54:19	91:12 106:14
source 7:19 64:9	131:15,16 137:2	stance 84:13	66:7 95:4 128:1	109:13 111:2
south 127:22 135:9	141:9,13 143:14	standalone 164:12	129:12,14 148:17	structure 36:18,19
southeast 146:1	sprawl 128:20	165:9	storefronts 138:8	37:1 73:1 114:6
southern 127:15,20	Spring 1:13 4:3	standards 125:12	stores 9:13,16	structured 147:14
southwest 73:12,21	5:22 11:3,14 12:6	standing 43:7	114:3 119:17	structures 58:20
75:7 133:7	15:10 16:3 46:19	stand-alone 163:12	127:20 129:11	struggling 70:2
southwestern	47:21 48:2 51:20	start 23:11 39:1	stories 40:5 137:5	studied 11:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

122.0	. 0.10		1	110 21 22 120 0 0
122:8	summarizes 86:10	Sylvia 99:11,19	tenants 103:15	118:21,22 120:8,9
studies 10:21 62:13	summer 12:14	symbolic 129:2,4	106:1 138:11	125:7 131:14
130:5 132:10	superblock 15:21	symbolize 129:6	146:5	144:6,7,14 165:14
141:5	26:1 30:16 31:10	system 163:15	term 8:17 46:14	165:15 167:12,14
studio 130:13	41:3,7 42:18	systems 163:11,12	158:8	thanks 34:12
study 36:6 58:19	72:21 145:14,21		terms 8:21 64:16	theater 10:6,10,13
59:8 85:10 115:19	160:19 164:21	<u>T</u>	92:7 123:19	10:15,17 12:12,15
147:18	superlative 52:6	table 28:14 29:2,3	125:15 131:18	12:16 13:8 14:4
stuff 166:7	supermarket 27:6	29:16 167:6	132:17,18 133:16	14:14 26:17 33:8
stunt 84:19	27:18	Tacoma 84:3	134:10 137:10	33:17,19,21 34:13
subject 106:20	support 11:15,17	Tahona 77:22	139:6,17,18	34:18,19 35:1
subjective 129:21	12:9 14:15 21:10	take 8:15 14:3 25:8	140:10,21 141:17	39:12,14 45:19
submit 86:9	34:8 39:12,16	38:3 45:7 69:11	terrain 37:10	46:11 52:2,8,13
submitted 5:18,19	40:1 45:14,15	77:18 81:21 83:21	terrazzo 95:2	52:18 53:1,2
126:7	57:14 61:20 96:18	118:18 120:1	test 147:20 151:10	57:15 58:18 66:16
submitting 110:9	98:4,16 112:6	166:15	tested 150:15,15	66:19,21 84:18
subsequently 126:6	124:15 145:17	taken 95:13 135:20	testified 57:20 58:4	85:7 94:7,11
substandard 66:9	164:15,16	151:3	111:14	95:10,15 96:3,11
66:10	supported 57:18	takes 13:21 46:6	testify 11:15 28:15	96:12,17 111:4,20
substantial 39:5	165:1	Takoma 65:7 73:9	testifying 15:2	111:20 112:2,19
80:6 127:2	supporter 6:16	73:14 75:2 76:21	90:20	113:1 114:8 116:5
substantially 127:7	supportive 74:12	77:13	testimonies 4:22	117:2 120:18,20
suburban 128:21	supports 52:1	talk 33:22 91:2,14	testimony 36:3	121:14 122:13
Suburbia's 129:2	91:12 96:1 111:2	97:9,10 138:17	86:9,10 91:6	123:12,20 124:12
suburbs 112:13	163:4	144:22 163:8	testing 108:5	124:17,19 125:19
158:9	supposed 18:16	167:4	text 76:15	126:15,20 127:18
success 12:5 22:8	28:17	talked 131:21	thank 5:4,5 8:6,7	127:20,22 131:7
successful 14:8	sure 7:19 23:12	talking 34:17 133:4	11:9 14:18,19	132:4 134:16
successfully 79:22	48:15 78:4 86:11	152:1 153:15	18:6,7,9 22:9,11	135:8 136:4,18
115:10	119:2	167:11	23:21 29:19 32:22	137:4,21 138:20
suffered 62:7,9	surface 130:9,18	talks 48:11	33:1,13 35:13,14	141:3 143:15,17
sufficient 16:10	Surfacing 130:11	tall 89:6	38:20 42:20,21	theaters 113:18
19:2 108:20 115:3	surprised 48:20	taller 28:8,9	43:9,13 44:16,17	theater's 13:3 46:1
suggest 62:13 86:8	surrounding 17:20	tandem 80:11	46:15,16 49:22	84:14 131:9
121:6 124:14	30:18 65:17	TAP 16:19	50:20,21 51:1	theater-shopping
161:10	142:19	target 107:18 137:8	60:21,22 64:13,20	52:21
suggested 7:17	survey 82:15	targeted 104:4	64:21 67:15,16	theatre 84:10
36:18 126:13	survival 8:2	task 19:9 122:11	68:8,9,14 69:9	theme 85:9
134:13 135:22	surviving 95:4	126:2	73:4,5,15 77:7,8	theory 150:16
suggesting 28:19	sustainability	tax 92:15	77:18 78:8,20,21	156:11,20
124:21 157:7	102:14	technical 4:14 16:7	79:12,17 87:1	thing 23:5,8 34:22
159:1	sustainable 32:15	16:16	90:13,14 93:20,22	119:22 123:10
suggestion 13:4	72:10	tell 145:7	94:3 96:21 97:1	124:8 148:8
159:11,19	swap 155:22	telling 152:14	98:18,19,20	150:21 158:15
suggests 70:5	sweeping 53:9	tenancy 143:7	104:20,21 105:5	163:7
152:12	swimming 6:20	tenant 40:13	110:12,13 116:13	things 32:4 34:7,13
sum 116:3	36:7 37:2	148:11	116:14 118:4,5,20	50:10 80:1 155:2
	•	•	•	•

]]	
158:13 159:1	18:6 23:20 40:12	tout 55:17	treeless 9:2	typically 162:21,22
think 22:20 23:2,7	43:15 49:16 61:22	town 15:18 17:5,14	trees 38:6,12 55:14	165:19
33:2 45:22 61:19	67:21 69:5 70:9	17:18,21 18:3,21	trend 97:2	typography 26:10
65:21 66:3 73:2	85:19 91:8,10	19:7 21:14,19	Trendsetters 55:6	
91:4,7,9 100:10	97:13,18 98:3	22:1,4 74:2 75:9	tried 90:1	U
105:8 115:15	110:12 115:22	76:6 83:11 102:16	tries 18:19	ubiquitous 128:15
132:13,20 134:5	123:7,16 125:3,5	102:17 103:17	trucks 40:14	ULI 16:16 19:7
134:17,22 135:13	127:1 128:8,19	104:18 108:15	truly 8:19 57:7	122:10
137:9 139:11	142:16 161:6	townhouse 36:5	Trust 144:21	Ulloa 51:6 65:2,3
140:9 141:1,16	165:14 167:9	townhouses 36:9	trusts 82:3	ultimate 82:19
142:3 146:14,19	times 32:11	36:11,15	try 47:11 79:14	ultimately 17:6
147:6 149:1,15,19	Tina 2:8 23:22	track 159:10	100:3 117:3	19:14 147:8
150:13 159:2,18	43:17 45:2	tract 36:5	152:22 153:3,22	148:18 158:1,7
160:14	tired 122:22	tradition 52:11	154:1 157:20	161:4,13 164:9
thinking 159:4,21	tireless 56:11	traditional 6:15	163:16 167:8	unable 15:5
159:21 162:7	today 5:20 36:15	traffic 9:13 25:16	trying 38:11 47:8	unacceptable
164:19	40:6 58:22 79:18	32:12 38:16 39:19	49:7,12 124:2	106:16
third 37:19 108:4	79:18 90:10,18	41:17,17,22 42:4	155:14	unanimous 52:4
thought 85:22 86:1	93:13 104:2	47:12,15,18 48:3	turn 11:1 13:5	unanimously 57:22
164:1	120:16,22 121:17	49:14 62:7 66:4	28:15 125:5	94:10
thoughts 42:14,20	122:21 123:11	67:5 89:3	144:16 161:22	unbuilt 128:1
49:17	146:4 148:9	train 162:5	turned 161:16	uncertain 64:4
thousand 149:5	149:10 150:8	trains 41:19 160:11	turning 10:18	uncertainty 17:20
thousands 106:8	153:13 157:22	Tramp 45:22	twice 99:10 161:19	uncovered 115:16
threatened 8:2	158:19 161:6	transformation	two 6:17 9:16 17:11	undergoing 112:5
three 17:3,3 19:5	162:21	11:17 65:18	20:7 26:18 30:16	undergone 129:8
40:4 41:18 79:22	today's 15:18 38:9	transit 40:7 81:14	35:21 37:16,17	understand 28:17
80:20 106:11	tomorrow 11:8	82:22 132:18	41:3 45:8 50:10	62:19 72:9 119:14
107:20 108:11,15	tones 137:14	133:10 145:12	61:5 64:3 75:4	119:18
109:5,8 126:12	tonight 3:19 5:16	147:7 151:1 158:6	102:16 104:18	understanding
127:12 137:5	15:3 73:9 91:7	158:16 162:17	106:11 107:13	72:22 149:2
141:5 146:4	110:12 131:22	transition 31:18	108:14 109:7,8,10	undertaken 58:15
three-bedroom	145:7 154:6	36:12,16 40:3	118:14,18 119:1	underutilized
55:18	158:12	transitional 39:21	124:12 125:15	75:21 130:16
three-minute 133:1	Tony 2:2 5:11,21	87:21	128:7 132:1,7,7	unfortunately
133:8	tools 7:10	transitions 32:20	134:8,19 137:5,12	106:5 153:8
three-way 41:8	top 20:10 55:9	32:21	141:5,7,9 142:13	uniform 26:7 31:11
42:2	135:21	translate 28:19	148:5	unique 53:3 113:7
thrilled 65:3	topic 82:16	29:3 30:8	two-bedroom	151:1
thriving 58:22	topography 28:5	translator 28:16,22	54:13	unit 54:13 88:7
throw 155:16	Torti 147:17	29:4,5,14	two-phased 63:13	103:8 107:3 114:7
THURSDAY 1:8	total 20:10 122:13	transmitted 76:17	two-story 76:7	116:6 149:8
thwart 124:18	136:20 137:7	transportation	two-way 88:13	153:13 156:4
tied 18:1	142:12	47:3 93:11 109:6	type 128:9,16 134:6	157:3,3,4
tiered 159:14	touch 47:2 70:17	trash 89:15,21	136:15 153:10	United 55:10 82:14
tight 37:20	touched 39:22	treatment 114:8	157:18	128:17
time 11:1 14:18	71:21	tree 38:9	types 115:20	units 7:2,3 40:11
			V F	
	I	I	ı	1

59:22 92:10,12	159:8 163:11,16	viability 138:10	want 50:9,12 65:10	welcomed 54:2
103:10 107:10,21	useful 64:15	viable 106:21	79:9,16 80:20	welcomes 67:1
115:4 148:3	uses 46:10 76:8	137:19 164:12	119:2 120:1 129:6	welcoming 9:22
151:16,17,20	83:16 85:4 103:7	vibrant 11:8 52:10	132:15 136:12	104:16
153:4 155:9,11,12	134:1,4 140:19	53:14 57:5 59:3	132.13 130.12	WELLS-HARL
155:12 156:1,3,14	146:7 163:13	65:15 96:10	154:1 159:21	1:17
156:20 157:8	usual 6:11	112:11	wanted 15:6 23:13	
164:6	usual 6:11 utilized 15:14 16:8	vice 1:17 14:14	33:14 69:11	well-being 67:3 73:18
University 11:12	82:1 164:9	25:11 110:17	123:10 124:8	
11:19 44:9 48:8	U.S 64:7	Vicki 5:13	164:2	well-developed 6:10
	0.8 04:7	view 88:6 135:1	wants 10:16 44:15	well-loved 14:9
49:1 55:19 67:6	V			
109:9,10 119:10	vacant 27:1	147:15	167:4	Wendy 49:11
unnecessarily 14:6	valid 13:10	viewing 147:3	War 54:1 128:18	went 65:8 151:18
unpleasant 9:15	valley 32:9	views 13:22 131:9	Ward 73:11	167:17
unreasonable	valuable 10:5	Village 42:6,10	warrant 115:19	weren't 43:15
159:19	111:8	83:11 102:18	warrants 59:8	100:4
unrecognizable 114:14	value 56:8 114:15	vision 61:20 80:16	Washington 96:13	west 31:5,9 87:11
	153:1 155:22	147:20 164:10,17	128:2 131:17	western 133:19
unremarkable	156:19	visionaries 158:18	144:20	161:11
128:5	values 70:4	visitors 90:10	Washington's	Westrope 2:7
unsafe 109:3	values 70.4 value-neutral	vital 57:5	128:11	24:18 39:2,3
unsuccessful 47:13	154:2,17 155:5	vitality 53:14	watch 12:13 166:6	42:15
unusual 22:18	vans 40:14	volume 48:14	166:21	we'll 23:17 134:11
upcoming 74:7	variety 46:9 90:20	volumes 48:12	watched 45:19	144:12
updates 76:19	various 37:15 46:8	139:7,9	water 74:9	we're 13:16 23:11
upper 135:4,15	80:8 91:17 97:16	volumetric 135:1	Watergate 54:18	39:8 48:20 74:17
137:15 138:15	124:7	volunteer 44:10	way 14:5 24:12	77:16 85:11 86:2
140:2	vary 26:11	voted 56:5 57:22	48:16 67:12 76:4	93:4 123:8 124:2
upwards 123:22	val y 20.11 vehicle 39:18	94:10	78:5 82:14 102:12	133:4 137:3 140:1
urban 11:13 12:7	vehicles 40:18	\mathbf{W}	137:1 142:15	140:10 147:3
16:6 37:16 46:6	Velasco 68:16	wages 4:4	146:11 159:14	149:18 158:11
53:12 85:9 113:12	100:17	wages 4.4 wait 8:15 11:6	166:3	165:17
128:20		waiting 93:14	Wayne 47:22	we've 10:17 14:2
urbanism 10:1	Velasquez 2:19 119:9,10	Walden 41:11	ways 12:16 102:11	51:14 79:21
147:7	VELSASCO 68:22	Walden-Arliss-G	110:10 124:19	117:18 123:11
urge 74:19	ventures 40:10	41:16	155:2	124:7 133:12
urgent 34:18	venures 40:10 venue 53:4	walk 66:1 67:8	way-finding 74:14	135:10,11 137:12
urges 116:4	venue 55:4 verdant 55:13	133:1 159:9	Web 53:7	138:20 139:4
urging 75:3			website 7:18	141:5 145:4
Urial 100:12	Vergagn 22:13	walkable 10:1 11:8	Wednesday 102:1	159:19
Uriel 51:12	Vergagni 5:13 versa 14:14	45:15	week 29:7 76:17	Wheaton 69:22
usage 42:1		walkers 109:3	weighed 122:2	105:18
use 6:11 10:17 43:4	versus 72:8 132:5	walking 9:14 109:1	Weihe 128:2	Whelan 129:13
59:17 76:1,9	138:15 141:19	109:8 133:8	welcome 3:5 11:20	Whelans 53:8
92:11,21 102:12	vertical 155:14	162:17	39:10 54:1 83:1	White 159:1
103:20 116:2	veterans 12:5 54:1	walkway 78:3,3	86:9 106:10,16,18	wholesale 59:20
130:15 147:7	54:5	walls 55:16	110:3 166:5	wide 94:20

10 10 10 10	00 0 110 14	26 10 61 0 64 16	142 11 160 12	10 10 6 10
widen 49:13 160:10	98:9 118:14	36:18 61:8 64:16	142:11 160:13	19 106:19
widened 39:18	119:12	87:15 89:20 90:12	161:19 164:4	190 127:21
widening 63:19	worse 62:17	92:10 102:13	100 44:15 108:6	1930 128:13
wider 83:17	worsening 62:7	135:14 149:16,19	101 2:17	1930s 128:7
wild 60:19	worst 9:15	152:2 165:2	102 101:17	1938 128:11
wildly 62:10	worthy 58:19	Zorayda 2:17	1028 44:9	1946-1950 54:5
willfully 124:17	writing 86:9,12	99:21 104:22	105 2:17	1947 54:9
William 2:6 24:21	128:21 166:2	105:12	11 2:4 77:15	1948 94:18
35:16,19	wrong 101:1,6	ZPTAX 33:7	110 2:18	1950 95:5 127:13
Williams 1:22 2:2	wrote 129:1	<u> </u>	1108 77:12	1954 55:3 127:13
3:6,7,8,13,15,17	Y		112,300 27:7	128:1
5:7 6:8 166:10,11		þ 24:18 31:10 51:6	116 2:18	1956 55:12
willing 93:16	Yeah 24:11 86:19	166:21	117,000 148:6	1960 145:5
158:20 159:8	year 84:7 125:16	\$	118 2:19	1963 145:4
Winding 76:4	years 7:14 8:12	\$1,318 50:13	119 2:19	1964 36:1 55:8
windows 53:10	10:11,21 11:6	\$1,316 30.13 \$100,000 74:5	12 55:9 57:20	129:1
55:16 129:13,15	16:2 20:18 33:20	\$110,000 74.3 \$110,000 153:13	145:21	1986 45:21
winner 51:14	46:22 50:12 57:20	\$170,000 153:14	12.5 162:21	1990 4:2
wish 167:8	58:7 61:10,16	\$20,000 133.14 \$20,000 148:20	12.5-percent	1994 106:19
wonder 85:7	62:8 64:3,12 65:7	162:12	155:10	2
wonderful 10:6	67:22 70:3 72:2	\$290,000 153:14	120 2:20	
wondering 67:22	77:15 79:22 80:3	\$4 124:4	125 2:21	2 63:14 102:18
85:11	90:1 92:17 106:19	·	13 16:2 65:7	104:9
Woolworth's 94:22	117:6,19 125:13	\$40 80:3	131 2:21	2A 94:14
95:1 128:1	128:17 145:4,9,11	\$40,000 162:13	14 2:4 15:19 22:4	2B 94:14
word 118:20	youth 10:19	\$70,000 9:8 \$80 54:13	94:5	2E 94:15
words 28:6 32:21	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	·	144 2:22	2,000 26:12
141:20	Zacarias 24:14	\$90,000 153:13	15 27:14 33:20	2.0 136:6,6 154:21
work 4:19 9:22		0	36:15 44:13 71:13	2.1 151:17
14:16 21:18 23:17	44:3 100:9	0.5 19:12	74:16 81:11 103:9	2.4 95:7
34:9,10 47:14,17	zero 104:11,13,14 158:14	0.75 135:22	142:5,11 162:21	2.5 18:22 19:1
56:11 69:14 90:22		0.73 133.22 0.9 149:7,12 151:8	164:4	135:22 136:19
92:4 93:16,17	ZigZag 58:17	0.7 177.7,12 131.0	15-percent 19:17	137:8 147:21
94:9 130:2 161:2	Zink 111:21 112:22 127:19 141:3	1	70:19 71:14	151:14 152:4
165:17 166:5,12		1 30:17 31:5 102:16	15-present 81:17	153:4 154:1,18,20
worked 47:7,10,16	Zink's 52:12,17	104:9	150 27:12 159:12	20 11:5 49:13 81:13
workers 106:1	Zink-designed	1A 94:14	165:3	20th 59:5
workforce 50:17	112:2	1,100 164:7	16 50:12 102:1	200 23:15 155:12
working 12:22 51:9	zone 15:14 18:15	1,500 48:13	16,000 27:8	200-percent 40:11
65:6 66:21 77:4	21:14 87:9 102:22	1,600 48:14	160 27:12	2000 15:9 16:3
82:5 83:6 145:12	102:22 121:10	1.0 137:7	17 75:13 151:8	95:17 111:16
166:22	122:6	1.3 149:11	173 151:20	130:13
works 117:18	zoned 30:2,14	1.5 18:22 137:7	175 156:8,19	2005 16:7
167:9	32:19 75:11	1.6 149:11	175-percent 155:7	2007 33:16
world 8:13 54:1	zones 19:1 20:19	1.7 154:21	18 2:5 41:2 117:5	2008 59:6
61:17	zoning 6:11 9:12	10 11:5 27:14 33:20	117:19 151:9	2010 57:21 58:6
worried 68:4 98:8	21:8 25:13,16,18	54:12 80:3 87:13	180 104:1	94:6 125:17
	25:22 30:22 31:8	22 00.8 07.13		
L				

				ge I
126:17	475 148:3	139:4 147:22		
2011 127:8	49 145:4	148:1 152:4 154:7		
2012 3:22		154:9 157:8		
2013 1:8 4:20 102:1	5	77 2:13		
2030 158:4 164:19	5 2:2 73:11 77:13	78 2:13		
2050 158:4 164:19	5.4 146:1 160:20	79 2:14		
21 166:13	50 2:9 16:14 71:6	19 2.14		
22 20:3 80:7 86:17	138:9 155:6	8		
	50s 27:19 32:6	8 2:3		
155:11	50,000 146:6	8,000 130:17		
22-percent 71:12	50-foot 31:16 36:17	8:43 167:17		
71:16	50-percent 40:9			
23,000 151:16,19	_	80 92:12 136:9,18		
164:6	500 139:15	148:1		
237 155:9	51 2:10	80-foot 136:13		
237,000-square-f	53 92:10,12	8104 77:22		
27:17	55,000 146:4 161:6	814 119:10		
25 2:5 67:22	57 2:10	8401 91:1		
26 3:22 5:2	6	8435 74:4 75:12		
29 125:13		85 142:9		
	6,700 26:11	8515 67:20		
3	6:00 1:13	8602 78:12		
3 2:2 30:22 87:11	60 87:10 102:2	8619 50:9		
88:12 90:12	103:7 135:12	8623 118:9		
3,700 26:12	136:3 138:9 139:4	8640 75:11		
3-FAR 19:10	150:18 151:2	87 2:14		
3.0 85:10 135:22	152:10 158:19	8723 116:22		
136:19	159:12	8750 145:1		
30 61:16 93:21	60s 27:19	8787 1:13 166:15		
138:22 146:12	60-foot 36:19	882 107:3		
159:13	600 156:2	89 88:7		
30-foot 159:13	61 2:11			
165:4	63-year 129:7	9		
30-percent 60:3	640 59:22	90 2:15 159:12		
300 159:2	65 103:11	9046 101:16		
31 1:8	675 155:12	9302 46:19		
33 2:6	69 2:12	94 2:15		
35 2:6	692 164:6	95,000 151:17		
39 2:7		164:5		
۵, ۱ ک کری	7	950-space 148:3		
4	7 4:20 166:14	97 2:16		
4.0 164:22	7,250-square-foot	77 2.10		
40 151:2	27:1			
40 131.2 40s 32:6	70 147:22 152:4			
	154:7,9			
41 96:22	71 75:13			
415 155:8 156:5	72 87:12			
44 2:8				
45 2:8 87:16 146:6	73 2:12 75:14	l	1	
46 2:9 145:22	75 136:8,13,17			

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Long Branch Sector Plan

Before: Montgomery County Planning Board

Date: 01-31-13

Place: Silver Spring, MD

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &